

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF DORCHESTER )  
 )  
THE EAGLEWOOD RETREAT )  
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, )  
INC., )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
JASON PATNO )  
 )  
Defendant. )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
C/A NO. 2022-CP-10-02694

**RECEIVED**  
**Nov 28 2022**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**ORDER**

This matter came before this Court by way of Defendant’s Motion to Reconsider or to Clarify brought forth pursuant to South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure 59. After reviewing the materials submitted, I find that oral arguments will not assist in the ruling of Defendant’s Motion to Reconsider or to Clarify and the Motion to Reconsider or to Clarify is denied.

The Order granting Plaintiff a preliminary injunction was electronically filed on October 13, 2022. Defendant’s timely motion to reconsider was electronically filed to the Court on October 24, 2022.

After considering Defendant’s Motion to Reconsider or to Clarify, I find that there is nothing new presented by Defendant that would cause me to change or alter my original decision in any form in this matter. Further, if counsel will review the order titled “Order Granting Preliminary Injunction,” it can be seen on the last page that they order is granted. With regards to the order titled “Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction,” it can be seen on the last page that the order is denied. Finally, if counsel will review the order titled “Order Denying Motion to Dismiss,” it can be seen on the last page that the order is denied. With the denial of the proposed orders, the Court rejects the orders titled “Order Denying Motion for Preliminary

Injunction” and “Order Denying Motion to Dismiss.” The Court did not use the plaintiff’s proposed order and entered its order granting a preliminary injunction. In essence the Court granted the motion for a preliminary injunction and denied the motion to dismiss. It is in the Court’s discretion to set surety amounts.

After review, I find no reason to alter the previous rulings. Because the Defendant’s motion does not raise any novel issues for the Court’s consideration, Defendant’s Motion to Reconsider or to Clarify is denied.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

---

Judge Diane S. Goodstein

October \_\_\_\_\_, 2022  
Dorchester, South Carolina



Charleston Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Eaglewood Retreat Homeowners Association Inc The VS Jason R Patno  
**Case Number:** 2022CP1002694  
**Type:** Order/Other

The motion is hereby Denied!

s/Diane S. Goodstein