

4. All the allegations Appellant made doubting a pool of 50 potential jurors had been summoned to appear before the verdict was rendered, some 16 hours before the scheduled jury trial were quite likely true.

Although proof cannot be supplied by the Appellant regarding this belief, a thorough investigation by SLED agents should be able to reveal the truth of the matter, if it is deemed necessary in reaching a determination of Magisterial misconduct while in Office.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The standard of review will rest upon commonsense reasoning based upon the evidence presented and the moral conscience of the Justices, and not on a narrow interpretation of an insufficiently addressed technicality in law that cannot be applied in this case. What is morally wrong and ethically unconscionable cannot stand as being legally right.

DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Appellant proposes the following be included in the Record on Appeal:

1. Index and Contents of Emails previously submitted as evidence
2. Index and Contents of Judicial Rulings in Chronological Order.
3. Index and Contents of Appellant's Counsel's Relevant Emails regarding his incompetence in oral argument and giving legal advice over an illegal lease.

4. Index and Contents of Respondent's Relevant Emails prior to the July 17, 2021, eviction order.
5. Index and Contents of Respondent's Relevant Emails since the July 17, 2021, eviction order was issued.
6. Appellant's' Exhibits 1-7 of Photos of Home and Illegal Fence.

I certify that this designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to this appeal.

November 16, 2022 /s/ Russell T. Crawford
Russell T Crawford
4683 West Montague Avenue
Lot 3
North Charleston, S. C. 29418
(843) 870-3240

CONCLUSION

The preponderance of the submitted email evidence will show Respondent has not acted in good faith towards Appellant with his sending repeated, harassing emails, refusing to assist in preventing unnecessary, unlawful and disturbing outside noises from entering the park, constructing an illegal, freedom denying, noise producing bedroom window barrier to the sun, and penalizing Appellant with multiple punitive rent increases for the Appellant seeking legally approved remedies for these denials of his Constitutionally protected Freedoms which resulted in the two attempts at eviction.

The forty-three-month history of Respondents illegal actions towards Appellant have proved most harmful to the Appellants mental, emotional, physical, and psychological well-being resulting in the formation of recently discovered painful stomach ulcers.

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the decision of the Circuit Court should be annulled.

Dated: North Charleston, S. C.

November 16, 2022

Respectfully submitted

Russell T. Crawford

4683 West Montague Ave. Lot 3

North Charleston, S. C. 29418

(843) 870-3240

russcrawfordsc@gmx.com

Appellant

Proof of Service

RECEIVED

Nov 16 2022

SC Court of Appeals

I, Russell T. Crawford, certify under penalty of perjury that on November 16, 2022, I served a copy of Respondent's Final Brief upon the Respondent by mailing and emailing a true and complete copy thereto to Respondent's counsel William B. Jung, Esq.

William B. Jung, Esq.,

1156 Bowman Road, Ste. 200

Mount Pleasant, S. C. 29464

(843) 576-4200 bradjung@msn.com

Attorney for the Respondent

