

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

RECEIVED

DEC 02 2022

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2021-000354

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

APPELLANT

Vs.

THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET. AL.,

RESPONDENTS

---

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR  
AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET BASED UPON THE COURT'S  
NOVEMBER 7, 2022 LETTER OF NOTICE DUE TO LATE SERVICE;  
MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO SUBSTANTIAL  
FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BASED UPON  
FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS CLAIM AND  
MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

---

IN RE: CASE 2021-000354 ET. AL.,

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO THE APPELLANT BY THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DATED NOVEMBER 7, 2022.

PER THIS ATTACHED LETTER. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS STATED THAT THE APPELLANT HAD (10) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE LETTER TO PAY AN ASSERTED \$50 FILING FEE. THAT WOULD HAVE GAVE THE APPELLANT UNTIL NOVEMBER 17, 2022 TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S REQUEST. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET BECAUSE THE APPELLANT DID NOT EVEN RECEIVE THIS LETTER OF NOTICE UNTIL NOVEMBER 18, 2022 A DAY PAST ANY TIMELINES TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE LETTER OF NOTICE IN QUESTION. THEREFORE, IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE APPELLANT. THE TIMELINE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS LETTER OF NOTICE MUST START FROM THE DATE IT WAS RECEIVED BY THE APPELLANT WHICH WAS NOVEMBER 18, 2022. THIS WOULD HAVE GIVEN THE APPELLANT UNTIL NOVEMBER 28, 2022 MAKING THIS RESPONSE TIMELY DUE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS LATE SERVICE OF THE LETTER OF NOTICE.

ADDITIONALLY, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR THE WAIVER OF HIM BEING REQUIRED TO PAY THE ALLEGED \$50 FILING FEE BECAUSE SUCH A REQUIREMENT WOULD BE IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT THAT WITHIN NUMEROUS CASES DETERMINED WHERE CERTAIN FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS LIKE THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT RELATED TO FREE SPEECH, FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS ARE INVOLVED WHICH THEY ARE RELATED TO THE APPELLANT BEING REQUIRED TO USE THE S.C.D.C. KIOSK SYSTEM IN VIOLATION OF HIS RELIGIOUS BELIEF, WHICH ATTACH TO FREE SPEECH WHERE THEY FORCE ME TO SPEAK THROUGH THIS MEDIUM, WHICH ALSO DIRECTLY ATTACHE TO MY ACCESS TO THE COURTS RELATED TO THE

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2021-000354

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

---

**RECEIVED**

DEC 02 2022

SC Court of Appeals

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

APPELLANT

Vs.

THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET. AL.,

RESPONDENTS

---

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


---

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET BASED UPON THE COURT'S NOVEMBER 7, 2022 LETTER OF NOTICE DUE TO LATE SERVICE; MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO SUBSTANTIAL FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BASED UPON FREEDOM OF RELIGION, SPEECH AND

ACCESS TO THE COURTS CLAIMS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE  
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS 1220 SENATE STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201,  
THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS AND ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS AT  
S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL, HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD  
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING  
IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON NOVEMBER 22, 2022.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jonah The Tishbite", is written over a circular stamp. The signature is highly stylized and overlaps the stamp, which contains some illegible text and a central symbol.

NOVEMBER 22, 2022

ABILITY TO EXHAUST STATE ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT AN INDIGENT INMATE BE ALLOWED TO ACCESS THE COURT, MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d. 134 (S.C.App.1995); KENNEDY v. BREMEATON SCHOOL DISTRICT, 142 S.Ct. 2407, 213 L.Ed.2d. 755(U.S.2022).

THE FREE EXERCISE AND FREE SPEECH CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT WORK IN TANDEM: WHERE THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE PROTECTS RELIGIOUS EXERCISE, WHETHER COMMUNITIVE OR NOT, SUCH AS IT PERTAINS TO THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS REQUIRING ME TO MAKE USE OF THE KIOSK IN VIOLATION OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS WHEN THERE IS CLEARLY ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE AVAILABLE BY ALLOWING ME TO USE THE REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER FORMS WHERE THIS WOULD NOT SUBSTANTIALLY BURDEN THE FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, THE FREE SPEECH CLAUSE PROVIDES OVERLAPPING PROTECTION FOR EXPRESSIVE RELIGIOUS ACTIVITIES, DOE v. CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2022 WL 3083439 (D.Md.2022); DOE NO. 1 v. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF INDIANA, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2022 WL 5237133 (S.D.IND.2022); KENNEDY v. BREMERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT, 142 S.Ct. 2407, 213 L.Ed.2d. 755(U.S.2022).

