

From: Christopher E. Russell # 0929
Greenville County Detention Center
20 McGee Street
Greenville, S.C. 29601

To: Chief Judge Administrative Purposes
Greenville County Court House
305 E. North Street
Greenville, S.C. 29601

RE: state v. Russell; Appellate NO 2017-
000826, Lower Court NO 2016-CP-2:
3288; relieve defendant of appointed
indigent counsel.

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA ORDER: Vacated and
Remanded.

Under Rule 212(a) pursuant to Rule
215 SCACR, to General Sessions to
convey a favorable plea offer.

IT IS THEREFORE: In the record of
the above referenced case, a
substantial complaint about a serious
breakdown and, assuming facts
were as alleged by defendant; a
filing of grievance.

This petition for an inability to communicate with the 13th Circuit Public Defender constituted deficient performance in the above referenced case, fell below the prevailing professional norms.

The Sixth Amendment to the ineffective assistance, no one with the 13th Circuit Public Defender told the defendant about the plea offer prior to the verdict. The 13th Circuit Public Defender unprofessional errors, the result of the proceeding improperly ignored the proper standard of court review by rules of courts determination of the law.

The lack of an agreement with the state, would make the potential prejudice, presumed and bias even more likely operating in-house for the above referenced case, under prejudicial conflict of interest.

There is no doubt in the defendant mind that he's in need for the right to be represented by: S.C. Rule 608 Administration of the Courts Appointment of lawyers for indigents Defendant is making a timely

3-08-4

request for capable sufficient adequate legal competence.

Of the many ethical requirements placed upon lawyers, one of the most significant is loyalty to the client. A defendant (6th (Sixth) Amendment right to the Courts inquire into the prejudice suffered for the dissatisfaction. In this case even if present 13th Circuit Public Defender seems competent a serious breakdown to undermine confidence can result in an inadequate defense.

Conclusion

The judicial power shall extend to all cases in law and equity arising under the Constitution and the bill of rights (IN FORCE). The right of the people to be secure in their persons shall not be violated without due process of law. If for any reason this cannot be done by cooperation, that administer please respond back, informing the right principal in support of a legal jurisdiction.

Your help concerning this conflict of interest will be very grateful. I would like to thank you in advance for

~~PS~~

4-08-4

your time and patience.

~~I respectfully admitted
1st Christopher Russell~~

Christopher E Russell #0929
defendant

This _____ day of December 2022

Enclosure
cc: file

RECEIVED

DEC 28 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT