

**From:** [Janet Frisco](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Holmes, Tammie](#); [Transcripts](#); [Cendroski, Julie A.](#)  
**Cc:** [Stephan Futeral](#); [Stephanie Smith](#)  
**Subject:** Received April 22, 2022 Transcripti  
**Date:** Thursday, August 18, 2022 9:36:49 PM

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

On August 17, 2022 I received by Priority Mail U.S. Postal Service a copy of the transcript stamped "ORIGINAL" of the April 22, 2022 hearing from Julie Cendroski, the court reporter. Ms.Cendroski had previously emailed me a copy on August 5, 2022 containing errors after sending me a transcript of a June 14, 2021 transcript on August 4, 2022 that the plaintiff's lawyer had requested and then cancelled. I plan to formally challenge the record due to the fact the final copy of the April 22, 2022 transcript still contains errors.

On August 18, 2022 I received another exact copy by Priority Mail of the June 14, 2021 hearing that Ms.Cendroski had already mailed me by mistake on August 4, 2022. The envelop also contained a refund of \$42.50 she had previously charged me for the wrong transcript. Actually, the hearing took place on June 17, 2021.

Since my transcript request was mishandled by the South Carolina Court Administration Office and Ms.Cendroski, I have requested additional time to complete my initial brief and Designation of Matter from the South Carolina Appellate Court. It is now necessary for me to spend extra time proof reading and challenging the record of the transcript instead of concentrating on the required appeal documents that are usually due within 30 days of receiving the transcript. I have also requested the audio under the Freedom of Information Act, but the office of court administration hasn't responded.

The Plaintiff's lawyer, Mr.Stephan Futeral, demanded Ms.Cendroski mail him a copy of both transcripts without payment and I believe Ms.Cendroski complied with that demand because she said it wasn't fair I received the June 14, 2021 transcript.

Janet L. Frisco,  
Appellate Case #2022-000775 Pet Helpers, Inc. v Janet Frisco v. Melissa Susko, Third Party Defendant