UNDER THE SECOND STEP OF THE PICKERING-GARCETTI FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYZING A PERSON'S CLAIMS THE GOVERNMENT, S.C.D.C., VIOLATED THE INMATE'S FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE RIGHTS UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT, THE GOVERNMENT MAY SEEK TO PROVE THAT ITS INTEREST AS THE S.C.D.C. CORRECTIONS OUTWEIGH THE INMATE'S RIGHTS OF FREEDOM OF RELIGION. BUT IN THIS CASE, THEY CANNOT DEMONSTRATE SUCH, WHERE THEY MAINTAIN A SECOND ALTERNATIVE METHOD TO PROTECT THEIR INTEREST IN THE FORM OF ALLOWING THE USE OF THE STAFF REQUEST FORMS, KINGMAN v. FREDERICKSON, 40 F.4th. 597, 601+, 7th. Cir.(Wis.); DiMARCO v. BOROUGH OF SAINT CLAIR, 2022 WL 6685296, \* 9+ M.D.Pa..

UNDER THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, A GOVERNMENT ENTITY NORMALLY MUST SATISFY AT LEAST STRICT SCRUTINY,

SHOWING THAT ITS RESTRICTIONS ON THE INMATE'S PROTECTIVE RIGHTS SERVE A COMPELLING INTEREST AND ARE NARROWLY TAILORED TO THAT END WHICH IN THIS CASE S.C.D.C. BY REQUIRING ME TO MAKE USE OF THE KIOSK WHEN THERE IS ANOTHER READILY AVAILABLE MEANS AVAILABLE THAT WOULD ALLOW THEM TO MEET THAT INTEREST, THE GOVERNMENT FAILS TO MEET THIS BURDEN IN THAT REGARD, HAREWOOD-BEY v. BIDEN, 2022 WL 3353480, \* 6+, S.D.N.Y.; MATTER OF A.C.,--N.E.3d.--, 2022 WL 12166236 (IND.2022). LAW OR S.C.D.C. POLICIES THAT REGULATE OR OUTLAW CONDUCT BECAUSE IT IS RELIGIOUSLY MOTIVATED, AND FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE PROTECTS AGAINST LAWS OR POLICIES THAT IMPOSE SPECIAL DISABILITIES ON BASIS OF RELIGIOUS STATUS OR BELIEFS, ILLINOIS BIBLE COLLEGES ASSOCIATION v. ANDERSON, 870 F3d. 631 (7th.Cir.2017). THE CONSTITUTION COMMITS GOVERNMENT ITSELF TO RELIGIOUS TOLERANCE, AND UPON EVEN SLIGHT SUSPICION THAT PROPOSALS FOR STATE INTERVENTION, EVEN BY WAY OF S.C.D.C. POLICIES, PRESENT AN ANIMOSITY TO RELIGION IN THIS CASE, OR DISTRUST OF ITS PRACTICE, ALL OFFICIALS MUST PAUSE TO REMEMBER THEIR OWN HIGH DUTY TO THE CONSTITUTION AND THE RIGHTS IT SECURES, MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP LTD. v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COM'N., 138 S.Ct. 1719, 201 L.Ed.2d. 35 (U.S.2018).

WHERE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS ARE CONCERNED OR INVOLVED AS THEY ARE HERE RELATED TO FREEDOM OF RELIGION, FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS AS IT PERTAINS TO REQUIRING THE APPELLANT TO USE THE KIOSK AS OPPOSED TO STAFF REQUEST FORMS, THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT THE INDIGENT INMATE BE ALLOWED ACCESS TO THE COURT WITHOUT THE OPPOSING OF THE NORMALLY REQUIRED \$50 FILING FEE. FREEDOM OF RELIGION IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT. FREEDOM OF SPEECH IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT REQUIRING ME TO SPEAK THROUGH THIS MEDIUM. ACCESS TO THE COURTS IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT PREVENTING MY EXHAUSTION OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES UNLESS I VIOLATE MY RELIGIOUS BELIEF BY FORCING ME TO MAKE USE OF THE KIOSK SYSTEM. IF GOVERNMENT ACTIONS (ie. S.C.D.C. REQUIRING ME TO USE THE KIOSK IN VIOLATION OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS WHERE BY MY RELIGIOUS BELIEF IT IS A "MARK OF THE BEAST SYSTEM.), INTERFERE WITH FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, LIKE FREEDOM OF SPEECH OR RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE

COURTS, ARE SUBJECT TO HEIGHTENED SCRUTINY WHERE S.C.D.C.'S ACTIONS IMPLICATE A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT, WORD SEED CHURCH v. VILLAGE OF HAZEL CREST, 533 F.Supp.3d. 637(N.D.ILL.2021).

THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE; WHICH APPLIES TO THE STATES UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, PROTECTS RELIGIOUS OBSERVERS AGAINST UNEQUAL TREATMENT AND AGAINST LAWS THAT IMPOSE SPECIAL DISABILITIES ON THE BASIS OF RELIGIOUS STATUS OR BELIEFS. DISQUALIFYING THE APPELLANT FROM ACCESS TO THE GRIEVANCE PROCESS BY REQUIRING USE OF THE KIOSK WHEN THERE IS ANOTHER READILY AVAILABLE METHOD WHICH CAN BE PERMITTED TO BE USED WHERE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS ARE CONCERNED, IMPOSES A PENALTY ON THE FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION AND FREE SPEECH THAT TRIGGERS THE MOST EXACTING SCRUTINY UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT REQUIRING THE WAIVING OF FILING FEES BEFORE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO PERMIT REVIEW BEFORE THE STATE COURTS. THE FREE EXERCISE INQUIRY ASKS WHETHER GOVERNMENT HAS PLACED A SUBSTANTIAL BURDEN ON THE OBSERVATION OF A CENTRAL RELIGIOUS BELIEF WHERE BY THE 3 MAINSTREAM MONOTHEISTIC RELIGIONS THE APPELLATE VIEWS THESE SYSTEMS AS "MARK OF THE BEAST SYSTEMS" PRODUCING A PROHIBITION AGAINST THEIR USAGE, OR PRACTICE AND, IF SO, WHETHER A COMPELLING GOVERNMENT INTEREST JUSTIFIES THE BURDEN WHICH IT DOES NOT SINCE THE INMATE CAN BE PERMITTED TO MAKE USE OF STAFF REQUEST FORMS WITHOUT ANY SUBSTANTIAL INJURY OR BURDEN UPON THE STATE GOVERNMENT. THIS BURDEN MUST BE MORE THAN MERE INCONVENIENCE TO RISE TO THE LEVEL OF A CONSTITUTIONAL INQUIRY WHERE IN THIS CASE THE ACTION PRODUCES A CLEAR PROHIBITION AS IS DETERMINED BY RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE OF THE 3 MAINSTREAM MONOTHEISTIC RELIGIONS, ALSO PREVENTS THE PLAINTIFF FROM EXHAUSTION OF AVAILABLE STATE REMEDIES WHICH ALSO PRODUCE AN ACCESS TO THE COURTS CLAIM. THUS, THE \$50 FILING FEE OR ANY OTHER SUCH RELATED FILING FEES MUST BE WAIVED DUE TO FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS VIOLATION. THIS IS A HYBRID-FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS CLAIM OF FREE SPEECH, ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND FREEDOM OF RELIGION BEING FORCED TO USE THE KIOSK SYSTEM TO SPEAK TO ADDRESS MY GRIEVANCES, MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d. 134 (S.C.App.1995); ESPINOZA v. MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, 140 S.Ct. 2246, 207 L.Ed.2d.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

**RECEIVED**

DEC 02 2022

**SC Court of Appeals**

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2021-000354

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

APPELLANT

Vs.

THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET. AL.,

RESPONDENTS

---

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR  
AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET BASED UPON THE COURT'S  
NOVEMBER 7, 2022 LETTER OF NOTICE DUE TO LATE SERVICE;  
MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO SUBSTANTIAL  
FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BASED UPON  
FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS CLAIM AND  
MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

---

IN RE: CASE 2021-000354 ET. AL.,

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO THE APPELLANT BY THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DATED NOVEMBER 7, 2022.

