

May 27, 2022

To: The South Carolina *Supreme Court*
In the Matter of the Estate of Thomas Gaddy Moore

The Appellant Michael Dennis Moore
Vs.
The Respondents Thomas Paul Moore, Phillip Frederick Moore, Francine Lawhon,
and Linda Kaye Moore who is deceased at this time

Appellate Case No: 2018-00114

To The South Carolina *Supreme Court*
Michael Dennis Moore, Pro-Se

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2022

TO: Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings **SC Court of Appeals**

The Honorable Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings - Clerk of Court
Ms. Kitchings could you please file these documents
for I, Dennis Moore with the Supreme Court. I am
the personal representative of my father's estate and
now my attorney has been dismissed by the Court of Appeals
now I am Pro-Se. And also I, Dennis Moore are
sending the court the filing fee and if there are more costs
please let I, Dennis Moore know.

Thank You
Dennis Moore
personal representative

Mr. C. Pierce Campbell, Esquire
PO Box 5478
Florence SC 29502

*Phillip Moore SR,
1504 Damon Drive
Florence S.C. 29505*

Mr. James Ross Snell, Jr., Esquire
123 Harmon Street
Lexington SC 29072

Ms. Vicki D Koutsogiannis, Esquire
123 Harmon Street
Lexington SC 29072

Francine Laura Lawhon
2005 Third Loop Rd.
Florence SC 29501

Re: In the Matter of the Estate of: Thomas G. Moore
Appellate Case No. 2018-001144

Dear Mr. Moore, Ms. Lawhon and Counsel:

I, Dennis Moore are sending all of you a copy of the documents that I, Dennis Moore are filing with the Supreme Court for them to file for me and put on record

May 27, 2022

The South Carolina Supreme Court

Re: In the Matter Of The Estate Of Thomas Gaddy Moore
The Appellant Michael Dennis Moore

vs.

The Respondent Thomas Paul Moore, et al.
Lower Court Case No.: 2016-CP-21-03062
Appellate Case No: 2018-001144

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2022

SC Court of Appeals

The reason that I, Michael Dennis Moore, am writing this letter on 5-27-22 to the South Carolina Supreme Court is because I am the personal representative of my father, Thomas Gaddy Moore's, estate. When my father was living, I was also the Power of Attorney over him and all of his assets. My father obviously had a lot of faith in his son Dennis Moore. I am writing the South Carolina Supreme Court because I believe the South Carolina Court of Appeals has erred incorrectly in so many ways with my father's estate case.

I, Dennis Moore, as the personal representative of my father Thomas Gaddy Moore's estate case disagree with the South Carolina Court of Appeals decision and I know for a fact they have made error in this case. Now I am filing an appeal with the South Carolina Supreme Court on their decision. I had asked the Court of Appeals for an investigation into this case but I was denied. I then asked the Court of Appeals for a hearing in this case and once again I was denied. One of my brothers also asked the Court of Appeals for a hearing and he was also denied. Now what I do not understand about the Court of Appeals is that my attorney, David Durant Jr., wrote a letter to the Court of Appeals asking them to be released because he said I was making false accusations against him and his law firm and he was granted his request. Now I would like to know from the Supreme Court how that works? How will they grant an attorney's request but deny a personal representative all of his requests? The appeals attorney David Durant Jr. flat out lied to the Court of Appeals about false accusations and how do I know that? Because I wrote the Court of Appeals telling them to send me a copy of the false accusations that David Durant said I made and I never received a copy.

For the reasons that are listed below in this letter, the Court of Appeals has err.

Statement of Issues:

1. Did the South Carolina Court of Appeals err in their ruling that a separate envelope containing a document with instructions devising a piece of the testator's estate should be integrated into the testator's separate Will when the separate document was not connected to the testator's Will, was not

COPY

referenced in the said Will and was not properly executed according to the laws of the State of South Carolina? This document concerning the church property was never signed by my father Thomas Gaddy Moore. There is no date on it, no signatures on it, no one witnessed it, and nowhere in the Will does it say that the property goes to Thomas Paul Moore. That is why I, Dennis Moore, as the personal representative disagree with the Court of Appeals to give this to only one person and not the others because they have no evidence at all to prove that my father said to give the church property to Thomas Paul Moore. How can the courts take my father's property from the rest of the siblings and just give it to one with no evidence that it shouldn't be divided equally? There is no proof from the deceased and this is not in the Will.

