

**RECEIVED**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

**Aug 04 2022**

**S.C. SUPREME COURT**

TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY  
COMPANY OF AMERICA,

Case No. 6:21-cv-02648-DCC

Plaintiff,

v.

BARBARA HAWTHORNE, as Personal  
Representative of the Estate of  
Nathaniel Hawthorne, Jr.,

Defendant.

---

**NOTIFICATION OF REQUEST TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL MATERIALS  
TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT**

---

NOW COMES Plaintiff Travelers Property Casualty Company of America (“Travelers”), by its counsel, and pursuant to Rule 244(b), SCACR, notifies the Court of Travelers’ request that this Court submit additional materials to the South Carolina Supreme Court to aid that Court in answering the certified question.

On June 13, 2022, this Court entered an Order certifying a question to the South Carolina Supreme Court. (ECF 41 (“Certification Order”). The South Carolina Supreme Court received the Certification Order on June 29, 2022. On August 2, 2022, the South Carolina Supreme Court entered its Order accepting and agreeing to answer the certified question. Pursuant to Rule 244(b), SCACR, the Supreme Court will review only the certification order and “will not consider any

documents or other evidentiary materials unless the certifying court has submitted those materials.” *Id.*

Travelers contends that additional materials in the record from this Court will enable the South Carolina Supreme Court to more fully analyze and answer the certified question. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 244(b), SCACR (last sentence), Travelers requests this Court submit the following materials to the South Carolina Supreme Court:

- (1) Plaintiff’s Complaint (ECF 1) and exhibit (ECF 1-1);
- (2) Defendant’s Answer and Counterclaim (ECF 11);
- (3) Plaintiff’s Answer to Defendant’s Counterclaim (ECF 17);
- (4) Defendant’s Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (ECF 20) and exhibits (ECF 20-1, 20-2, 20-3);
- (5) Plaintiff’s Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF 28) and exhibits (ECF 28-1, 28-2);
- (6) Defendant’s Reply (ECF 31);
- (7) Plaintiff’s Reply (ECF 33);
- (8) Defendant’s Motion to Certify Question (ECF 35);
- (9) Plaintiff’s Response in Opposition (ECF 36);
- (10) Defendant’s Reply to Plaintiff’s Response (ECF 37).

The document found at ECF 1-1 is especially important because it contains the applicable portion of the Travelers policy at issue. Travelers has argued throughout this litigation that the policy language is controlling. Additionally, the material located at ECF 20-3 is important because it is the dashcam video from the officer’s vehicle and it documents the sequence of events at issue.

Wherefore, Travelers respectfully requests that this Court submit the aforementioned materials to the South Carolina Supreme Court.

Respectfully submitted this the 4th day of August, 2022.

MARTINEAU KING PLLC

/s/ Lee M. Thomas

Lee M. Thomas (Fed. ID: 12799)

lthomas@martineauking.com

Joseph W. Fulton\*

*\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

jfulton@martineauking.com

P.O. Box 241268

Charlotte, NC 28224

Telephone: (704) 247-8532

Facsimile: (704) 247-8582

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*