

From: [Greg Hopkins](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Tamika Cannon](#); [shanise greenfield](#); [amynhop@netscape.net](#); [ecclark@sccourts.orgg](#); [Cassie Green](#)
Subject: RE: Response to the (Petition for Supersedeas) 2022-000577, Gregory Hopkins and Amy Hopkins v. Jaime Hood-Hopkins.
Date: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:40:47 PM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Correction for typo appellat changed to appellate in cover letter

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

RECEIVED

Jul 08 2022

SC Court of Appeals

From:
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:36 PM
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Tamika Cannon](#); [shanise greenfield](#); [amynhop@netscape.net](#); [ecclark@sccourts.orgg](#); [Greg Hopkins](#); [amy hopkins](#); [Cassie Green](#)
Subject: RE: Response to the (Petition for Supersedeas) 2022-000577, Gregory Hopkins and Amy Hopkins v. Jaime Hood-Hopkins.

Your Honor,

Please see attached files for our response to the petition for Supersedeas.

Please see through the veil of perjury which is frivolously being used to build a wall around a child we raised for the first seven and a half years of her life. Our Grandchild has been poisoned and lied to out of fear of exposure by the appellate living a past life of injection opiate abuse. We have shared nothing with the minor child about any of the litigation, nor talked about her at all, however; she on the other hand has shared everything and has told her from day one the courts have ordered (we) not be around her when it was never a fact until the unjust restraining order. That is why her fight continues, to attempt to have (for once), factual evidence to keep us away.

Please do not allow this shameful act and look at the proof we have submitted in black and white to discount all areas of the affidavit in this filing and the previous filing.

Respectfully,
Greg and Amy Hopkins

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows