

CASE NO. 22-5805

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; RON  
SANTA McCRAY

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF  
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,  
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

**MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE**

TO: THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICES,

THE PETITIONER(S) MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE AND SEEK  
THAT THIS CASE BE MOVED UP ON THE COURT'S DOCKET TO BE RESOLVED  
IMMEDIATELY. A MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE IN A CRIMINAL CAUSE,  
WHICH PART OF THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE, MADE ON BEHALF OR INVOLVING  
THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, WHICH CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568,

**RECEIVED**

JAN 10 2023

SC Court of Appeals

3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH ARE THE SOURCE OF THIS PETITION DO INVOLVE THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, MUST STATE FACTS IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE COURT WILL BE EMBARRASSED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF ITS AFFAIRS BY DELAY. THE LAW IS CLEAR ON THIS ISSUE HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. "CONGRESS SHALL MAKE NO LAW (EMPHASIS ADDED) RESPECTING AN ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION, OR PROHIBITING THE FREE EXERCISE THEREOF,...." BY THE UNITED STATES DEMOCRATIC LED CONGRESS ESTABLISHING THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT, THIS DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS HAS LEGISLATED BEYOND THE SCOPE OF ITS ARTICLE 5 POWERS AND ESTABLISHED A RELIGIOUS RIGHT FOR "SODOMITES" AND "GOMORRAHRITES" THAT NEVER EXISTED IN THE ANNALS OF RELIGIOUS HISTORY OF THE 3 TRUE MAINSTREAM MONOTHEISTIC RELIGIONS, ATTACHING AND OR ARRESTING AND OR EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN, IN BLATANT VIOLATION OF THE TERMS OF "THE GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS VIA ABRAHAM, ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, WHICH ORIGINATES FROM AN ECCLESIASTICAL SOURCE HAVING CLEAR RESTRICTIONS, SUBSTANTIALLY BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, IN VIOLATION OF 28 U.S.C.A. § 2679 AND THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. THIS ESTABLISHES CAUSE, NOT JUST FOR THE PETITIONER, CRAWFORD, AS A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, TO THE FOREIGN CROWN TO STAND UP AND BRING ACTION. IT ALSO IMPOSES A DUTY UPON EVERY RIGHTEOUS GOD FEARING AND LOVING CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM AND JEWISH BELIEVER AROUND THE WORLD TO STAND UP AND BRING ACTION AS WELL WHERE THE TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" IMPOSES A THIRD PARTY OBLIGATION FOR ALL GLOBAL BELIEVERS WHO BELIEVE IN THE ONE TRUE GOD TO PROTECT THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" THUS PRESENTED, UNITED STATES v. BARTOW, --F3d.--, 2021 WL 1877821 (4th.Cir.2021); SCHOONER EXCHANGE v. McFADDON, 7 CRANCH 116, 11 U.S. 116, 1812 WL 1310, 3 L.Ed. 287(U.S.1812); 28 U.S.C. §§ 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.; DOE v. FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6, 16 (D.D.C.2016).