2. Did the Court of Appeals err again when they said in their letter to the personal representative that the Court of Appeals is going to uphold the lower courts decision? I can not believe that any courts would up hold any one wrong doing. The Court of Appeals knows this case was unfair and unjust according to the ethical rules that attorneys and judges have to go by. There were so many rules of law that were broken in The State of South Carolina. You mean to tell me that Dennis Moore, the personal representative of my father's estate, that it is legal for a Probate Judge, Mr. Scott, and the opposing attorney, Mr. Cal Pierce Campbell, to rule on my father's estate when both of these gentlemen were from the same law firm and they both at one time were shareholders in the same law firm. That is legal for him to rule on my father's case? Please help me understand this. The Court of Appeals knows this case was a conflict of interest and misconduct on both of these gentlemen's part. I could never get a fair trial under those terms. Also knowing in the lower court decision in their ruling that the Appellant Dennis Moore did not have a chance in hell to win this case not when both of them are from the same law firm. You know for a fact this Probate Judge was not going to rule against his partner not when they both were from the same law firm and both were shareholders. Also, knowing in the lower court decision in their ruling that the Appellant Dennis Moore was account for loans to Moore's Cars, LLC. Based on the facts in this case that evidence was allowed to be submitted in support of this judgement was ultimately brought to the attention of the parties minutes before the hearing began which in turn prejudiced the Appellant making him unable to prove a proper defense or counter to the improperly submitted evidence. They brought checks into the probate court room on the final day of the ruling. If you would, please look at the checks exhibits that the lower court is charging he Appellant Dennis Moore for. They said that I Dennis Moore got this money when my name is no where to be found of any of the checks they are accusing me of. My name is not on the front of the checks, the back of the checks, and they do not have deposit slips where I ever received this money and put it in my business or my personal

account. You know why? Because it never happened! I would like for the South Carolina Supreme Court to take a close look at the checks and the exhibits that were presented in the lower courts and you will see that someone made a copy of the front of the checks but did not make a copy of the back of the checks. You know why they did not make copies of the back? Because my name, Dennis Moore, is not on any of those checks that they are charging me for in the lower courts. Every exhibit check was made to Thomas G. Moore and deposited in Thomas G. Moore's account and not in Dennis Moore's account. There were 2 separate accounts for Moore's Cars. My father banked with First Bank and Dennis Moore has never been in First Bank. You can look on the signature card at First Bank and you won't find my name, Dennis Moore, on the account of none of the checks they are charging me for. Yet with all of that said, the Court of Appeals is going to uphold the decision of the lower courts. There is nothing in my father's Will that says his son Dennis Moore owes the estate one dime. The lower courts do not have any evidence or proof from my father that I owe the estate anything. This whole case was nothing but a scam and fraud between the Probate Judge Mr. Scott and his partner the opposing attorney Mr. Cal Pierce Campbell. This probate judge did not even go by my father's Will. He took that himself and threw it out the window basically. The just judged the Will for himself to suit his partner's client. If you notice, everything that his partner Mr. Campbell asked for, the probate judge gave it to him and did not rule one thing in my favor. Now you tell me that is not biased. Another thing I don't understand is, if I were guilty of all the lower courts are charging me for, do you really believe that Mr. Campbell the opposing attorney would drop all charges against me? Well, that is what he told my attorney at the time, David Smith. Mr. Campbell called David Smith and told him to call Dennis Moore and tell him that all charges would be dropped if I would be willing to take my money in the estate and give it to his client, Thomas Paul Moore. That tells me right there and it should tell the courts also that Mr. Campbell himself didn't even believe the way the Probate Judge ruled. Also, that sounds like Mr. Campbell is also trying to bribe Dennis Moore with money. He was telling me if I would give his client my money in the estate that he would drop all the charges against me. I told my attorney, David Smith, to call him back and tell him no thank you because I was not going to give my money to anyone when my father wanted me to have it and knowing also I had done nothing wrong.

3. Not only was this case a conflict of interest and misconduct by the probate judge and his partner the opposing attorney Cal Pierce Campbell, but I also got scammed 2 times by my own appeals attorney, David Durant Jr. and his father David Durant Sr., in Surfside Beach, SC. My wife and I went to the beach to David Durant's law firm in January of 2017 to sit down and talk to both of the attorneys and gave them the order that the Probate Judge Mr. Scott had put on me for \$699,388.00. When David Durant Sr. got finished

reading the Probate Court order, he looked at me and my wife, Crystal Moore, and said "Dennis, you do you were ambushed by the good ole' boys, don't you?" He also stated "that judge needs to retire anyway." Well, then David Durant Jr. and his father must be a part of the good ole' boys and the reason I say that is because David Durant Jr. nor David Durant Sr. ever told me on the very first day we were in their law firm in 2017 that his other son/Jr's brother was working with and practicing law for the same law firm that was suing me for \$699,388.00. That was never mentioned to me or my wife on that day. Now, David Durant Sr. did call me and tell me his son was working and practicing law with the same law firm that was suing me AFTER we had already gone to Circuit Court which was months later. At that time, David Durant Sr. called and said he meant to tell me but he forgot. When he called, he said now we have someone on the inside of Turner Padgett to give us the scoop, like that was supposed to make me feel better. You know both of them did not forget to tell me that their son/brother was working and practicing law with the same law firm that was suing me. No, they both knew it but they were not going to tell me that on the very first day in 2017 because they knew I would have never hired their law firm on those terms. No, they waited until after the fact and after they took my money and then they called me months later to cover their tracks. I told them also about the Probate Judge and the opposing attorney and you know not one time did they ever mention this to the judge or the courts, they kept that a secret also. When I asked them about why they didn't mention this to the courts and the judges about what happened, David Durant Jr. said I told you I was not going to mention anything about the Probate Judge or his partner the opposing attorney, but yet his own father said I was ambushed by the good ole' boys yet David Durant Jr. did not want to mention their names. Here is the bottom line: if they would have told us in January of 2017 that their own brother was working and practicing law with the same law firm that was suing me, I would not have hired them. They did not say anything about it upfront. Had they done so, my wife and I would have left his law firm and not hired him. There is no way I would have given him our money to represent me in this case on those terms. Instead, they took my money to give me a call months later to give me the bad news.

4. Based on the facts and evidence, David Durant Jr. never submitted anything to clear this matter up in Circuit Court. The reason I said that is because the Circuit Court Judge, Thomas Russo, said in his order that the reason that I lost the case is because my attorney, David Durant Jr., never brought in any new evidence. I know for a fact that David Durant Jr. never argued the \$450,000 checks to the judges because if he would have done so, he could have shown him the back of the exhibit checks and this judge would have thrown this case out, but he decided not to say a word about it. He decided to let them go ahead and charge his client for the money his client never received and

should not be responsible for. Then, David Durant Jr. writes the Court of Appeals and told them that I was making false accusations against him and his law firm when all I was doing was asking him why he didn't tell me about his brother before he took my money and also why he didn't tell the judges and courts about the Probate Judge and the opposing attorney. However, was it because he knew his own brother worked with and practiced law for them also? Is that the reason? I could have submitted more evidence and facts in this case than was submitted by my attorney, David Durant Jr. My attorney basically just took \$30,000 of my money for nothing because all he did was try his best to hide the facts and evidence of this case. That is not a false accusation, it is accurate in that everything I asked him to do was not done. Then he wrote the Court of Appeals and flat out lied on his client when he said I was making false accusations when all I was doing was asking him to do his job because I paid his law firm \$30,000 for that purpose and he was not defending me. Then, the Court of Appeals granted his request. Now since he got by with the Court of Appeals and left his client with \$30,000 of our money. David Durant Jr. wrote Dennis Moore a letter and anyone is welcome to read it. David Durant told me in 2022 in his letter he said if you go and get a third party in this case, I and my law firm would be forced to sue you. Now that sounds like a threat to me. He's saying if I go get a malpractice attorney, which would be a third party, that he would take action against me. Now if David Durant did nothing wrong or he was not trying to hide something and everything in this case was legal and he did his very best to win this case for his client then it looks like to me that David would welcome a third party and not try to threaten his client. I don't like how an attorney can treat me in such a way and take \$30,000 of my money and left me out in the cold and didn't care what happened to his client. He had this case for 5 years and he never said one word about false accusations until he knew he might be in trouble for the actions he and his law firm took. The Court of Appeals let him off the case for what he had done in the above letter. That is wrong of them to do that. Also, as far as the crooked Probate Judge and his crooked partner the opposing attorney trying to take everything I have ever worked for. I don't care what anyone says, they are dirty and dishonest because they know I did not get that money. If they had won that case fair and honest, Cal Pierce Campbell would have never made that offer in 2016.

