

From: [Janet Frisco](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Stephan Futeral](#); [Stephanie Smith](#); [Holmes, Tammie](#); [Transcripts](#); [Cendroski, Julie A.](#)
Subject: AWAITING FINAL TRANSCRIPT
Date: Thursday, September 8, 2022 10:48:38 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

RECEIVED

Dear Ms. Kitchings,

Sep 08 2022

RE: Pet Helpers, Inc. v Janet L. Frisco v. Melissa Susko, Third-Party Defendant, Appeal Case # 2022-000775

SC Court of Appeals

Would you please inform me of the new deadline for submitting my INITIAL BRIEF and DESIGNATION OF MATTER in the aforementioned appeal. I am still waiting to receive the stamped "ORIGINAL" copy of the transcript in the mail from Julie A. Cendroski, the court reporter assigned by the Administrative Law Office. My challenge to the record was completed by Tammie Holmes in the administrative law office on August 31, 2022 and Ms.Cendroski sent me a copy with Ms. Holmes' corrections via email on that same date.

Since my transcript request was not handled properly by the South Carolina Administrative Law Office or Ms.Cendroski and I did not receive it within the sixty-day time limit, it would only be reasonable and just of the court to allow me another thirty days to complete the required appeal documents after I receive the stamped copy. I should not be required to file a motion for an extension at my expense since the Administrative Law Office and Ms.Cendroski were guilty of mishandling the request I submitted on June 9, 2022 and mailed a certified check for fees on June 20, 2022.

I would also like to formally question why Ms.Cendroski was assigned by the Administrative Law Office when Caressa Johnson was the court reporter designated on the court calendar and the individual I listed on the transcript request form I submitted. When I was contacted by Ms.Cendroski and asked her why she was assigned to transcribe the transcript instead of Ms. Johnson, she said that some court reporters are not certified to do the transcripts, but only run the machines. Tammie Holmes', in the Administrative Law Office, explanation for why she changed the person in charge of transcribing my transcripts was because they knew Ms.Cendroski had already transcribed the June 17, 2021 hearing in this case and would be familiar. This and all the many other irregularities that have occurred during the course of this litigation against me will be addressed in my appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

Janet L. Frisco, Pro Se Appellant