

To: The Supreme Court of South Carolina

1 of 3

Benjamin Garrick, Petitioner

✓

**RECEIVED**

State of South Carolina, Respondent

MAY 23 2013

Appellant Case No - 2012 - 211388 S.C. SUPREME COURT

I Benjamin Garrick, submitting my Extended Pro se responds brief to The Johnson petition for writ of Certiorari on This DAY of MAY 20, 2013.

Benjamin Garrick

Kershaw, S.C., South Carolina

MAY 20, 2013

cc:

Lawrence M. Kasen, Esquire

The Clerk of Court, Supreme Court of South Carolina

Benjamin Garrick # 262872, petitioner

## Statement of Issues on writ of certiorari

P.C.R Judge erred in her ruling on p.c.R, off of prejudicial information. (here say).

## Summary of facts

P.C.R Judge ruled on my p.c.R on prejudicial informant information, and that The Narcotics officers set-up A drug purchase. (See order of dismissal, PG 4 of 9 At (Summary of facts, Adduced At trial) Thru Line 4. Also See where The Judge during trial tried too Clean the record up At Trial See Tr.p. 108, Lines 6-10, and Tr.p 111, Lines 10-16. So p.c.R Judge could have Not read whole trial transcript entirely AS Mention in order of dismissal PG 2 of 9 (find of facts and conclusion of Law.) p.c.R Judge Cont. to use prejudicial information Threw out her order. I feel I proved my prongs Read (p.c.R transcript) The evidence was proving Threw me and Appellant counsel, and Also Trial Counsel. That prejudicial informant information and favorlous drug transation was prejudice to me (The Defendont) and My whole case. So with All respect dew I Ask of A New Trial with out The prejudicial information.

## Conclusion

This matter should be remanded for a new trial without the introduction of the evidence, which was the fruit of illegal search.

respectfully, submitted  
/s/ Benjamin Garrick

Benjamin Garrick