THE DAMAGE NOT JUST TO THE NON HOMOSEXUALS AND LESBIANS OF THIS NATION, BUT ALSO THE HOMOSEXUALS AND LESBIANS THEMSELVES CAN BE ASTRONOMICAL AND CATASTROPHIC. IF THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE IS ALLOWED TO REMAIN IN PLACE FOR ONE DAY LONGER THAN IT HAS TO? THERE WILL BE POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCES AND DAMAGES TO THE GAY COMMUNITY AS WELL EVEN POTENTIALLY SUICIDES. YES, THERE MAY BE SOME SUICIDES NOW IF IT IS REVERSED. BUT THE LONGER YOU WOULD DELAY THE INEVITABLE, ALLOWING THESE CITIZENS TO BELIEVE WHAT THIS GOING TO THE HELLFIRE DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS DID IS JUST, FAIR, PROPER AND LEGAL. THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGE TO THE GAY COMMUNITY BY PROLONG EXPOSURE TO THIS ILLEGAL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE WOULD BE MORE SUBSTANTIAL THE LONGER THEY ARE PERMITTED TO BELIEVE THAT THIS ACT OF CONGRESS IS SOUND. BY ACTING NOW HONORABLE JUSTICES, YOU WOULD BE MINIMIZING ANY POTENTIAL DAMAGE THAT WOULD COME FROM PROLONG EXPOSURE TO THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY PROVISION INITIATED IN THE FORM OF THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT, PUT IN PLACE SO THESE DEMOCRATS GAVE GAIN POTENTIAL VOTES IN THEIR UPCOMING ELECTIONS MANIPULATING THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE. ADDITIONALLY, INMATES WITHIN THIS NATION NEED TO KNOW ONCE AND FOR ALL WHETHER DO ILLEGAL UNCONSTITUTIONAL FATALY DEFECTIVE INDICTMENTS VOID THE COURTS JURISDICTION UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONAL PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AS OPPOSED TO THE LEGISLATIVE PRONG WHICH THE COURT IN ERROR HAVE ADJUDICATED THIS ISSUE UNDER. THE PEOPLE OF THIS NATION, THE POOR, ARE CRYING OUT FOR SOME TYPE OF PRISON REFORM WHICH A RULING IN FAVOR WOULD GIVE THEM. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WOULD BE EMBARRASSED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF ITS AFFAIRS BY ANY FURTHER DELAY. THE PETITIONER(S) MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE TO HAVE THIS CASE MOVED UP ON THE DOCKET, DOGAN v. BARAK, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6024416 (C.D.2016); U.S. v. NORTON, 91 U.S. 558, 1 OTTO 558, 1875 WL 17934, 23 L.Ed. 250(U.S.1875); CENTRAL R. CO. v. BOURBON COUNTY, 116 U.S. 538, 6 S.Ct. 601, 29 L.Ed. 725(U.S.1886); GONZALEZ v. CROSBY, 545 U.S. 524, 125 S.Ct. 2641, 162 L.Ed.2d. 480(U.S.2005); NATURAL GAS CO. OF WEST VIRGINIA v. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WEST VIRGINIA, 55 S.Ct. 646 (MEM)(U.S.1935).

THE PETITIONER(S) KNOW HONORABLE JUSTICES THAT THESE ARE


EXTREMELY CONTROVERSIAL TIMES. THE PETITIONERS SEEN WHAT OCCURRED WITH THE REVERSAL OF ROE v. WADE. BUT LIKE IT OR NOT, THIS IS THE JOB. THIS IS WHAT YOU ALL SIGNED UP FOR WHEN YOU TOOK THOSE OATHS TO UPHOLD THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THIS IS WHAT YOU WILL BE DOING. TO ADDRESS ANY CONCERN, THE PETITIONER(S) ASK THAT THE JUSTICES JUST SIMPLY BLAME ME, DEFLECT ON THE RECORD. DIRECT THE PUBLIC TO ME. LET THEM GATHER AT MY DOOR AS OPPOSED TO YOURS. THIS IS WHAT I CAME IN THE WORLD TO DO, TO STAND FOR THE GOD I SERVE. SEND THEM TO ME, BLAME ME, AND I WILL ADDRESS THE PUBLIC'S CONCERNS AND SEND EVERY RIGHTEOUS GOD FEARING AND LOVING CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM AND JEWISH BELIEVER IN THIS NATION TO SUPPORT YOU AND STAND BEHIND YOU EVEN IF THEY HAVE TO SHOW UP AT THE COURTHOUSE STEPS TO DO IT. THE COURT IS NOT BOUND TO ADHERE TO STRICT ORDER OF DOCKET IF THERE BE ANY REASON OF JUSTICE, NECESSITY OR CONVENIENCE FROM DEPARTING FROM IT. THE CASE WILL EMBARRASS THE OPERATION OF GOVERNMENT IF LEFT UNSETTLED. THE PETITIONERS MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE TO HAVE THIS CASE MOVED UP ON THE DOCKET AND HEARD IMMEDIATELY, U.S. v. FOSSATT, 62 U.S. 455, 21 HOW. 445, 1858 WL 9345; ATLAS TRAVEL SERVICE, INC. v. MORELLO, 97 SO.2d. 496(1957); FORBES v. DEHON, 17 S.C. Eq. 45, SPEARS Eq. 45, 1843 WL 2962; STATE v. BROAD RIVER POWER COMPANY, 164 S.C. 208, 162 S.E. 74 (S.C.1931); BRANNON POE, CPA, LLC. v. STRAVOLO, S.E.2d., 2016 WL 2745274 (S.C.2016).