PER THIS ATTACHED LETTER. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS STATED THAT THE APPELLANT HAD (10) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE LETTER TO PAY AN ASSERTED \$50 FILING FEE. THAT WOULD HAVE GAVE THE APPELLANT UNTIL NOVEMBER 17, 2022 TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S REQUEST. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET BECAUSE THE APPELLANT DID NOT EVEN RECEIVE THIS LETTER OF NOTICE UNTIL NOVEMBER 18, 2022 A DAY PAST ANY TIMELINES TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE LETTER OF NOTICE IN QUESTION. THEREFORE, IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE APPELLANT. THE TIMELINE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS LETTER OF NOTICE MUST START FROM THE DATE IT WAS RECEIVED BY THE APPELLANT WHICH WAS NOVEMBER 18, 2022. THIS WOULD HAVE GIVEN THE APPELLANT UNTIL NOVEMBER 28, 2022 MAKING THIS RESPONSE TIMELY DUE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS LATE SERVICE OF THE LETTER OF NOTICE.

ADDITIONALLY, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR THE WAIVER OF HIM BEING REQUIRED TO PAY THE ALLEGED \$50 FILING FEE BECAUSE SUCH A REQUIREMENT WOULD BE IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT THAT WITHIN NUMEROUS CASES DETERMINED WHERE CERTAIN FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS LIKE THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT RELATED TO FREE SPEECH, FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS ARE INVOLVED WHICH THEY ARE RELATED TO THE APPELLANT BEING REQUIRED TO USE THE S.C.D.C. KIOSK SYSTEM IN VIOLATION OF HIS RELIGIOUS BELIEF, WHICH ATTACH TO FREE SPEECH WHERE THEY FORCE ME TO SPEAK THROUGH THIS MEDIUM, WHICH ALSO DIRECTLY ATTACHE TO MY ACCESS TO THE COURTS RELATED TO THE

ABILITY TO EXHAUST STATE ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT AN INDIGENT INMATE BE ALLOWED TO ACCESS THE COURT, MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d. 134 (S.C.App.1995); KENNEDY v. BREMEATON SCHOOL DISTRICT, 142 S.Ct. 2407, 213 L.Ed.2d. 755(U.S.2022).

THE FREE EXERCISE AND FREE SPEECH CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT WORK IN TANDEM: WHERE THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE PROTECTS RELIGIOUS EXERCISE, WHETHER COMMUNITIVE OR NOT, SUCH AS IT PERTAINS TO THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS REQUIRING ME TO MAKE USE OF THE KIOSK IN VIOLATION OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS WHEN THERE IS CLEARLY ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE AVAILABLE BY ALLOWING ME TO USE THE REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER FORMS WHERE THIS WOULD NOT SUBSTANTIALLY BURDEN THE FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, THE FREE SPEECH CLAUSE PROVIDES OVERLAPPING PROTECTION FOR EXPRESSIVE RELIGIOUS ACTIVITIES, DOE v. CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2022 WL 3083439 (D.Md.2022); DOE NO. 1 v. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF INDIANA, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2022 WL 5237133 (S.D.IND.2022); KENNEDY v. BREMERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT, 142 S.Ct. 2407, 213 L.Ed.2d. 755(U.S.2022).

UNDER THE SECOND STEP OF THE PICKERING-GARCETTI FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYZING A PERSON'S CLAIMS THE GOVERNMENT, S.C.D.C., VIOLATED THE INMATE'S FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE RIGHTS UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT, THE GOVERNMENT MAY SEEK TO PROVE THAT ITS INTEREST AS THE S.C.D.C. CORRECTIONS OUTWEIGH THE INMATE'S RIGHTS OF FREEDOM OF RELIGION. BUT IN THIS CASE, THEY CANNOT DEMONSTRATE SUCH, WHERE THEY MAINTAIN A SECOND ALTERNATIVE METHOD TO PROTECT THEIR INTEREST IN THE FORM OF ALLOWING THE USE OF THE STAFF REQUEST FORMS, KINGMAN v. FREDERICKSON, 40 F.4th. 597, 601+, 7th. Cir.(Wis.); DiMARCO v. BOROUGH OF SAINT CLAIR, 2022 WL 6685296, \* 9+ M.D.Pa..

