

**RECEIVED**

**JUL 26 2022**

**S.C. SUPREME COURT**

=====

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

=====

ARTHUR McQUILLA; CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON; ORLANDO PARKER  
PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF  
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,  
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

=====

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

SUPPLEMENT BRIEF  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CHRISTOPHER D. WILSON  
#316742 EDISTO A-24  
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205  
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

ORLANDO PARKER  
#346628 F2B. RM. 2234  
LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.  
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

ARTHUR McQUILLA  
#152425 F2B. RM. 1261  
LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.  
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

## ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PRESENTED

(1) DUE TO THE RECENT ADDITIONAL ACTS OF SPOILIATION, DESTRUCTION OF FILED PLEADINGS AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT OCCURRED AFTER THIS PETITION WAS FILED, SHOULD THE NAMES OF LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AND RON SANTA McCRAY BE SUPPLEMENTED AS PARTY, AND SHOULD CRAWFORD BE GIVEN OPPORTUNITY TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI RELATED TO CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT WHERE THE PETITIONERS IN THIS CASE WERE DETRI-MENTALLY RELIANT UPON THAT PETITION BEFORE THIS COURT TO AID IN THE SEEKING OF 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER AS IS ARGUED IN THE INITIAL PETITION?

(2) SHOULD THE PETITIONER(S) IN THIS CASE BE GIVEN RIGHTS OF DEFAULT AND OR RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AS IT PERTAINS TO THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS THAT ARE ARGUED AND SUR-ROUND THIS CASE DUE TO THE RESPONDENT WAIVING HIS RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION TO CONCEAL HIS DEFAULT WITHIN THE LOWER COURT BELOW, WHICH IN-CLUDE FORFEITURE ON ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE SOLE CORPORATION RELATED THERETO, DUE TO THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND FAILURE TO RESPOND EMERGING FROM THE LOWER S.C. DISTRICT COURT IN CASE 1:22-cv-1204-TLW-SVH; 9:21-cv-02526-TLW-MHC AND 8:22-cv-1205-RMG-JDA INVOLVING THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE?

## LIST OF PARTIES

THE LIST OF PARTIES ARE THE SAME AS IS ASSERTED WITHIN THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI UNDER CASE 21-8066. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT.

## RELATED CASES

THIS CASE IS RELATED TO THE APPEAL OF BOTH CASES 20-7073 AND 21-6275 OUT OF THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ALSO ESTABLISHED AS 21-8239 ON THE DOCKET; THE APPEAL OF CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS THAT WAS RECENTLY SPOILIATED, DESTROYED BY CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF THIS COURT SENT CERTIFIED UNDER # 7021 0950 0001 0837 0751 DELIVERED MAY 31, 2022; THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION CASE OUT OF THE 1st. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO THE BOSTON DISTRICT COURT IN FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION CIRCUMVENTING AND OR FAILING TO RULE ON THE TIMELY FILED MOTION TO INTERVENE BY RIGHT AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEFAULT; CASES 21A425, 21A732 AND 21A383 PRESENTLY PENDING BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT BUT WHERE THE COMPROMISED EMPLOYEES HAVE NOW CAUSED IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO CASE 21A383.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION BELOW.....1  
JURISDICTION.....1  
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....2  
STATEMENT OF CASE.....3  
REASON FOR GRANTING THE SUPPLEMENT BRIEF.....4  
CONCLUSION.....11

INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX---A EXHIBIT "21M135".  
APPENDIX---B EXHIBIT, "BEEKMAN SPOLIATION".  
APPENDIX---C EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT ON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS # 1".  
APPENDIX---D EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT ON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS # 2".

**OPINION(S) BELOW**

THE OPINION(S) BELOW ARE ALREADY BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ORDER UNDER CASE 21M135 THAT WAS NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME THIS PETITION WAS FILED IS IN APPENDIX---A.

