

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

City of Columbia, Respondent,

v.

Marie-Therese Assa'ad-Faltas, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2022-000339

---

## ORDER

---


First, Appellant's motion to require the Commission on Indigent Defense to undertake Appellant's representation is denied. *See State v. Clinkscales*, 318 S.C. 513, 515, 458 S.E.2d 548, 549 (1995) ("A defendant's Sixth Amendment right to assistance of counsel attaches at all critical stages of a criminal prosecution. However, this constitutional right extends only to the first right of appeal.").

Second, Appellant's motion for an extension of time to order additional transcripts is denied. Appellant's motion for her provisional initial brief to be accepted and defer the designation of matter until all transcripts are received is denied. All previously filed briefs and designations of matter are hereby stricken. Within thirty days of the date of this order, Appellant shall serve and file Appellant's initial brief and designation of matter. The initial brief and designation of matter shall be in compliance with the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. No extensions will be granted absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances.

Third, Appellant's motion for leave to file, receive, and serve filings by e-mail is granted to the limited extent that the only address Appellant may send filings to is [ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org). Appellant may use this email address only to file appropriate appellate documents and may not otherwise email any member of this court or its staff.

Fourth, Appellant's motion "for perpetuation pending appeal" is denied.

Fifth, Appellant's motion to refer this case to Chief Justice Donald Beatty is denied.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:  
Marie Assa-ad Faltas  
Marshall Schumpert James, Esquire

**FILED**  
**Nov 17 2022**