

RECEIVED

Dec 01 2022

SC Court of Appeals

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS:

**CASE #2022-000775 PET HELPERS, INC. V JANET L.FRISCO V MELISSA SUSKO, THIRD-PARTY  
DEFENDANT**

The attached documents signed by the defendant, Ms. Deborah Walker, are evidence that she violated a federal law and committed fraud when she claimed she had stored the Plaintiff's stolen 1990 Honda Accord, attempted to charge storage and obtain ownership using *South Carolina Code of Laws Title 29, Mortgages and Other Liens Chapter 15 (Section 29-15-10)* through the City of North Charleston Magistrate Court of David C. Coker. This evidence was not considered in either the Small Claims Court or when later appealed to the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Common Pleas. Judge Markley Dennis, Jr. actually dismissed the case when Ms. Walker did not appear the day of the scheduled trial without allowing the Plaintiff to present the evidence or argue her case against the Defendant. The Plaintiff had requested a jury trial and Ms. Walker should have lost the case by default because she failed to appear, but instead Judge Dennis ruled in her favor and dismissed the case when the Plaintiff arrived on the scheduled court date.

As in the case of Janet Frisco vs. Debra Walker, the courts are assisting Pet Helpers, Inc. in covering up criminal fraud in the staged adoption of my rescued hunting dog, Toby, that I surrendered to them in good faith on April 13, 2021. Pet Helpers' attorney, Stephan V. Futeral, abused civil process in implementing the lawsuit designed to cover up his client's fraud in soliciting donations after Pet Helpers executive director, Melissa Susko, and other employees staged the adoption of Toby on May 8, 2021. When the defendant attempted to expose their criminal act through public protests, Pet Helpers' attorney filed a complaint on June 9, 2021 claiming that the Defendant had committed libel and slander. The Court of Common Pleas cooperated with Pet Helpers and their attorney by consenting to all of their attorney's requests and motions throughout the litigation up to the present order of May 5, 2022 that is being appealed by the Defendant. The Office of Disciplinary Council, a branch of the South Carolina Supreme Court, dismissed all of the Defendant's complaints against the judges involved in the present case as they had against David C. Coker in Janet Frisco vs. Debra Walker.

The Court of Common Pleas, 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit assisted these two parties to commit fraud and they should be held accountable for their past and present abuse of civil process.

Court Cases:

Janet Frisco vs. Debra Walker #2005-CP-100-3681 (Disposed).


Pet Helpers, Inc. vs. Janet Frisco #2021-CP-100-2682 ( Pending Appeal).

Janet Frisco vs. Pet Helpers, Inc.# 2021-CP-100-2848 (Consolidated/Disposed)

Pet Helpers, Inc., Respondent vs. Janet L. Frisco, Appellant #2022-000021 (Remitted to Lower Court)

Pet Helpers, Inc., Respondent vs. Janet L. Frisco, Appellant vs. Melissa Susko, Third-Party Defendant #2022-000775 (Pending)

Dated: December 1, 2022



Janet L. Frisco

## REQUEST FOR VEHICLE INFORMATION

### PART 1 (This section must be completed before information will be released).

Under Federal Law (18 USC, Chapter 123) personal vehicle owner information may be obtained only for certain uses. If you are submitting this form to obtain someone else's record please refer to the Federal Law. Listed below are the permissible uses of vehicle information. Please check the boxes that apply to you:

- 1. For use by any government agency in carrying out its functions.
- 2. For use in connection with motor vehicle or driver safety and theft, emissions, product alteration, recalls or advisories; market research, survey research and removal of non-owner records from the original owner records of motor vehicle manufacturers.
- 3. For business to verify the accuracy of personal information given to that business or its agents by an individual.
- 4. For use in connection with any court proceeding or investigation in anticipation of litigation.
- 5. For use in research activities and in producing statistical reports, so long as the personal information is not published, redisclosed, or used to contact individuals. (NOTE: Requests in this category will not be done in branch offices. See "Caution about Research and Statistical Requests" below.)
- 6. For use by an insurer for claims investigation, rating or underwriting.
- 7. For use in providing notice to owners of towed or impounded vehicles.
- 8. For use by any licensed private investigation agency or licensed security service for any purpose permitted under this subsection.
- 9. For use in connection with the operation of private toll transportation facilities.
- 10. For use by any customer, if the customer has the written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains.