**JURISDICTION**

THE JURISDICTION FOR THIS SUPPLEMENT IS THE SAME AS THE JURISDICTION ARGUED WITHIN THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI. IT HASN'T CHANGED. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT. PLEASE SEE INITIAL PETITION FILED.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASE	PAGES
ALLEN v. McCURRY, 449 U.S. 90.....	9
B & B HARDWARE v. HARGIS, 135 S.Ct. 1293.....	9
BLONDER-TONGUE v. UNIVERSITY, 91 S.Ct. 1434.....	10
BRADY v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 1031301.....	13
CHELSEY v. LOUISVILLE, 2020 WL 4745771.....	13
DIDOR v. VIGER, 197 Fed. Appx' 749.....	10
FOLA COAL COMPANY, 2018 WL 1833215.....	10
GARRITY v. MARYLAND, 447 Md. 359.....	9
GEARIN v. MARION, 233 P. 929.....	12
MASTERPIECE v. COLORADO, 138 S.Ct. 1719.....	13
McFAUL v. RAMSEY, 61 U.S. (20 HOW).....	13
NATION v. SHALALA, 112 F3d. 151.....	10
NEW HOPE v. POOLE, 966 F3d. 145.....	13
PLATT v. NEWBERRY, 104 Or. 148.....	12
SMOTHERS v. GRESHAW, 332 Or. 83.....	12
TELESCOPE v. LUCERO, 936 F3d. 740.....	13
ZIVOTOFSKY v. KERRY, 135 S.Ct. 2076.....	13

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

18 U.S.C. § 1001.....	7
28 U.S.C. § 1407.....	6

## STATEMENT OF CASE

THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME WITH ONE OR TWO ADDITIONAL SETS OF JURISDICTIONAL FACTS THAT NOW MUST BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THAT BEING THE CRAWFORD AND McCRAY MOTION TO THE CLERK TO FILE THEIR PETITION OUT OF TIME WAS DENIED AND IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE PETITIONER(S), THIS FACT NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME THIS PETITION WAS FILED MUST NOW BE BROUGHT TO THE SUPREME COURT'S ATTENTION UNDER THIS CASE TO ESTABLISH CAUSE AND PREJUDICE AS WELL AS OTHER JURISDICTIONAL FACTS. AND SECONDLY THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS NOW DEFAULTED ON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS OF THIS CASE UNDER CASES 1:22-cv-1204-TLW-SVH; 9:21-cv-02526-TLW-MHC AND 8:22-cv-1205-RMG-JDA A DEFAULT UPON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS IN THIS CASE THAT WAS NOT AVAILABLE THE TIME THIS PETITION WAS INITIALLY FILED AS DEMONSTRATED BY THE DOCUMENTS ATTACHED WITHIN THE APPENDICES.

OTHER THAN THESE ESSENTIAL, CRUCIAL ADDITIONAL FACTS THAT DID NOT EXIST AT THE TIME THIS PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI WAS FILED. THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE REMAINS THE SAME AS IS STATED IN THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT IS THEY REMAIN THE SAME.

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE SUPPLEMENT BRIEF TO WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

(1) DUE TO THE ADDITIONAL RECENT ACTS OF SPOILIATION, DESTRUCTION OF FILED PLEADINGS AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT OCCURRED AFTER THIS PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI WAS INITIALLY FILED, SHOULD THE NAMES OF LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AND RON SANTA MCCRAY BE SUPPLEMENTED AS PARTY, AND SHOULD CRAWFORD BE GIVEN OPPORTUNITY TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI RELATED TO CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT WHERE THE PETITIONER(S) IN THIS CASE WERE DETRIMENTALLY RELIANT UPON THAT PETITION BEFORE THIS COURT TO AID IN THE SEEKING OF 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER AS IS ARGUED IN THE INITIAL PETITION?

INSOMUCH, THE PETITIONER(S) BRING THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICES ATTENTION TO APPENDICES "B AND C". APPENDIX "B" IS THE ORDER THAT WAS ISSUED IN CASE 21M135 BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. APPENDIX "C" IS A COPY OF THE E.H. COOPER FUND AND CERTIFIED MAILING DOCUMENT RELATED TO THE PLEADINGS THAT WERE ADDITIONALLY COMPROMISED, SPOILIATED, DESTROYED BY UNDISCLOSED AND OR UNNAMED EMPLOYEES OF THIS COURT POTENTIALLY COMPROMISED BY THE CONNECTED FEDERAL DEFENDANTS THAT ARE PARTY TO THESE CASES SUBJUDICE.

