

From: [Janet Frisco](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [ODCmail](#); [Stephan Futeral](#); [Stephanie Smith](#); [McCoy, Jennifer B. Law Clerk \(Jordan Malloy\)](#); [Price, Bentley Law Clerk \(Haley Kiser\)](#); [Young, Roger Law Clerk \(Max J. Mazurek\)](#); [Griffin, Ryan K. Law Clerk \(Molly Harmon\)](#); [Cothran, Ralph F. Jr., Law Clerk \(James Land\)](#)
Subject: POST SURGERY UPDATE
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 1:22:28 PM
Attachments: [1990 Honda Accord.docx](#)
[David C Coker.pdf](#)
[Signed dismissal letter.pdf](#)

RECEIVED

Oct 06 2022

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Ms.Kitchings,

As you may know, I have filed a motion for an extension to file my initial brief and designation of matter due to an abscessed tooth that had to be extracted yesterday. The oral surgeon prescribed 500 MG of Amoxicillin three (3) times a day for the infection which can cause damage to the heart. Due to Pet Helper's lawsuit against me, I have to spend an enormous amount of time trying to defend myself plus working extra hours to pay thousands of dollars in sanctions to their attorney ordered by the lower court which doesn't allow me time to take care of my health so I let this problem go on for weeks before getting medical attention, the condition worsened and could have serious consequences. I also have a condition that is aggravated by stress known as Bruxism (teeth grinding) that has worn off the enamel on a tooth behind the one that was extracted and needs to be repaired.

I'm not guilty of libel or slander against the Plaintiff and my appeal will show that if I am allowed time to complete it. The lower court judges have just basically "signed off" on the plaintiff's attorney's motions during the litigation without allowing me to argue my case or submit evidence of my innocence. This is a flagrant abuse of the Standard of Discretion, and the Plaintiff's attorney and the lower court are guilty of Malicious Use of Civil Process and should have been disciplined by the Supreme Court's Office of Disciplinary Counsel, when I filed a complaint against him which was recently dismissed by a legal assistant in that office. (See attached letter). Their attorney may also be guilty of manufacturing evidence and submitting it to the court on April 22, 2022, in the form of an adoption application form he claimed belonged to the legitimate adopter of my dog Toby (See attached photo). Judge Bentley Price accepted it at the hearing as authentic without requesting a picture I.D. and t Pet Helpers could easily have forged the document.


Their attorney requested Discovery without a legitimate purpose since he already had all my statements that he alleges were libelous on videos and posts that I put on social media and signs I stood out in front of Pet Helpers when protesting, He only submitted them to burden me so I wouldn't be able to defend myself from the lawsuit and he could collect the sanctions when I couldn't complete them which he knew beforehand I couldn't possibly complete and defend myself at the same time and furthermore he knew there was no way anyone would have been able to complete his ridiculous production demands. This is another abuse of civil process he has committed in order to implement a "Strategic Lawsuit to Prevent Public Participation" (S.L.A.P.P.) which are illegal in some states because they violate a constitutional right to free speech. They were "cruel and greedy", to not return the dog to me when they knew we were suffering separation, had euthanized healthy, non-aggressive dogs at Pet Helpers Shelter and Melissa Susko was certified to euthanize according to a former employee who spoke to me and so I truly believed they killed Toby until they showed me the video with the front page of the newspaper dated 6-15-21 and then I stopped saying it. There was no malice as required for libel or slander.

The Plaintiff's case should have been dismissed a long time ago and wasn't because the lower court is aiding Pet Helpers in covering up their criminal fraud just as they did in a previous case I filed Janet Frisco vs. Debra Walker in 2005(See document attached). The defendant tried to fraudulently obtain ownership of my stolen car by illegally using a mechanics lean and additionally violated a federal law by

requesting my vehicle information from SCDMV. Judge Markley Dennis in The Court of Common Pleas 9th Circuit dismissed the case and the defendant was not notified to be there. I complained to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel about Magistrate David Coker who judge who initially was helping the defendant to steal my car, but the ODC dismissed that complaint as well



Blaine John
Oct. 24, 2021



Owner
Oct. 23, 2021

Janet L. Frisco,
Pro Se Appellant