Under penalty of perjury, I state that I am entitled to receive and use this information as permitted under the Driver's Privacy Protection Act of 1994 (18 USC, Chapter 123 as amended). I further acknowledge that if I misuse this information or give it to someone who uses it for an unauthorized purpose, I may be subject to Federal criminal law as well as a civil lawsuit where the minimum award is \$5,000.00.

Fee: \*\* \$6.00 per vehicle for name and address of the registered owner of the vehicle(s) listed on front.

Edward Walker  
Signature of Person Receiving Information (Required)

JAN 26, 2005  
Date

NOTE: 56-19-840 Unclaimed vehicles in garages, etc., to be reported; loss of storage lien for failure to report. An operator of a place of business for garaging, repairing, parking or storing vehicles for the public in which a vehicle remains unclaimed for a period of thirty days shall within five days after the expiration of that period, report the vehicle as unclaimed to the Department. Such report shall be on a form prescribed by the Department.

A vehicle left by its owner whose name and address are known to the operator or his employees is not considered "unclaimed". A person who fails to report a vehicle as unclaimed in accordance with this section forfeits all claims and liens for its garaging, parking, and storing.

1621-110 Failing to report unclaimed vehicle in garage, etc. A person who fails to report a vehicle as unclaimed in accordance with 56-19-840 is guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of not more than twenty-five dollars (\$25.00).

NOTE: \*Report will be recorded and acknowledged by a number stamped on the report and will be shown as part of the vehicle's history for the life of the vehicle. Correspondence will be sent to the requestor indicating the results of our files. Should a duplicate title be issued on a vehicle reported abandoned, the company who reported the vehicle abandoned last will be notified. To apply for a title on an abandoned vehicle, contact your local magistrate's office after you receive the necessary correspondence from the DMV. No titles can be issued on an abandoned vehicle(s) that has been declared salvaged non-rebuildable, or junked. A salvage receipt only can be obtained through the DMV.

Consent: (only needed if box 10 is checked)

I, \_\_\_\_\_, give consent for the release of my personal information to the person shown above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Person Giving Consent

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

Identification presented by person receiving information

Office Code

Employee Processing Request

Date



# South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles

## REPORT OF UNCLAIMED VEHICLE

TR-2  
(Rev. 6/03)

**Form must be completed in detail on front and back and signed before information is released.**

Account # \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone No. 843-452-7020 Fax No. <sup>(WR)</sup> 843-554-7941

Debra S. Walker  
Name of (Shop, Garage, or Business)  
5514 Flanders Ave.  
Address  
D. Charleston, SC 29406  
City State Zip Code

**Check the appropriate Box:**

Reporting Only (\* No Information Requested)

Reporting and Requesting Information (\*\* Fee Included)

As of this date, Jan 26 2005, the described vehicle has been unclaimed.

Make Honda Year 1990 Model Accord Vehicle Id. Number 1HGCB7647LA096106  
Odometer Reading 113462 Last State of Registration SC License Number 5341AN

This vehicle was stored/parked on October 13, 2004 and charges in the amount of \$ N/A have been assessed against this vehicle. NONE

As of this date, \_\_\_\_\_, the described vehicle has been unclaimed.

Make \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Model \_\_\_\_\_ Vehicle Id. Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Odometer Reading \_\_\_\_\_ Last State of Registration \_\_\_\_\_ License Number \_\_\_\_\_

This vehicle was stored/parked on \_\_\_\_\_ and charges in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ have been assessed against this vehicle.

As of this date, \_\_\_\_\_, the described vehicle has been unclaimed.

Make \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Model \_\_\_\_\_ Vehicle Id. Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Odometer Reading \_\_\_\_\_ Last State of Registration \_\_\_\_\_ License Number \_\_\_\_\_

This vehicle was stored/parked on \_\_\_\_\_ and charges in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ have been assessed against this vehicle.