5. The Probate Attorney Porter Stewart knew all about this scam and fraud going on and he went right along with them and never not one time did he himself ever tell Dennis Moore the Probate Judge and the Opposing Attorney were from the same law firm. Now, tell me how dirty that is. Another attorney that I am paying for nothing but to scam me out of my money. I just asked Mr. Porter Stewart last week on May 18, 2022, did he know the Probate Judge and the opposing attorney were from the same law firm and he said yes. I asked him why he didn't pull me aside to tell me that the first day

we were in court because if he would have told me that I would have never let them rule on my father's estate case knowing that both of them were from the same law firm. Then Mr. Porter Stewart said we need to hire another attorney to help us out with this case and he did. Mr. Porter Stewart got the attorney David Smith to help him with the case and come to find out later on David Smith is not even a Probate Attorney. He also knew himself that he was not supposed to take this case because he didn't know anything about Probate court, yet that was the attorney I was paying for. David Smith also knew about the probate judge and his partner the opposing attorney and did not say one word about it but yet he also took my money right along with all the other crooked attorneys that I have dealt with in this case. All of these attorneys knew what was going on because they were all from Florence, SC. Mr. Porter Stewart knew it because he was the lead attorney in this case, Mr. David Smith also knew it, and David Durant Jr. knew it too because his brother worked with and practiced law with the ones that were suing me. I called David Smith in May of 2022 and asked him questions about this case. He told his secretary to tell Dennis Moore that he could not talk to me because it would cause a conflict of interest. Now he was telling me he can't speak to me because of a conflict of interest but yet he knew that there was a conflict of interest earlier on in the estate case and did not tell his client about it. For him to take a case that he didn't know anything about because he is not a Probate attorney and he did not tell me that upfront either is not right. I have called him on three different occasions trying to talk to him about this case and he will not speak to me or give me an appointment.

6. I have never seen so many crooked and dishonest attorneys and a crooked probate judge that is not even there any more and practicing law with another law firm in my life. All you would have to do is read his order. He did not rule on one thing in my favor. Everything went to his partner's client and that was not good enough for him. He even cut down the personal representative's commission to \$50 AND said I had to pay every one's attorneys fees also.
7. I hope and pray the Supreme Court would truly take a look at all the facts and evidence in this case and overturn the Court of Appeals decisions where they have err in this estate. No one went by the rules and laws of the State of South Carolina. I hope and pray that the Supreme Court would dismiss the whole thing for all that happened in this estate case. I hope you will go back to the evidence and even the Will itself. I have never heard tell of a Probate Judge not going by what a Will reads within it. Also, have you ever in your career or being a Supreme Court judge ever heard tell making a personal representative pay back money? More than the estate itself is even worth. I have never heard of that before and I really never heard tell of a personal representative paying back anything to an estate. If that were the case, why were the appointed by the person to be in charge of it in the first place? I

hope you will give the personal representative commission back to him that the laws call for. I hope you will make someone pay all my attorneys fees. I am also asking the Supreme Court to please let someone do an investigation into this case to see what I'm trying to tell the courts now and what I have been trying to say all along. Give me the same chance that the Court of Appeals gave to David Durant Jr. I beg of you not to deny this case.

Thank you,
Dennis Moore

Personal Representative of Thomas G. Moore's, My father's, estate

NO Date

Thomas G. Moore and Lester P. Moore purchased five acres of land in Horrell Hill, SC out from Columbia, SC off of Highway 76. The land was deeded in Thomas G. Moore and Lester P. Moore's name at the Columbia Court House. It was purchased for the purpose of building a new church on it.

Thomas G. Moore has three plaques that were awarded to him from Horrell Hill Baptist Church for his support and donations and tithing in building of the church, plus his twenty-five years of attending and supporting the church. He has continued paying his tithes up to the writing of his LAST WILL AND TESTAMNT ON FEBRUARY 6, 1998.

If this church in Horrell Hill, SC is ever RENTED OR SOLD, Thomas G. Moore bequeaths his half INTEREST OR OWNERSHIP to go to Thomas P. Moore. I have paid one half of the land and church building on this land.

