

**From:** [innocentlyal@gmail.com](mailto:innocentlyal@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](mailto:Court Of Appeals Filings)  
**Subject:** RE: [Possible Scam Fraud]Case Number: 2022-001394/ ( WC 555-E13688) Alicia Ruffin vs. Builders Firstsource, Inc. ; SC Court of Appeals: South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission  
**Date:** Monday, October 10, 2022 10:41:37 AM

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

I received this email saying the is a possible "Fraud Case". I am understand what or why? I have never asked anyone for anything except to hold the people accountable for injuring me and abandoning my medical care. Liberty Mutual has me under surveillancing for over 4 years, emails, calls etc. SSA has been monitoring my to checking account and my husband's account. I have never sent any emails asking for money. Please, please explain....

Sincerely,

Alicia Ruffin

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Mon, Oct 10, 2022 at 8:27 AM, Court Of Appeals Filings <[ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org)> wrote:

The Court has received your filing. A stamped copy is attached for your records.

Thank you.

---

**From:** Alicia Ruffin <[innocentlyal@gmail.com](mailto:innocentlyal@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 7, 2022 5:51 PM  
**To:** Court Of Appeals Filings <[ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org)>; [mary.littlejohn@eoc.gov](mailto:mary.littlejohn@eoc.gov); [amanda.neely@mgclaw.com](mailto:amanda.neely@mgclaw.com); [markatelittlejohn@gmail.com](mailto:markatelittlejohn@gmail.com); [amandaelizabethpittman@gmail.com](mailto:amandaelizabethpittman@gmail.com); [abracy@wcc.sc.gov](mailto:abracy@wcc.sc.gov); Keith Roberts <[keroberts@wcc.sc.gov](mailto:keroberts@wcc.sc.gov)>; Burns, LaToyla <[lburns@sccourts.org](mailto:lburns@sccourts.org)>; [tlburgin@wjcblaw.com](mailto:tlburgin@wjcblaw.com)  
**Subject:** [Possible Scam Fraud]Case Number: 2022-001394/ ( WC 555-E13688) Alicia Ruffin vs. Builders Firstsource, Inc. ; SC Court of Appeals: South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

---

**WARNING:** Your email security system has determined the message below may be a potential threat.

The sender may trick victims into passing bad checks on their behalf.

If you do not know the sender or cannot verify the integrity of the message, please do not respond or click on links in the message. Depending on the security settings, clickable URLs may have been modified to provide additional security.

---

Dear Court of Appeals:

I am writing in concern for a copy of the Deficiency Letter. I received notification that Opposing counsel received communication from your office on 10/07/2022. But I have yet to receive a copy; with the exception of the email from Atty. South Lewis addressed Mrs. Burns. Please forward that information and all others to either the enclosed email or mailing address:

Drive

Carolina 29334

563 Duncan Station

Duncan, South

Additionally, the full extent of my injuries has not been adjudicated. Commissioner Beck did not render a decision concerning the Appellant's neck and the Major Depressive Disorder and PTSD. And no court has adjudicated a decision on the evidence tampering by Atty. Amanda Neely and Atty. Mary-Kate Littlejohn. Atty. Lewis withheld the fact that Atty. Amanda Neely perjured herself before your court (Court of Appeals) July 14, 2021. She withheld a settlement check addressed to Atty. Mary-Kate Littlejohn and the Appellant. She held the check past its expiration; after the Court of Appeals rendered their decision to deny the Appellant's re-hearing. This would have proven that the proper Attorney was properly served and she perjured herself before your court. If an one attorney has been notified and then it's deemed that the firm has been properly served; since they are of the same law firm.

The FULL details of the Appellant's case has yet to be heard before any court. The Appellant was informed by Commissioner McGaskill and Wilkerson that she was within her rights to appeal their decisions of the Commission. If the Appellant would have waited too long to file; the Appellant's case would have been lost again based on a

technicality. Laws have even been violated to protect the previous Opposing Counselors from facing prosecution on how they have conducted this case and Atty. South Lewis fears that upon further review these violations and others, will come to light. Please assist the Appellant in hopes of resolving these issues.

Respectfully I Submit this statement,

Alicia Ruffin, Appellant

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.