

Dear Clerks Office:

May I please be forwarded
a copy of the enclosed Order
front & back, my only copy -

Thank You

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2022

SC Court of Appeals



Office of the Clerk of Court

Greenville, South Carolina

Paul B. Wickensimer

Clerk of Court

Circuit Court Division

Greenville County Courthouse

305 East North Street

Greenville, South Carolina 29601

(864) 467-8551

September 6, 2022

Nathaniel Glenn #303563

Kershaw Correctional Institution

4848 Goldmine Hwy

Kershaw, SC 29067

Dear Mr. Glenn:

Your attorney requested that a copy of the judge's Order Denying Motion for New Trial and Motion for Computation of Credits be sent to you. The copy is enclosed.

Sincerely,

Clerk of Court

Greenville County General Sessions

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF GREENVILLE

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

vs.

Nathaniel Glenn Jr.,

Defendant.

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
FOR THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL
CIRCUIT

Indictment #: 2002GS236529

H192003

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR NEW
TRIAL AND MOTION FOR
COMPUTATION OF CREDITS

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2022

SC Court of Appeals


This matter comes before the Court upon two separate Motions filed by the Defendant Nathaniel Glenn, Jr. on May 4, 2022. Defendant's filed Motions are: 1) Motion for New trial based on Prosecutor Misconduct and Juror Tampering of After-Discovered Evidence pursuant to Rule 29(b) SCRCrimP; and 2) Motion for Computation of County Jail Credits and Monitored House Arrest. Due to the nature of the Motions and issues raised by the Defendant, the Court will address these Motions herein without a hearing.

As to the Motion for New Trial, the Defendant has not set forth sufficient grounds for a New Trial. Further, as set forth in Defendant's Motions, these issues have been raised in one or more previous proceedings, i.e. Appeals, PCRs, and a Writ of Habeas Corpus in Federal Court. All of the issues have been thoroughly vetted by both State and Federal Courts. Rule 29(b), SCRCrimP, provides that all motions for new trials must be filed within 1 year of the discovery of such "new evidence". Based on the Defendant's Motion, he previously filed a Motion for a New Trial on December 9, 2019 which was denied and an appeal filed. Based on Defendant's Motion, the Court of Appeals dismissed his appeal and now Defendant has refiled his Motion for a New Trial without asserting any new grounds for the Motion. The Defendant was sentenced on this charge on July 13, 2004. As set forth in the Defendant's Motion, any

alleged after discovered evidence was presented during PCR and Writ of Habeas Corpus several years ago, and the grounds for his motion have been addressed and dismissed by the various courts. Therefore, the Defendant has not met the threshold needed for a hearing or new trial and the Motion is respectfully denied.

As to the Motion for recalculation of the Defendant's credit, this Court is not the proper venue for any adjustment to the credit available to Defendant. As far as the Motion requesting a reconsideration of the sentence, this Motion would also be outside the time requirement provided by Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP, which provides that any post-trial motions should be filed within 10 days of the "imposition of the sentence". Therefore, this Motion is denied as well.

It is so Ordered.



Perry H. Gravely
Chief Administrative Judge – General Sessions
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

June 30, 2022
Greenville, South Carolina