FOR THE RECORD THESE ARE RECENT ACTS AND OR DOCUMENTS THAT WERE NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME THE PETITION IN THIS CASE WAS INITIALLY FILED THAT MUST NOW BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND MADE A PART OF THE COURT RECORD. WITHIN THE APPENDICES ARE DOCUMENTS WHERE WHERE THE PETITIONER(S) MADE CLAIMS THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS BECOME COMPROMISED BY WELL CONNECTED FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENTS WHO ARE ESSENTIALLY VIOLATING THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE BY THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT HAS OCCURRED REGARDING THESE

CASES BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. THE PETITIONER(S) MADE CLAIMS THAT THESE UNDISCLOSED, UNNAMED, FEDERALLY CONNECTED OFFICIALS AND OR AGENTS COMPROMISED THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT VIA ITS EMPLOYEES DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY NATURE OF THE CLAIMS BEING ARGUED WITHIN THIS CASE, AND DESTROYED, SPOILIATED, ESSENTIAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND ATTACHMENTS TO CRIMINALLY PUSH THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD AND McCRAY PAST THE TIME FOR FILING FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THIS CLAIM WAS MADE SPECIFICALLY IN A TIME PRIOR TO THE ROE v. WADE RULING LEAK ON ABORTION THAT OCCURRED WITHIN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, WHICH THE LEAKING OF THE ROE v. WADE RULING AIDED IN SUPPORTING OUR CLAIM THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS INDEED BEEN COMPROMISED, IN THIS CASE, WITH THE SPECIFIC INTENT TO PREVENT THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD'S ENTRY BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT TO CHALLENGE HIS CRIMINAL CONVICTION IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. SINCE THAT TIME ADDITIONAL NEW EVIDENCE HAS SURFACED THAT FURTHER GIVE CREDENCE, VERITY, THAT THE PETITIONER(S) WERE CORRECT IN THEIR ASSESSMENT THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS INDEED BEEN COMPROMISED BY THE FEDERAL DEFENDANTS DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO THESE CASES, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND PARTIES, HAVING TAKEN SPECIFIC STEPS, DESIGNED TO SPECIFICALLY TARGET US, THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD, AND OUR FILINGS TO THWART JUST AND FAIR JUDICIAL REVIEW.

THE PETITIONER, McQUILLA, AFTER BEING DENIED APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES BY JUSTICE ROBERTS, SENT AGAIN THE REQUEST FOR REHEARING OF THE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES TO JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR. THIS PLEADING WAS SENT IN ALONG WITH MR. CRAWFORD'S PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR CASE 21A383 PERTAINING TO CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF

APPEALS. NOT ONLY DID THE CONSPIRING WELL CONNECTED FEDERAL OFFICIALS AND OR AGENTS, COMPROMISING EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAVE DESTROYED, SPOLIATED, THE CRAWFORD AND McCRAY INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIOARI AND ITS ATTACHMENTS TO PUSH THEM PAST THE TIME FOR FILING IN MACHINATION. BUT THEY HAVE NOW, AGAIN, DESTROYED, SPOLIATED, THE TIMELY SENT PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI RELATED TO CASE 21-1330 WHICH WAS SENT IN TO BE FILED UNDER CASE 21A383. THEY ALSO DESTROYED, SPOLIATED THE McQUILLA SUBSEQUENT PLEADING SEEKING REHEARING OF THE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES THAT WAS INITIALLY DENIED BY JUSTICE ROBERTS SEEKING TO STAY THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT McQUILLA CASE BECAUSE THE PLEADINGS FOR BOTH CRAWFORD AND McQUILLA WERE SENT IN THE SAME ENVELOPE BY CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7021 0950 0001 0837 0751. ACCORDING TO THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE, THE LEGAL PLEADINGS WERE PICKED UP BY THE EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ON MAY 31, 2022 AT 10:00 AM ONLY TO BE COMPROMISED, DESTROYED, SPOLIATED, NOW PUSHING MR. CRAWFORD, THE LEAD PETITIONER IN THESE CASES, PAST THE TIME FOR FILING PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN CASE 21A383 FOR CASE 21-1330 BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. A ONE TIME OCCURRENCE THEY MAY BE ABLE TO CLAIM IT WAS A FLUKE COINCIDENCE, BUT TWICE NOW, AT THIS CRITICAL STAGE, SUPPORTED BY WHAT RECENTLY OCCURRED WITH THE ROE V. WADE RULING LEAK? ANY SUCH CLAIM BY THE COURT OF A "FLUKE COINCIDENCE" WOULD BE SUSPECT TO SAY THE LEAST, WARRANTING SANCTIONS AND THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD AND McCRAY BE PERMITTED TO BE ADDED, SUPPLEMENTED, AS PARTY IN CASE 21-8066. MR. MEEKS, THE APPLICATION CLERK AND OR ATTORNEY IN