My oldest son, Thomas Paul Moore, is a licensed minister and if he decided to or was asked to run or help pastor the church, AFTER Lester P. Moore has DECEASED, I (Thomas G. Moore) would bequeath my one-half interest to go to Thomas P. Moore (My oldest son). If he does not want to pastor at the church, I would bequeath my one-half interest or ownership in the church and land to my son Thomas P. Moore, if the church is ever rented or sold.

This issue is Not stated in our Parents Will. NO Signature
The Church Property NO Date
NO Witness

First Bank
Florence Second Loop Branch
452 2nd Loop Road
Florence, SC 29505

ACCOUNT NUMBER 851000527

ACCOUNT OWNER(S) NAME & ADDRESS
MOORE'S CARS LLC
1827 TRADE ST
FLORENCE, SC 29501-6961

OWNERSHIP OF ACCOUNT - CONSUMER PURPOSE

INDIVIDUAL _____

JOINT - WITH SURVIVORSHIP (and not as tenants in common)

JOINT - NO SURVIVORSHIP (as tenants in common)

TRUST - SEPARATE AGREEMENT:

REVOCABLE TRUST OR PAY-ON-DEATH DESIGNATION AS DEFINED IN THIS AGREEMENT
Name and Address of Beneficiaries:

NEW EXISTING

TYPE OF ACCOUNT CHECKING SAVINGS

MONEY MARKET CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT

NOW _____

This is your (check one): **BUSINESS FIRST**

Permanent Temporary account agreement.

Number of signatures required for withdrawal 001

FACSIMILE SIGNATURE(S) ALLOWED? YES NO

[X]

OWNERSHIP OF ACCOUNT - BUSINESS PURPOSE

SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP

CORPORATION: FOR PROFIT NOT FOR PROFIT

PARTNERSHIP LIMITED LIABILITY

BUSINESS: NEW & USED CARS

COUNTY & STATE OF ORGANIZATION: FLORENCE/SC

AUTHORIZATION DATED: 5/05/2003

SIGNATURE(S) - The undersigned certifies the accuracy of the information he/she has provided and acknowledges receipt of a completed copy of this form. The undersigned authorizes the financial institution to verify credit and employment history and/or have a credit reporting agency prepare a credit report on the undersigned, as individuals. The undersigned also acknowledges the receipt of a copy and agree to the terms of the following agreement(s) and/or disclosure(s):

Terms & Conditions Truth in Savings Funds Availability

Electronic Fund Transfers Privacy Substitute Checks

Common Features _____

DATE OPENED 04/05/2013 BY SCOTTE

INITIAL DEPOSIT \$ 458.00

CASH CHECK On us/Transfer

HOME TELEPHONE # 843- 6622834

BUSINESS PHONE # _____

DRIVER'S LICENSE # _____

E-MAIL _____

EMPLOYER _____

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME _____

Name and address of someone who will always know your location: _____

(1): [*Thomas G. Moore*]
THOMAS G. MOORE
I.D.# 250-40-9693 D.O.B. 3/15/1929

(2): [X]
I.D.# _____ D.O.B. _____

(3): [X]
I.D.# _____ D.O.B. _____

(4): [X]
I.D.# _____ D.O.B. _____

Authorized Signer (Individual Accounts Only)

[X]

I.D.# _____ D.O.B. _____

BACKUP WITHHOLDING CERTIFICATIONS

TIN: 582676977

TAXPAYER I.D. NUMBER - The Taxpayer Identification Number shown above (TIN) is my correct taxpayer identification number.

BACKUP WITHHOLDING - I am not subject to backup withholding either because I have not been notified that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or the Internal Revenue Service has notified me under the _____