As of this date, \_\_\_\_\_, the described vehicle has been unclaimed.

Make \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Model \_\_\_\_\_ Vehicle Id. Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Odometer Reading \_\_\_\_\_ Last State of Registration \_\_\_\_\_ License Number \_\_\_\_\_

This vehicle was stored/parked on \_\_\_\_\_ and charges in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ have been assessed against this vehicle. 20027744

**TITLE SECTION**      **JAN 28 2005**

Please complete reverse side.

Mail Completed Form To:  
Titles Mail-In Unit  
P.O. Box 1498  
Columbia, SC 29216-0024



**South Carolina  
Department of Motor Vehicles**

**Date:** 02/09/2005

WALKER, DEBRA S  
5514 FLANDERS AVE  
CHARLESTON, SC 294063414

**Dear Customer:**

This will acknowledge your recent request for title information regarding an abandoned vehicle or mobile home. NCIC HAS BEEN CHECKED ON THE VEHICLES LISTED BELOW.

The following vehicles are titled in South Carolina. Our files have been updated to indicate that the vehicles are abandoned:

<b>Title No</b>	<b>Year/Make</b>	<b>Vehicle Identification No</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Stolen Ind</b>
0810123148720	1990/HOND	1HGCB7647LA096106	OK	N

**Customer Service Unit (803) 737-4000**

**Please mail all correspondence to:**

**SC – Department of Motor Vehicles**

**PO Box 1498**

**Columbia, SC 29216-0024**

**Customer No:** 26027744

Rec'd 2/25/2005  
certified mail

# NOTICE TO CLAIM VEHICLE/ITEM OF PERSONAL PROPERTY

TO: LAST KNOWN OWNER

TO: LIENHOLDER

Janet L. Frisco

NAME

NAME

203 Cardinal Dr.

ADDRESS

ADDRESS

Summerville, SC 29485

CITY, STATE, ZIP

CITY, STATE, ZIP

MAKE HOND YEAR 1990 ITEM Accord

ITEM/VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 1H4CB7647LA096106

STATE SC TAG NUMBER 534 IAN

This is notification that you have THIRTY (30) days from this date to reclaim the above vehicle/item recorded with you being the owner, lienholder(s) or interested party.

This vehicle/item has been stored at this location since Oct 13<sup>th</sup> 04 and is accruing daily storage charges of \$2,660.<sup>00</sup> in addition: TOWING AND OR REPAIRS OF \_\_\_\_\_, with a total amount due at this time of \$2,660.<sup>00</sup>. There is a lien on the vehicle/item of the amount plus any additional storage or costs from the date of this notice. If unclaimed, it will be sold through magistrate's sale as prescribed by S.C. law and you will lose ownership/lien interest in the vehicle. If you desire to contest the sale of the vehicle, you have the right to request a hearing within this THIRTY (30) day period in the court of Judge David W. Coker.

Notice is hereby served to any lienholder of impending sale, upon expiration of 31 days from today's date.

\* IF YOU NO LONGER OWN THIS VEHICLE, please provide the name and address of the current owner, if available.

\* ANY INSURANCE COMPANY TAKING TITLE TO THIS VEHICLE, is reminded that pursuant to Ruling #84-3 of the South Carolina Insurance Commission, they may not abandon salvage vehicles on a towing company or garage.

Any further questions or correspondence should be directed to the below listed party.

Debra S Walker 843-747-0726 CC:

NAME & PHONE NUMBER

Judge David W. Coker

P.O. Box 60037

5514 Flanders Ave.

ADDRESS

4045 Bridge View Drive

North Charleston, SC 29405

(843) 202-6610

N. Charleston, SC 29406

CITY, STATE, ZIP

SC STATUE 29-15-10

APPLICATION FOR PUBLIC SALE  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 29-15-10 SC CODE OF LAWS

The undersigned applies for a sale pursuant to section 29-15-10, of the South Carolina Code of Laws, 1976, as amended, and stipulates that he has complied with the requirements of said law to wit:

I. I hereby certify the vehicle or item listed below has been continuously retained in my possession since October 13, 2004. I further certify that repairs have been completed, or the storage contract has expired.