THE SUPREME COURT, CONFIRMED THAT THE LEGAL PLEADINGS, AFTER BEING PICKED UP BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT EMPLOYEES, HAS MYSTERIOUSLY DISAPPEARED, AGAIN, AS OUR PREVIOUS FILING, THE ONE THAT PUSHED CRAWFORD AND MCCRAY PAST THE TIME FOR FILING UNDER 21M135 WHICH IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS THE NAMES OF CRAWFORD AND MCCRAY MUST BE PERMITTED TO BE SUPPLEMENTED TO CASE 21-8066, ALSO CRAWFORD MUST BE PERMITTED TO FILE PETITION UNDER CASE 21A383 RELATED TO CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT NOW, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AIDING TO ESTABLISH THE 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER FOR WHICH ALL PETITIONERS INVOLVED ARE DETRIMENTALLY RELIANT UPON. THE PETITIONER(S) OBJECT AND SEEK THIS BE REMEDIED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT GRANTING THE RELIEF AND PETITION(S) NOW SOUGHT. WE SEEK THE APPLICATION TO STAY THE McQUILLA S.C. SUPREME COURT CASE BE GRANTED, THAT THE NAMES OF THE PETITIONERS CRAWFORD AND MCCRAY BE SUPPLEMENTED AS PARTY WITHIN CASE 21-8066 AND THAT CRAWFORD BE GIVEN TIME, RESET, TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT.

RULE 12(4) PROVIDE: PARTIES INTERESTED JOINTLY, SEVERALLY, OR OTHERWISE IN A JUDGMENT MAY PETITION SEPARATELY FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI; OR ANY TWO MAY JOIN IN A PETITION ALLOWING THE PETITIONERS TO SUBMIT PETITION TOGETHER. WHEN TWO OR MORE JUDGMENTS ARE SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED ON A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SAME COURT AND INVOLVE IDENTICAL OR CLOSELY RELATED QUESTIONS, A SINGLE PETITION COVERING ALL JUDGMENTS SUFFICES. THE PETITIONER(S) HAVE ESTABLISHED CAUSE AND PREJUDICE FOR THE GRANTING

OF THIS REQUEST.

(2) SHOULD THE PETITIONER(S) IN THIS CASE BE GIVEN RIGHTS OF DEFAULT AND OR RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AS IT PERTAINS TO THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS THAT ARE ARGUED AND THAT SURROUND THIS CASE DUE TO THE RESPONDENT WAIVING HIS RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION TO CONCEAL HIS DEFAULT WITHIN THE LOWER BELOW, WHICH INCLUDE FORFEITURE ON ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE SOLE CORPORATION RELATED THERETO, DUE TO THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND FAILURE TO PROPERLY RESPOND EMERGING FROM THE LOWER S.C. DISTRICT COURT IN CASE(S) 1:22-cv-1204-TLW-SVH; 9:21-cv-02526-TLW-MHC AND 8:22-cv-1205-RMG-JDA INVOLVING THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE?

THE PETITIONER(S) GIVE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE, THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE RESPONDENT, THOUGH HE ENGAGED IN FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY WITH JUDGE HODGES OF THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, AND TRIED TO NEGATE THESE FACTS VIOLATING 18 U.S.C. § 1001, HAS NOW LEGALLY DEFAULTED ON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS OF THIS CASE AND THE PETITIONER(S) SEEK TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES RELATED THERETO, AS WELL AS RIGHTS OF RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND SEEK TO HAVE THE ISSUE OF THE DEFAULT ON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS OF THIS CASE ADDRESSED BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. IT IS THE PETITIONER(S) CLAIM THAT THE RESPONDENT WAIVED APPEARANCE BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT BECAUSE

HE KNEW THAT HE ALREADY DEFAULTED ON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AS THEY PERTAIN TO THIS CASE SUBJUDICE BEFORE THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT AND HAD HE APPEARED BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND SUBMITTED BRIEF. IT WOULD HAVE OPENED UP THE DOOR FOR THESE DOCUMENTS LISTED IN APPENDICES "C THROUGH D" TO ENTER THE COURT RECORD AS A RESPONSE BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT SO IN MACHINATION AND TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1001 HE WAIVED THE RIGHT TO APPEAR. THE PETITIONER(S) OBJECT AND NOW BRING THIS MATTER BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING IT ADDRESSED.