statements or other U.S. _____

13

**copy of
signature
card**

**FIRST
BANK**

APR 09 2013

My Father account and not Dennis moore

RESOLUTIONS

The Corporation named on this resolution resolves that,

- (1) The Financial Institution is designated as a depository for the funds of the Corporation and to provide other financial accommodations indicated in this resolution.
- (2) This resolution shall continue to have effect until express written notice of its rescission or modification has been received and recorded by the Financial Institution. Any and all prior resolutions adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation and certified to the Financial Institution as governing the operation of this corporation's account(s), are in full force and effect, until the Financial Institution receives and acknowledges an express written notice of its revocation, modification or replacement. Any revocation, modification or replacement of a resolution must be accompanied by documentation, satisfactory to the Financial Institution, establishing the authority for the changes.
- (3) The signature of an Agent on this resolution is conclusive evidence of their authority to act on behalf of the Corporation. Any Agent, so long as they act in a representative capacity as an Agent of the Corporation, is authorized to make any and all other contracts, agreements, stipulations and orders which they may deem advisable for the effective exercise of the powers indicated on page one, from time to time with the Financial Institution, subject to any restrictions on this resolution or otherwise agreed to in writing.
- (4) All transactions, if any, with respect to any deposits, withdrawals, rediscunts and borrowings by or on behalf of the Corporation with the Financial Institution prior to the adoption of this resolution are hereby ratified, approved and confirmed.
- (5) The Corporation agrees to the terms and conditions of any account agreement, properly opened by any Agent of the Corporation. The Corporation authorizes the Financial Institution, at any time, to charge the Corporation for all checks, drafts, or other orders, for the payment of money, that are drawn on the Financial Institution, so long as they contain the required number of signatures for this purpose.
- (6) The Corporation acknowledges and agrees that the Financial Institution may furnish at its discretion automated access devices to Agents of the Corporation to facilitate those powers authorized by this resolution or other resolutions in effect at the time of issuance. The term "automated access device" includes, but is not limited to, credit cards, automated teller machines (ATM), and debit cards.
- (7) The Corporation acknowledges and agrees that the Financial Institution may rely on alternative signature and verification codes issued to or obtained from the Agent named on this resolution. The term "alternative signature and verification codes" includes, but is not limited to, facsimile signatures on file with the Financial Institution, personal identification numbers (PIN), and digital signatures. If a facsimile signature specimen has been provided on this resolution, (or that are filed separately by the Corporation with the Financial Institution from time to time) the Financial Institution is authorized to treat the facsimile signature as the signature of the Agent(s) regardless of by whom or by what means the facsimile signature may have been affixed so long as it resembles the facsimile signature specimen on file. The Corporation authorizes each Agent to have custody of the Corporation's private key used to create a digital signature and to request issuance of a certificate listing the corresponding public key. The Financial Institution shall have no responsibility or liability for unauthorized use of alternative signature and verification codes unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Pennsylvania. The designation of an Agent does not create a power of attorney; therefore, Agents are not subject to the provisions of 20 Pa.C.S.A. Section 5601 et seq. (Chapter 56; Decedents, Estates and Fiduciaries Code) unless the agency was created by a separate power of attorney. Any provision that assigns Financial Institution rights to act on behalf of any person or entity is not subject to the provisions of 20 Pa.C.S.A. Section 5601 et seq. (Chapter 56; Decedents, Estates and Fiduciaries Code).

EFFECT ON PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS This resolution supersedes resolution dated 4/05/2013. If not completed, all resolutions remain in effect.

CERTIFICATION OF AUTHORITY

I further certify that the Board of Directors of the Corporation has, and at the time of adoption of this resolution had, full power and lawful authority to adopt the resolutions on page 2 and to confer the powers granted above to the persons named who have full power and lawful authority to exercise the same. (Apply seal below where appropriate.)

If checked, the Corporation is a non-profit corporation.

In Witness Whereof, I have subscribed my name to this document and affixed the seal of the Corporation on _____ (date).

Affix by One Officer

Secretary

FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTION USE ONLY

Acknowledged and received on 4/05/2013 (date) by FS (initials) This resolution is superseded by resolution dated 4/05/2013.

Comments:

First Bank
 Florence Second Loop Branch
 452 2nd Loop Road
 Florence, SC 29505

CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION RESOLUTION


By: MOORE'S CARS LLC
 1827 TRADE ST
 FLORENCE, SC 29501-6961

Referred to in this document as "Financial Institution"

Referred to in this document as "Corporation"

I, MOORE'S CARS LLC, certify that I am Secretary (clerk) of the above named corporation organized under the laws of 1827 TRADE ST, Federal Employer I.D. Number 582676977, engaged in business under the trade name of FLORENCE, SC 29501, and that the resolutions on this document are a correct copy of the resolutions adopted at a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Corporation duly and properly called and held on 5/05/2003 (date). These resolutions appear in the minutes of this meeting and have not been rescinded or modified.

