

RECEIVED

JUN 16 2022

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
Administrative Law Judge Milton G. Kimpson

Thomas Thompson 80681.....Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections...Respondent..

ALC Case No. 19-ALJ-04-0625
Appellate Case No. 2020-000356


PETITION FOR REHEARING

Thomas Thompson 80681
Pro Se Litigant
Tyger River CI 2B-216
200 Prison Road
Enoree, S.C. 29335

June 14, 2022

Petitioner requests the Court consider the following facts on rehearing. Petitioner, Thompson, has stated that the uniforms were originally purchased for the entire population of the SCDC and that they were prohibited due to concerns over mental health issues. The Department failed to address this in it's response. Accordingly the concept of "qui non negat faitur" should apply- if you do not deny then you admit. Wearing these uniforms is by no means typical in the SCDC. Only R&E inmates (for very short periods of time;30 to 60 days) and the PI workers at Tyger River wear them. All other inmates and PI workers are not required to wear them. The SCDC operates two other PIE programs with Shaw Industries Group at two separate institutions which ~~are not~~ required to wear stripes. The Department's statement that it provided these uniforms to allow inmates to keep their orange uniforms clean rings hollow when one considers that all other PI workers along with inmates working other jobs which soil their uniforms have not been shown the same consideration. The S.C. Constitution requires the SCDC to provide for the health and welfare of inmates. If these uniforms were considered a detriment to the mental health of all SCDC inmates then how can it not be a detriment to the Tyger River PIE workers also? Thompson would ask the Court to consider what it's first impression would be if not knowing the facts of this situation, it saw two groups of inmates wearing these two different uniforms? Can the Court honestly state that it would not believe the striped uniforms represented a lower status or type of punishment? Thompson asks the Court to reverse it's decision in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas Thompson 80681

6-14-77

RECEIVED

JUN 16 2022

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
Administrative Law Judge Milton G. Kimpson

ALC Case No. 19-ALJ-04-0625
Appellate Case No. 2020-000356

Thomas Thompson 80681.....Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections..Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I, Thomas Thompson, do hereby certify that I served a copy of this Petition for rehearing on Respondent by depositing a copy of same in the US Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows on this day, June 14, 2022;

Imani Diane Byers
SCDC Office of General Counsel
Post office Box 21787
Columbia, S.C. 29221

June 14, 2022



Thomas Thompson 80681
Pro Se Litigant



RECEIVED

JUN 16 2022

SC Court of Appeals

Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, S.C. Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RE: Thompson v. SCDC
Case No. 2020-000356

Dear Ms. Kitchings,

Please find enclosed for filing a Petition for Rehearing in the above case along with a Certificate of Service on the Respondent's counsel. I have submitted a request for a \$50 check be sent to you from my Cooper Trust account to cover the filing fee. Thank you.



Thomas Thompson 80681
Pro Se Litigant
Tyger River CI 2B-216
200 Prison Road
Enoree, S.C. 29335

June 14, 2022

Thomas Thompson
80681 TYRCI 2B-216
200 Prison Road
Enoree, S.C. 29335

GREENVILLE SC 296

14 JUN 2022 PM 3 L



RECEIVED

JUN 13 2022

TYRCI MAILROOM

Legal

Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, S.C. Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED

JUN 16 2022

SC Court of Appeals

20211-162929