THE PETITIONER(S) RIGHT TO COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL IS NOT PRECLUDED WHERE THE RESPONDENT, EVEN BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT, BE IT CIVIL OR CRIMINAL, IN THE FEDERAL COURT'S PROPER JURISDICTION, WAS GIVEN A FULL OPPORTUNITY TO LITIGATE THE FEDERAL CLAIM, NOT ONCE, BUT THREE TIMES IF YOU COUNT THE WAIVER BEFORE THIS COURT, WHERE HE EVEN VIOLATED THE PETITIONER(S) DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WITHIN THE LOWER S.C. DISTRICT COURT EXTREMELY PREJUDICING THE PETITIONER(S) IN THEIR EFFORTS TO ASSERT THE PROPER DEFENSE OF RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, WHERE THE RESPONDENT HAD HIS CHANCE TO PROTECT ANY RIGHT OR DEFENSE HE MAY HAVE CLAIM, BUT FELL ON HIS FACE TWICE IN THE DISTRICT COURT AND ATTEMPTED FRAUD TO CONCEAL IT AND THEN WAIVED HIS OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAR BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT OF THIS NATION. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND OR RES JUDICATA IN THIS CASE IS NOT PRECLUDED ON THEORY

THAT ONE SEEKING TO ESTABLISH A RIGHT OR DEFENSE (THE RESPONDENT)  
, IS ENTITLED TO ONE UNENCUMBERED OPPORTUNITY TO LITIGATE SUCH  
DEFENSES REGARDLESS OF THE LEGAL POSTURE IN WHICH THE FEDERAL  
CLAIMS ARISES WHERE IN THIS CASE THE RESPONDENT FELL FLAT ON  
HIS FACE TWICE BEFORE THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT AND WAIVED ANY  
CHALLENGE BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO CONCEAL THESE MATERIAL  
FACTS, ALLEN-x-McCURRY, 449 U.S. 90, 101 S.Ct. 411, 66 L.Ed.2d.  
308(U.S.1980); B-&-B-HARDWARE,-INC,-x,-HARGIS-INDUSTRIES,-INC,-r  
575 U.S. 138, 135 S.Ct. 1293, 191 L.Ed.2d. 222 (U.S.2015).

ISSUE PRECLUSION IS NOT LIMITED TO THOSE SITUATIONS IN  
WHICH THE SAME ISSUE IS BEFORE TWO COURTS. ONCE THE RESPONDENT  
WAS GIVEN FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO LITIGATE ANY DEFENSE,  
AND TOOK THAT OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO TWICE, AND FELL FLAT ON HIS  
FACE, AND THEN WAIVED HIS RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE THE UNITED  
STATES SUPREME COURT. HE WAS NOT PERMITTED TO IN FRAUD AND CON-  
SPIRACY, TO COME BACK BEFORE THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT A THIRD  
TIME AND ASK FOR A CONTINUANCE TO RELITIGATE ISSUES HE FAILED  
TO DO SO IN THE PRIOR GIVEN FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITIES, INCLU-  
DING HE WAIVING THE RIGHT TO CHALLENGE BEFORE THE UNITED STATES  
SUPREME COURT. THE PETITIONER(S) ARE ENTITLED TO THE DEFAULT  
UPON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS WITH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES  
AND IMMUNITIES RELATED THERETO, GARRITY-x,-MARYLAND-STATE-BD.-  
OR-PLUMBING, 447 Md. 359, 135 A.3d. 452(Md.2016).

