


RECEIVED

Oct 13 2022

SC Court of Appeals



**Hawkins &
Jedziniak, LLC**

Joshua T. Hawkins

josh@hjlsc.com

Helena L. Jedziniak *

helena@hjlsc.com

** also licensed in North Carolina*

1225 South Church Street, Greenville, SC 29605
P: (864) 275-8142 ▪ F: (864) 752-0911 ▪ www.hjlsc.com

October 13, 2022

--via electronic mail: ctappfilings@sccourts.org--

South Carolina Court of Appeals

Clerk of Court

1220 Senate Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Re: *Gregory Muxlow and Charlotte Muxlow v. Scottsdale Insurance Company, et al.*
Appellate Case No. 2022-000576

Dear Sir or Ma'am:

I am writing this letter to request guidance from the Court.

The appellants in this case filed their notice of appeal on April 29, 2022, mistakenly designating only Defendant Scottsdale Insurance as the Respondent. On August 8, 2022, the appellants' initial brief and designation of matter were filed. To date, we have not received, nor seen the filing of Respondent Scottsdale Insurance's initial brief. However, on September 6, Defendants South Wind Ranch, Ashley Black, and Ronald Hakala filed an initial brief. The Court subsequently informed counsel for the defendants that they would have to file a motion to be added as respondents. Those three parties filed that motion on September 9, 2022. We are currently awaiting the decision of the Court on that motion.

In accordance with all other filing dates, the appellants' Initial Reply Brief is due for filing on Monday, October 17th. We would like to request that the Court inform us if our deadline is stayed pending the defendants' motion. While we have drafted a reply brief to the defendants' initial brief and are prepared to file on Monday, we have concerns as to whether our reply brief will be appropriate since those defendants are not yet respondents. Further, as the actual respondent, Scottsdale, has not filed an initial brief, we do not have a brief to which we can reply.

As the Court previously informed us, no other extensions will be granted absent extraordinary circumstance, we would like to know if this warrants those circumstance, if we

should file an additional motion for an extension to file our reply brief, and/or if our deadline is stayed pending the outcome of the defendants' motion.


We thank you for your attention and prompt response to this matter. Should anything further be needed, please do not hesitate to let us know.

JTH/mgb



Sincerely,

Joshua T. Hawkins



CC:
Harriet Condon Ward
Womble Bond Dickinson, LLP
P.O. Box 999
Charleston, SC 29402

Jay Anthony
Anthony Law, LLC
650 E. Washington St.
Greenville, SC 29601