UNDER THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, A GOVERNMENT ENTITY NORMALLY MUST SATISFY AT LEAST STRICT SCRUTINY,

SHOWING THAT ITS RESTRICTIONS ON THE INMATE'S PROTECTIVE RIGHTS SERVE A COMPELLING INTEREST AND ARE NARROWLY TAILORED TO THAT END WHICH IN THIS CASE S.C.D.C. BY REQUIRING ME TO MAKE USE OF THE KIOSK WHEN THERE IS ANOTHER READILY AVAILABLE MEANS AVAILABLE THAT WOULD ALLOW THEM TO MEET THAT INTEREST, THE GOVERNMENT FAILS TO MEET THIS BURDEN IN THAT REGARD, HAREWOOD-BEY v. BIDEN, 2022 WL 3353480, \* 6+, S.D.N.Y.; MATTER OF A.C., --N.E.3d.--, 2022 WL 12166236 (IND.2022). LAW OR S.C.D.C. POLICIES THAT REGULATE OR OUTLAW CONDUCT BECAUSE IT IS RELIGIOUSLY MOTIVATED, AND FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE PROTECTS AGAINST LAWS OR POLICIES THAT IMPOSE SPECIAL DISABILITIES ON BASIS OF RELIGIOUS STATUS OR BELIEFS, ILLINOIS BIBLE COLLEGES ASSOCIATION v. ANDERSON, 870 F3d. 631 (7th.Cir.2017). THE CONSTITUTION COMMITS GOVERNMENT ITSELF TO RELIGIOUS TOLERANCE, AND UPON EVEN SLIGHT SUSPICION THAT PROPOSALS FOR STATE INTERVENTION, EVEN BY WAY OF S.C.D.C. POLICIES, PRESENT AN ANIMOSITY TO RELIGION IN THIS CASE, OR DISTRUST OF ITS PRACTICE, ALL OFFICIALS MUST PAUSE TO REMEMBER THEIR OWN HIGH DUTY TO THE CONSTITUTION AND THE RIGHTS IT SECURES, MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP LTD. v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COM'N., 138 S.Ct. 1719, 201 L.Ed.2d. 35 (U.S.2018).

WHERE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS ARE CONCERNED OR INVOLVED AS THEY ARE HERE RELATED TO FREEDOM OF RELIGION, FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS AS IT PERTAINS TO REQUIRING THE APPELLANT TO USE THE KIOSK AS OPPOSED TO STAFF REQUEST FORMS, THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT THE INDIGENT INMATE BE ALLOWED ACCESS TO THE COURT WITHOUT THE OPPOSING OF THE NORMALLY REQUIRED \$50 FILING FEE. FREEDOM OF RELIGION IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT. FREEDOM OF SPEECH IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT REQUIRING ME TO SPEAK THROUGH THIS MEDIUM. ACCESS TO THE COURTS IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT PREVENTING MY EXHAUSTION OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES UNLESS I VIOLATE MY RELIGIOUS BELIEF BY FORCING ME TO MAKE USE OF THE KIOSK SYSTEM. IF GOVERNMENT ACTIONS (ie. S.C.D.C. REQUIRING ME TO USE THE KIOSK IN VIOLATION OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS WHERE BY MY RELIGIOUS BELIEF IT IS A "MARK OF THE BEAST SYSTEM.), INTERFERE WITH FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, LIKE FREEDOM OF SPEECH OR RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE


COURTS, ARE SUBJECT TO HEIGHTENED SCRUTINY WHERE S.C.D.C.'S ACTIONS IMPLICATE A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT, WORD SEED CHURCH v. VILLAGE OF HAZEL CREST, 533 F.Supp.3d. 637(N.D.ILL.2021).

THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE; WHICH APPLIES TO THE STATES UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, PROTECTS RELIGIOUS OBSERVERS AGAINST UNEQUAL TREATMENT AND AGAINST LAWS THAT IMPOSE SPECIAL DISABILITIES ON THE BASIS OF RELIGIOUS STATUS OR BELIEFS. DISQUALIFYING THE APPELLANT FROM ACCESS TO THE GRIEVANCE PROCESS BY REQUIRING USE OF THE KIOSK WHEN THERE IS ANOTHER READILY AVAILABLE METHOD WHICH CAN BE PERMITTED TO BE USED WHERE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS ARE CONCERNED, IMPOSES A PENALTY ON THE FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION AND FREE SPEECH THAT TRIGGERS THE MOST EXACTING SCRUTINY UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT REQUIRING THE WAIVING OF FILING FEES BEFORE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO PERMIT REVIEW BEFORE THE STATE COURTS. THE FREE EXERCISE INQUIRY ASKS WHETHER GOVERNMENT HAS PLACED A SUBSTANTIAL BURDEN ON THE OBSERVATION OF A CENTRAL RELIGIOUS BELIEF WHERE BY THE 3 MAINSTREAM MONOTHEISTIC RELIGIONS THE APPELLATE VIEWS THESE SYSTEMS AS "MARK OF THE BEAST SYSTEMS" PRODUCING A PROHIBITION AGAINST THEIR USAGE, OR PRACTICE AND, IF SO, WHETHER A COMPELLING GOVERNMENT INTEREST JUSTIFIES THE BURDEN WHICH IT DOES NOT SINCE THE INMATE CAN BE PERMITTED TO MAKE USE OF STAFF REQUEST FORMS WITHOUT ANY SUBSTANTIAL INJURY OR BURDEN UPON THE STATE GOVERNMENT. THIS BURDEN MUST BE MORE THAN MERE INCONVENIENCE TO RISE TO THE LEVEL OF A CONSTITUTIONAL INQUIRY WHERE IN THIS CASE THE ACTION PRODUCES A CLEAR PROHIBITION AS IS DETERMINED BY RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE OF THE 3 MAINSTREAM MONOTHEISTIC RELIGIONS, ALSO PREVENTS THE PLAINTIFF FROM EXHAUSTION OF AVAILABLE STATE REMEDIES WHICH ALSO PRODUCE AN ACCESS TO THE COURTS CLAIM. THUS, THE \$50 FILING FEE OR ANY OTHER SUCH RELATED FILING FEES MUST BE WAIVED DUE TO FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS VIOLATION. THIS IS A HYBRID-FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS CLAIM OF FREE SPEECH, ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND FREEDOM OF RELIGION BEING FORCED TO USE THE KIOSK SYSTEM TO SPEAK TO ADDRESS MY GRIEVANCES, MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d. 134 (S.C.App.1995); ESPINOZA v. MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, 140 S.Ct. 2246, 207 L.Ed.2d.

679(U.S.2020); SALEH v. GOMEZ, 2021 WL 2473803 (N.D.ILL.2021);  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FAMILY AND LIFE ADVOCATES v. SCHNEIDER, 484  
F.Supp.3d. 596 (E.D.ILL.2020). THEREFORE, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS  
TO WAIVE ALL FILING FEES REQUIRED BY THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AS  
DUE PROCESS LAW AND THE U.S. CONSTITUTION REQUIRES.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jonah The Tishbite". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, circular flourish at the end. The signature is positioned to the right of the typed name "JONAH THE TISHBITE".

NOVEMBER 22, 2022



## The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

November 07, 2022

Lawrence L. Crawford, 300839  
Lee Correctional Inst.  
990 Wisacky Highway  
Bishopville SC 29010

Re: Lawrence Crawford, #300839 v. SCDC  
Appellate Case No. 2021-000354

Dear Mr. Crawford:

Upon reviewing your motion to recall remittitur, the following deficiency has been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and any deficiency must be corrected within ten (10) days of the date of this letter or your motion will not be considered:

- The required filing fee has not been submitted. The correct filing fee is \$50.00.

Very truly yours,

  
CLERK

cc: Christina Catoe Bigelow, Esquire  
Jana E. Shealy

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2021-000354

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

APPELLANT

Vs.

THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET. AL.,

RESPONDENTS

---

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


---

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET BASED UPON THE COURT'S NOVEMBER 7, 2022 LETTER OF NOTICE DUE TO LATE SERVICE; MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO SUBSTANTIAL FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BASED UPON FREEDOM OF RELIGION, SPEECH AND


ACCESS TO THE COURTS CLAIMS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE  
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS 1220 SENATE STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201,  
THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS AND ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS AT  
S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL, HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD  
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING  
IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON NOVEMBER 22, 2022.

RESPECTFULLY,


JONAH THE TISHBITE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jonah The Tishbite", is written over a circular stamp. The signature is highly stylized and overlaps the stamp. The stamp itself is mostly illegible but appears to contain some text or a logo.

NOVEMBER 22, 2022



LAWRENCE CRAWFORD  
#300839 RB2 Rm 187  
LECIE 990 Wispaky Hwy.  
Bishopville SC 29010



RECEIVED  
DEC 02 2022  
SC Court of Appeals

SC Court of Appeals  
PO Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211