II. A written notice by certified mail has been given to the last known property owner and to any lienholder with a perfected security interest that repair or storage charges are due, and that if not redeemed within thirty (30) days the item will be sold at public sale auction.

III. Notice has been given to the last known owner and lienholder that they have thirty (30) days from date of notice to contest the sale, by contacting Judge David W. Coker, Charleston County Magistrate, and requesting a hearing.

IV. At least thirty (30) days have elapsed since notice was given.

[Signature]  
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

843-747-0726  
PHONE NUMBER OF APPLICANT

Debra S. Walker  
PRINT NAME

5514 Flanders Ave.  
ADDRESS

N. Charleston, SC 29406  
CITY, STATE, ZIP

Filed in Charleston County  
JUN 17 2005  
Small Claims Court

PLEASE LIST BELOW THE PROPERTY TO BE SOLD:

Honda Accord  
VEHICLE OR ITEM


5514 Flanders Ave.  
VEHICLE/ITEM LOCATED AT

1990  
YEAR

N. Charleston, SC 29406  
CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

1H6CB7647LA096106  
VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

Amount of Repairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Storage 133 Days at \$20/day Equals \$2,660.00 Total Storage.  
Total Repairs and or storage lien \$2,660.00.



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

## OFFICE OF THE DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

HENRY B. RICHARDSON, JR.  
DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

DEBORAH S. MCKEOWN  
ASST. DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

1015 SUMTER STREET, SUITE 111  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1965

December 5, 2006

### PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Ms. Janet L. Frisco  
Post Office Box 118087  
Charleston, South Carolina 29423-8087

Re: Judge: Charleston County Magistrate David W. Coker  
Case No.: 06-DE-J-0256

Dear Ms. Frisco:

This office is in receipt of your complaint filed in connection with the above referenced matter. The authority of this office and the jurisdiction of the Commission on Judicial Conduct concerning complaints against judges are limited to issues of whether a judge has committed misconduct or is incapacitated within the guidelines of the *Rules for Judicial Disciplinary Enforcement* - Rule 502, SCACR, promulgated by the Supreme Court of South Carolina.

These rules do not apply to legal matters related to whether or not the outcome of a case before a judge was fair nor to errors of law a judge might have made in a case. These are legal matters which must be addressed at trial or on appeal using the appropriate appellate procedures.

In your letter, you complain because Judge Coker and the Court of Common Pleas "ruled against [you] even though [the defendant] had broken a federal law." In my judgment, this fact would not be necessarily determinative of the outcome of the matter at issue. Even if so, it would be a legal issue. A litigant's disagreement with a decision of a court involving legal issues are outside the jurisdiction of the Commission on Judicial Conduct and this office. Such legal issues must be addressed in the appropriate legal forum by motion for reconsideration, appeal to a higher court, or other appropriate and timely legal process. In my judgment, you presented no factual information in your letter of complaint that indicates there was any judicial misconduct on the part of Judge Coker or any judge of the Court of Common Pleas. It appears that the gravamen of your complaint relates to just such legal issues and the handling of the matter by law enforcement.

Ms. Frisco  
December 5, 2006  
Page Two

You also complain in your letter about the actions of the North Charleston Police Department. This office, however, has no jurisdiction over law enforcement agencies. Thus, no action can be taken by this office or the Commission on Judicial Conduct in connection with your complaint in that regard.

Based on the foregoing, the undersigned finds that the information in your complaint involves legal matters that would not constitute misconduct or incapacity under the *Rules for Judicial Disciplinary Enforcement* even if true and, as such, are outside the jurisdiction of this office and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. For this reason, your complaint is dismissed pursuant to the provisions of Rule 19(a) of the *Rules for Judicial Disciplinary Enforcement*. Your interest in judicial conduct is appreciated.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Henry B. Richardson, Jr.", written in black ink.

Henry B. Richardson, Jr.

HBRjr:dsm