

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Willie Frazier, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2022-001141

ORDER





Petitioner has filed an appeal from the summary dismissal of his fifth application for post-conviction relief (PCR) and a motion to set aside the PCR court's final order for failing to contain sufficient findings of fact and conclusions of law on his claim of lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Any deficiency in the final order of dismissal should have been raised to the PCR court by way of a motion to alter or amend the judgment pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRPC. *See Pruitt v. State*, 310 S.C. 254, 256, 423 S.E.2d 127, 128 (1992) (holding a Rule 59(e), SCRPC, motion to alter or amend must be filed if the PCR order fails to set forth the findings of fact and conclusions of law required by S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-80 (2015) and Rule 52(a), SCRPC). Accordingly, we deny the motion to set aside the PCR order.

In his Rule 243(c) explanation, Petitioner has failed to show there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the PCR court was improper. Accordingly, the notice of appeal in this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

In addition, we hereby prohibit Petitioner from filing any further collateral actions in the circuit court, including PCR actions and habeas corpus actions, as well as any motions relating to the previously filed collateral actions, challenging his 1995 convictions for attempted armed robbery, assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature, and pointing a firearm, or any motions in the underlying

criminal case, including a motion pursuant to Rule 29, SCRCrimP, without first obtaining permission to do so from this Court.

	_____	C.J.
	_____	J.
	_____	J.
	_____	J.
	_____	J.

Columbia, South Carolina
November 17, 2022

cc:
Megan Harrigan Jameson, Esquire
Willie Frazier, #219272