AGENTS Any Agent listed below, subject to any written limitations, is authorized to exercise the powers granted as indicated below:

Name and Title or Position	Signature	Facsimile Signature (if used)
A. <u>THOMAS G. MOORE/SIGNER</u>		
B. _____	X _____	X _____
C. _____	X _____	X _____
D. _____	X _____	X _____
E. _____	X _____	X _____
F. _____	X _____	X _____

POWERS GRANTED (Attach one or more Agents to each power by placing the letter corresponding to their name in the area before each power. Following each power indicate the number of Agent signatures required to exercise the power.)

Indicate A, B, C, D, E, and/or F	Description of Power	Indicate number of signatures required
<u>A</u>	(1) Exercise all of the powers listed in this resolution.	<u>1</u>
_____	(2) Open any deposit or share account(s) in the name of the Corporation.	_____
_____	(3) Endorse checks and orders for the payment of money or otherwise withdraw or transfer funds on deposit with this Financial Institution.	_____
_____	(4) Borrow money on behalf and in the name of the Corporation, sign, execute and deliver promissory notes or other evidences of indebtedness.	_____
_____	(5) Endorse, assign, transfer, mortgage or pledge bills receivable, warehouse receipts, bills of lading, stocks, bonds, real estate or other property now owned or hereafter owned or acquired by the Corporation as security for sums borrowed, and to discount the same, unconditionally guarantee payment of all bills received, negotiated or discounted and to waive demand, presentment, protest, notice of protest and notice of non-payment.	_____
_____	(6) Enter into a written lease for the purpose of renting, maintaining, accessing and terminating a Safe Deposit Box in this Financial Institution.	_____
_____	(7) Other _____	_____

LIMITATIONS ON POWERS The following are the Corporation's express limitations on the powers granted under this resolution.

APR 09 2013

THOMAS G. MOORE
1809 CHEROKEE ROAD
FLORENCE, SC 29501

1050

17-00-0378
218

4-9-13

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]
First Citizens
[Handwritten signature]
05070 01050

FIRST BANK
FLORENCE SECOND LOOP BRANCH
452 2ND LOOP RD
PO BOX 12515
FLORENCE
COMMERCIAL DDA DEPOSIT
Acct#*****0527
To#
Amount 39,640.00

4/09/2013 14:16:46 AM
TLRH 8502 TRANH 13

Deposited
in
FIRST
BANK

EXHIBIT

17

my Father Thomas G. Moore took his own money out of his own account at First Citizens and deposited it in his own account at First Bank. Now the probate court is making Dennis Moore paid for what my Father did in his own Bank Account. None of the checks don't have my name Dennis Moore (Back)

ON the Front of the checks or the Back of the check.
This money was never deposit in my Business Account or
my Personal Account. But I was order to paid the estate
money back that never happen. NO Courts, NO Judge's
NO Attorneys, dont have one check or one deposit slip
were Dennis Moore every got this money.

THOMAS G. MOORE
1808 CHEROKEE ROAD
FLORENCE, SC 29501

1051
07-00/030
243

4-12-13

[Handwritten signatures and scribbles]
Thirty-two thousand dollars
First Citizens
Noir money Edg...
5010 # 90215052918811 09085501

**Deposited
in
First
Bank**

FIRST BANK
FLORENCE SECOND LOOP BRANCH
452 2ND LOOP RD
PO BOX 12515
FLORENCE
DDA DEPOSIT
Acct#*****0527
To#
Amount 32,000.00
4/12/2013 14:24:12 AM
TLRN 8503 TRAN# 17

EXHIBIT
18

FIRST BANK

Account Number: 381625051209
Capture Date: April 12, 2013
Item Number: 99019009716640
Posted Date: April 12, 2013
Posted Item Number: 9716640
Serial Number: 1051
Amount: 32,000.00

THOMAS G. MOORE
1806 CHEROKEE ROAD
FLORENCE, SC 29501

1051
67404538
245

4-12-13

Pay to the order of *Thomas G. Moore* \$32,000⁰⁰
Thirty two thousand dollars 00/100

First Citizens *Now Money Edge* Premium Money Market Special *Money*
For Money down at home

Thomas G. Moore

⑆05390604⑆⑆38⑆62505⑆209⑆ 0⑆105⑆ *cheamcades*

First Bank
>053104568<
297000043600

FB 053104568< 888297000043600 04/12/2013

00

Thomas G. Moore
per [signature]

