

**From:** [Janet Frisco](mailto:Janet.Frisco)  
**To:** [sfuteral@charlestonlaw.net](mailto:sfuteral@charlestonlaw.net)  
**Cc:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Holmes, Tammie](#); [Transcripts](#); [Cendroski, Julie A.](#); [Stephanie Smith](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Received April 22, 2022 Transcript  
**Date:** Thursday, August 18, 2022 10:51:48 PM

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Mr.Futeral,

Ms Cendroski said she was going to send it to you without payment because it wasn't fair she sent itme the transcript you requested and then cancelled. That's the information I had. Great, She finally got paid for the transcript you requested and for the one I requested so that much good had come out of it.

Perhaps you wouldn't mind letting the court and the office of court administration decide if I get an extension or if the transcript is accurate. Ms Cendroski rushed through i to stay within her deadline after spending most of her time completing the wrong transcript. I'm reading from a law analysis and it says "omitting" not "admitting". The final copy is a page longer and there's all kinds of additional words. You just don't have a problem with it's inaccuracy because it's necessary for my appeal and you want me to lose.

What transpired in to he hearing is that Judge Price let you control the proceedings and wouldn't let me present my motions as the judges in the lower court have done throughout this litigation. It's the same court that was helping someone steal my car in 2005 in Janet Frisco vs Debra Walker. That's what the transcript accurately shows. Price didn't even know what motions were before him. Did you read in the June 14th transcripts that you said Pet Helpers was "staging' adoptions? Yes we will move forward when the time is right and after everything is exposed in this farce of a lawsuit.

Best wishes to you too. I hope you and your friends get all you truly deserve when this is over.

Janet Frisco

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Thu, Aug 18, 2022 at 10:02 PM, Stephan Futeral <[sfuteral@charlestonlaw.net](mailto:sfuteral@charlestonlaw.net)> wrote:

Ms. Frisco,

Kindly do not mischaracterize the circumstances here. No one from my office demanded a free transcript, and we have paid Ms. Cendroski.

I have reviewed the transcript, and it accurately reflects what transpired during the hearing. Now that you have the original transcript, please follow the rules requiring your initial brief and the designation of matter on appeal so we can move forward.

Best wishes,



**Stephan Futeral, Esq.**  
Civil & Family Court Mediator, Futeral & Nelson, LLC

 843.284.5500  
 charlestonlaw.net  
 1004 Anna Knapp Ext, Mt. Pleasant SC 29464

    

---

IMPORTANT: The information contained in this distribution, or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited by both State and Federal communications laws. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at the above number and permanently delete the original message received by you. IRS CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: Any federal tax advice contained in this communication (or in any attachment) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending any transaction or matter addressed in this communication.



On Aug 18, 2022, at 9:26 PM, Janet Frisco <janetfrisco@yahoo.com> wrote:

On August 17, 2022 I received by Priority Mail U.S. Postal Service a copy of the transcript stamped "ORIGINAL" of the April 22, 2022 hearing from Julie Cendroski, the court reporter. Ms.Cendroski had previously emailed me a copy on August 5, 2022 containing errors after sending me a transcript of a June 14, 2021 transcript on August 4, 2022 that the plaintiff's lawyer had requested and then cancelled. I plan to formally challenge the record due to the fact the final copy of the April 22, 2022 transcript still contains errors.

On August 18, 2022 I received another exact copy by Priority Mail of the June 14, 2021 hearing that Ms.Cendroski had already mailed me by mistake on August 4, 2022. The envelop also contained a refund of \$42.50 she had previously charged me for the wrong transcript. Actually, the hearing took place on June **17**, 2021.

Since my transcript request was mishandled by the South Carolina Court Administration Office and Ms.Cendroski, I have requested additional time to complete my initial brief and Designation of Matter from the South Carolina Appellate Court. It is now necessary for me to spend extra time proof reading and challenging the record of the transcript instead of concentrating on the required appeal documents that are usually due within 30 days of receiving the transcript. I have also requested the audio under the Freedom of Information Act, but the office of court administration hasn't responded.

The Plaintiff's lawyer, Mr.Stephan Futeral, demanded Ms.Cendroski mail him a copy of both transcripts without payment and I believe Ms.Cendroski complied with that demand because she said it wasn't fair I received the June 14, 2021 transcript.

Janet L. Frisco,  
Appellate Case #2022-000775 Pet Helpers, Inc. v Janet Frisco v. Melissa Susko, Third Party  
Defendant