

**From:** [brad jung](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Babich v. Crawford; Appellate Case No. 2022-000622  
**Date:** Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:43:51 AM  
**Attachments:** [Babich v. Crawford - July 19, 2021 Order of Hon. Amy J. Mikell.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. **\*\*\***

---

**From:** brad jung  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:39 AM  
**To:** [siritchie@sccourts.org](mailto:siritchie@sccourts.org) <[siritchie@sccourts.org](mailto:siritchie@sccourts.org)>  
**Cc:** Russell T Crawford <[russcrawfordsc@gmx.com](mailto:russcrawfordsc@gmx.com)>  
**Subject:** Babich v. Crawford; Appellate Case No. 2022-000622

Ms. Ritchie, I represent the Respondent to the above appeal, in which I am in receipt of the Record on Appeal prepared by the Appellant, Russell T. Crawford, proceeding pro se.

I am writing because, in attempting to prepare the Respondent's Final Brief, I have discovered that the Record on Appeal is deficient in the following manner. The July 19, 2021 Order of Magistrate Amy J. Mikell, which is the Order on appeal herein, as found in the ROA, is not the actual Order issued from Judge Mikell but appears to be the product of "cut and pasting" and word-processing by the Appellant. I have attached a copy of the actual Order dated July 19, 2021 from Judge Mikell. When that document is compared to pages 6-9 of the Record on Appeal prepared by Mr. Crawford, it is noted that the footnotes are not fully included or accurately noted. There may be other instances where the Appellant has declined to submit the actual documents, so I ask that he be directed to include actual copies of the documents in the ROA in an amended ROA.

For such reason, I request that the Appellant be directed to resubmit the Record on Appeal with the actual documents that the courts below and the parties submitted.

Thank you.

Wm. B. Jung, Esq.