

From: [Dana FieldsLaw](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Taylor, Heath P. Secretary \(Catherine C. Wilson\)](#); [Taylor, Heath P. Law Clerk \(Jessica Thompson\)](#); [Sammie Weidauer](#); [Dickson, Edgar W.](#)
Subject: Fwd: Appellate Case No. 2020-001036
Date: Tuesday, September 20, 2022 7:18:52 PM
Attachments: [Saadeh H v. State pcr order.pdf](#)

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

I apologize in advance for two emails. I forgot to include everyone in my previous email. I am resending with everyone copied.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dana FieldsLaw <danafieldslaw@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Sep 20, 2022 at 7:13 PM
Subject: Appellate Case No. 2020-001036
To: <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>

Evening.

This was my first (and probably only PCR).

The Applicant's PCR was granted in the Circuit court by Judge Dickson.

The AG's office filed the appeal with the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court transferred the case to the Court of Appeals on or about 28 April 2021.

In the Order denying the petition for writ of certiorari, file dated 19 August 2022, it reflects the matter is the before the court on a petition for a writ of certiorari following the denial of the Petitioner's application for post-conviction relief. Further, the petition for writ is denied.

The Order is reflecting the Petitioner had the application for PCR, however it was the respondent that filed and won on his application for PCR in the Circuit court. The Petitioner in this petition for writ is the State of South Carolina, not the person that filed, and was granted, the application for post-conviction relief.

I believe the Order should read:

This matter is before the court on a petition for a writ of certiorari following the grant of Respondent's application for post-conviction relief. Based on the vote of the panel, the petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

The posture of this case is different from most other PCR cases, and it's my understanding it is not with frequency that the PCR applicant actually wins and the AG's office appeals, like what happened in this case. I am also inexperienced in this process, but upon remand to the lower court I may have problems with the current order denying writ. Please let me know if I am incorrect in my reading of the order denying writ.

Thank you in advance.

Best,

Dana S. Fields, J.D., LL.M

Email: danafieldslaw@gmail.com

Call for office address and appointment

Immigration Non victim/criminal charges/all other cases: 843.718.0741

Immigration Victim or juvenile case: Phone: 843.641.0462

--

We must be the change we want to see in the World.

Mahatma Gandhi

The information contained in this transmission may contain material that is privileged and confidential, including that which may be protected by the attorney-client privilege, investigative privilege, and/or constitutional protections. Unless otherwise indicated, it is only intended for viewing by the named recipient(s) identified herein by the sender. You are hereby advised to not forward, copy, or otherwise produce for viewing and/or use by anyone not identified by the sender or with the expressed written permission of the sender.

