RECEIVED
DEC 06 2022
S.C. SUPREME COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	**SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT**
COUNTY OF RICHLAND	)	
Anthony R. Taylor, Pro Se Appellant,	)	**C/A No. 2021-CP-40-05864**
Vs.	)	**NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAL AND REQUEST IN ORIGINAL JURISDITION**
Stephen K. Benjamin, Mayor, et, Al. Respondent	)	**AND**
	)	**MOTION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PAYMENT OF FILING FEE**

ATTENTION PLEASE: Pursuant to South Carolina Law SCACR 203, moves Pro Se Appellant (Anthony R. Taylor), reaches out to the South Carolina Supreme Court seeking its higher authority in the jurisdiction to file this Notice of Intent to Appeal, Petition in Original Jurisdiction, to have this case immediately heard before this Supreme Court, to proceed without filing fee or rehearing or whatever this court deem legal and lawful. Necessity is necessary given the harm and injury Appellant will continue to suffer illegally under South Carolina Law due to poverty condition Appellant is unable to meet the $250 filling fee. If this ethical misconduct and error committed here by Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr. is allowing a frivolous motion to bypass a meritorious complaint under Rule 12(b)(6), which, allows Attorney to commit lawyer misconduct of knowingly filing frivolous Motion under secret illegal procedural process of contractual agreement known to all paid Attorneys and the Judges and violating all sixth (6), Amendment Pro se litigate who is not allow or have access to. This is wrong and must be overruled. Appellant should not have to suffer under this void Order and Judge abuse of authority and power under South Carolina Law. Now, to deny one is to deny all. No governmental agency has any exemption. No one or thing is above the Law of the land.

1. Here, Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr., have exceeded Legislative and Administrative Process by bypass of void motion over valid claim. Transparency and democratic accountability under our judicial branch of the three (3) branches of government are not being recognize or respected. The judge has exercise powers beyond those granted under South Carolina Law. This secret statutory procedure process under 12(b)(6) is operating outside of South Carolina Law stretching constitutional authority beyond legal meaning to the point it challenges the separation of power in South Carolina. There is a basic principle of administrative and constitutional law that an agency cannot exceed its enabling legislation.

2. This violation occurred at the hearing before Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr., November 10th at Richland County Court Room Common Pleas 1701 Main Street Columbia SC, P.O. Box 2766, for Respondent Jacquelin M. Pavlicek Esq., Office of the City Attorney Post Office Box 667 Columbia, SC 29202 (803) 737-4242 Fax. (803) 737-4250. Appellant received ORDER granting 12(B)(6) MOTION as final judgement November 28, 2022. This Notice of Intent to Appeal, Petition in Original Jurisdiction and Motion to Proceed Without Filing Fee follows.
3. Pro Se Appellant argued Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr., has errored in both facts and law granting a frivolous 12(b)(6) motion which is a void Order that has no authority or binding effect under law and must be overruled. Under South Carolina law no code or statute can preclude the common law. Codes and statutes must be read in harmony with the common law. To be in harmony with the common law there must be an injured party.
4. Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr. error in Civil Rights violation. Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr. violated the International Human Rights. Article 15 makes it clear that everybody has the Right to choose their nationality of the free will without governmental interference.
5. Judge Frank R. Addy, Jr. errored in violation of Due Process of law. Under the common law we have the right to be fully heard.

CONCLUSION

We pray this Supreme court will allow Appellant to proceed without payment of filing fees due to the ethical misconduct and Attorney misconduct of knowingly filing frivolous motion to delay case and to gain this tactical procedure advantage over pro se appellant in an illegal manner.

How can a sixth amendment (6) pro se litigate find any justice under a system of law that refuse to obey its own laws. How can a system of justice claim to be so honest yet fails to respect the law as written. How can a system of justice reward the corrupt party who's acting outside of the law and allow pro se Appellant rights to be Denied his due process, deny and abuse of authority by a judge. Please stop this hate, please. Your court have the authority to correct we are a god people not the slave under government.

Respectfully submitted, Anthony R. Taylor, Without Prejudice

Anthony R. Taylor · 1549 Lilly Avenue · Columbia, SC 29204

Ccfile