

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Reginald Byrd, Petitioner,


v.


State of South Carolina, Respondent.


Appellate Case No. 2022-000986


ORDER


By order dated August 18, 2022, this Court dismissed the notice of appeal in this matter for Petitioner's failure to provide a sufficient explanation under Rule 243(c), SCACR. Petitioner has now submitted a letter requesting permission to file an amended Rule 243(c) explanation. He also requests an extension of time in which to file an amended explanation. Because this case has been dismissed, the requests are denied as moot. To the extent Petitioner is seeking rehearing of the order of dismissal, he has failed to demonstrate the Court overlooked or misapprehended any arguments in its previous ruling. *See* Rule 221(a), SCACR (requiring a petition for rehearing to state with particularity the points supposed to have been overlooked or misapprehended by the appellate court); *Kennedy v. S.C. Ret. Sys.*, 349 S.C. 531, 532, 564 S.E.2d 322, 322 (2001) (stating that in order to prevail on a petition for rehearing, an appellant must show the Court overlooked or misapprehended the appellant's argument). Accordingly, we decline to reconsider the order of dismissal.


_____ C.J.


_____ J.


_____ J.


_____ J.


_____ J.

Columbia, South Carolina
October 06, 2022

cc:
Chelsey Faith Marto, Esquire
Reginald Byrd, 209137