THE RESPONDENT BEFORE THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT CLAIMED  
HE HAD UNTIL JUNE 24, 2022 GIVING INDICATION TO A JUDGMENT BY

THE DISTRICT COURT DEMONSTRATING POTENTIALLY ILLEGAL EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN JUDGE HODGES AND THE RESPONDENT BY THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT, DECEPTION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL BEHAVIOR WARRANTING SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE DEMANDED AND THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECT IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE PETITIONER(S) MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH AND CREDIT IN FAVOR OF THE PETITIONER(S) AND ALL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS MUST BE DEEMED TRUE AND CORRECT WHERE THE RESPONDENT HAS WAIVED HIS OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAR BEFORE THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO STATE THE CONTRARY, EOLA-COAL COMPANY, LLC, F.Supp., 2018 WL 1833215 (S.D.Va.2018); BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION, 402 U.S. 313, 91 S.Ct. 1434, 28 L.Ed.2d. 788(U.S.1971); DIDOR v. VIGER, 197 Fed. Appx' 749 (10th.Cir.2006).

#### CONCLUSION

"HAERES EST EADEM PERSONA CUM ANTECESSORE---THE HEIR IS THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR, DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, NATION, COX v. SHALALA, 112 F3d. 151. THE CONCLUSION FOR THIS SUPPLEMENT BRIEF IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS THE INITIAL BRIEF. DUE TO THIS NEW EVIDENCE OF SPOILIATION AND DESTRUCTION OF OUR PLEADINGS BY UNNAMED EMPLOYEES OF THIS COURT AND THE RECENT DENIAL UNDER CASE 21M135 AND THE DEFAULT ON THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS OF THIS CASE THAT DID NOT EXIST AT THE TIME THIS PETITION WAS INTIALLY FILED.

THE PETITIONER(S) IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS MUST BE PERMITTED TO SUPPLEMENT TO BRING THESE NEW MATERIAL FACTS BEFORE THE COURT. FREEDOM OF THOUGHT, WHICH INCLUDE FREEDOM OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, IS BASIC IN A SOCIETY OF FREEMEN, ...IT EMBRACES THE RIGHT TO MAINTAIN THEORIES OF LIFE AND DEATH AND OF THE HEREAFTER WHICH ARE RANKED HERESIES TO MEMBERS OF ORTHODOX FAITHS. MEN MAY BELIEVE WHAT THEY CANNOT PROVE. THEY MAY NOT BE PUT TO PROOF OF THEIR RELIGIOUS DOCTRINES OR BELIEFS. RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCE WHICH ARE AS REAL AS LIFE TO SOME MAY BE INCOMPREHENSIBLE TO OTHERS, ... THE FATHERS OF OUR CONSTITUTION WERE NOT UNAWARE OF THE VARIED AND EXTREME VIEWS OF RELIGIOUS SECTS, OF THE VIOLENCE OF DISAGREEMENT AMONG THEM, AND THE LACK OF ANY ONE RELIGIOUS CREED ON WHICH ALL MEN MAY AGREE. SAME SEX MARRIAGE MUST BE REVISITED WHICH IS SOUGHT BY THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AND MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION. IT IS OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS WHICH THIS NATION AND OTHERS VIOLATED GIVING US STANDING AND CAUSE TO CHALLENGE BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE FOREFATHERS OF THE CONSTITUTION FASHIONED A CHARACTER OF GOVERNMENT WHICH ENVISAGED THE WIDEST POSSIBLE TOLERANCE TO CONFLICTING VIEWS. MAN'S RELATIONSHIP TO HIS GOD WAS MADE NO CONCERN OF THE STATE. HE IS GRANTED THE RIGHT TO WORSHIP AS HE PLEASE AND IS NOT REQUIRED TO ANSWER TO ANY MAN FOR THE VERITY OF HIS RELIGIOUS VIEWS, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT HE IS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR OF A FOREIGN STATE AND GENUINE PROPHET AND LAWGIVER OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. ALSO SEE RULE 44 OF S.C. RULES OF CIV. PRO., FOREIGN LAW, DEFAULTED ON BY

ALL PARTIES INVOLVED. THE COURTS HAVE EXPLAINED THAT THERE IS NO WRONG WITHOUT REMEDY WHICH THE CONSPIRING PARTIES TOOK FROM THE BY THE FRAUD AND EVEN DESTROYING FILINGS TO THWART JUST AND FAIR JUDICIAL REVIEW. THE HISTORY OF THE REMEDY CLAUSE INDICATE THAT ITS PURPOSE IS TO PROTECT ABSOLUTE COMMON LAW RIGHTS RESPECTING PERSONS PROPERTY, AND REPUTATION, AND THOSE RIGHTS EXISTED WHEN THE CONSTITUTION WAS ESTABLISHED. THE COURT HAS STATED THAT THE GUARANTEE OF REMEDY BY THE DUE COURSE OF JUSTICE FOR INJURY TO PERSON, PROPERTY OR REPUTATION, "IS ONE OF THE MOST SACRED AND ESSENTIAL OF ALL THE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES" AND THAT "WITHOUT IT A FREE GOVERNMENT CANNOT BE PRESERVED" AND SUCH A CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEE DOES NOT DISAPPEAR JUST BECAUSE A PERSON IS INCARCERATED, SMOTHERS-V.-GRESHAM-TRANSFER INC. 332 Or. 83, 23 P.3d. 333 (2011); GEARIN-V.-MARION-COUNTY, 110 Or. 390, 396, 233 P. 929; PLATT-V.-NEWBERRY-ET.-AL. 104 Or. 148, 153, 205 P. 296(1922). THE SAME PRINCIPLES OF LAW APPLIES HERE IN S.C. AND AROUND THE NATION. UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA BY WHAT THEY DID HERE CANNOT BURDEN THE FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, ESPECIALLY IF THEY BEAR NEXUS TO PROPERTY RIGHTS AND RIGHTS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ., WHERE THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD IS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH PURSUANT TO THE 3 HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH, WHICH ARE "CONTRACTS", "COVENANTS" PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, OR THEY MAY NOT PROHIBIT THE EXPRESSION OF AN IDEA BECAUSE SOCIETY FINDS THE IDEA OFFENSIVE AS MENTIONED, OR DISAGREEABLE. THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE ALONG WITH THE OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL AND DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS ARGUED IN THIS CASE WOULD BAR THE STATE OF SOUTH CARO-

LINA FROM ENGAGING IN THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION ARGUED WHICH ATTACHES TO THE CONVICTION(S) THEMSELVES ALSO DUE TO THE CLAIMS OF NON PARTY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP-LTD.-v.-COLORADO-CIVIL-RIGHTS-COM'N, 138 S.Ct. 1719, 201 L.Ed.2d. 35(U.S.2018). ALSO SEE BOOKS ENTITLED, "BEFORE THE MAYFLOWER, A HISTORY OF BLACK AMERICA", BY LEONE BENNETT; "SUNAH IBN-E-MAJAH" VOL. 5 ISBN NO. 81-7151-294-1 PAGES 391-395; "WORLD'S GREATEST MEN OF COLOR" VOL. 1 BY J.A. RODGERS ISBN NO. 978-0-684-81581-7; "THE KEBAR NAGAST" OR "GLORY OF THE KINGS" A CHRONICLE OF THE RULERS OF ETHIOPIA; THE BOOK OF ZECHARIAH 6:12-13 (AUTHORIZED KING JAMES BIBLE); McFAUL-v.-RAMSEY 61 U.S. (20 HOW) 523, 525, 15 L.Ed. 1010, 1011 (U.S.1858); NEW HOPE-FAMILY-SERVICES-INC.-v.-POOLE, 966 F3d. 145(2nd.Cir.2020); TELESCOPE-MEDIA-GROUP-v.-LUCERO, 936 F3d. 740 (8th.Cir.2019); CHELSEY-NELSON-PHOTOGRAPHY-LLC.-v.-LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON-COUNTY METRO-GOVERNMENT, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2020 WL 4745771 (W.D.Ky.2020); BRADY-v.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 1031301 (E.D.Va.2016); ZIVOTOFSKY-EX-REL-ZIVOTOFSKY-v.-KERRY, 135 S.Ct. 2076, 192 L.Ed. 2d. 83, 83 U.S.L.W. 4391 (U.S.2015). THEREFORE, THIS SUPPLEMENT BRIEF TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI SHOULD BE GRANTED.

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON

#316742 EDISTO A-24

LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205

RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

*Christopher Wilson*

ORLANDO PARKER

#346628 F2B. RM. 2234

LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.

BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010



ARTHUR McQUILLA

#152425 F2B. RM. 1261

LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.

BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010



JULY 11, 2022