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE



RON SANTA McCRAY



DECEMBER 26, 2022

CASE NO. 22-5805

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; RON  
SANTA McCRAY,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF  
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,  
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

SUPPLEMENT BRIEF  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORAI

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA  
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE  
#300839 F5B. RM. 187  
LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.  
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

RON SANTA McCRAY  
#353031 BLUFFTON RM.135  
RIDGELAND C.I.  
P.O. BOX 2039  
RIDGELAND, S.C. 29936

## ADDITIONAL ISSUES PRESENTED

(1) IS THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT UNCONSTITUTIONAL, DUE TO IT NOT BEING A RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE DUE TO THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BEING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN WHICH CANNOT BE ARRESTED, AND OR EXECUTED, AND OR ATTACHED WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, WHICH THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND SENATE DID NOT HAVE, AND ALSO DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE CASES, 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA'S FAILURE TO APPEAR IN THE NOVEMBER 2020 HEARING IN RICHLAND COUNTY, S.C., CONGRESS AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAS GIVEN A RELIGIOUS RIGHT IN VIOLATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, AS WELL AS THEY HAVE VIOLATED THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS THROUGH ABRAHAM, A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, ALSO BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", WHERE THE LAW OF THE CONTRACT WHERE AND WHEN IT WAS MADE STANDS AND CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE LEGISLATURE OR COURTS WITH EX POST FACTO LAW, ALSO VIA THE DEFAULT RELIED UPON, WHICH THEIR ACTIONS ALSO VIOLATE ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE U.S. CONST., AS WELL AS STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND STATUTES AS WELL AS THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT REGARDING FREEDOM OF RELIGION?

(2) IS THE PAYMENT OF FILING FEES REQUIRED WHEN THE APPELLANT, CRAWFORD, IS INDIGENT AND THE CASE INVOLVES THE ARGUING OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GUARANTEED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE APPELLANT IS PRESENTLY INCARCERATED?

(3) WHEN ARE THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS SET IN PLACE BY AFFIRMATIVE ACTION NO LONGER NEEDED?

IT IS ARGUED BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THAT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION MUST REMAIN AND OR BE RESTORED TO ITS INITIAL STATE, EVEN WITH IT INITIAL QUOTAS OF 11% SET IN PLACE ACCORDING TO THE UNITED STATES POPULATION OF AFRICAN AMERICANS WITHIN ITS BORDERS, DUE TO IT NOT EVER BEING SO MUCH AN EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS VIOLATION ALONE. IT WAS MORE TO BE REVIEWED AS SOME SMALL OF REPARATIONS FOR THE ATROCITIES DONE DURING THE U.S. AND TRANSATLANTIC SLAVE TRADE AND SUFFERING AND INJUSTICES AFRICAN AMERICANS WERE SUBJECTED TO DURING THE RACIST ACTION THAT RESULTED FROM JIM CROW LAWS OF THIS NATION WHERE THIS NATION ENGAGED IN ACTS OF RAPE, MUTILATIONS, DISMEMBERMENTS AND ACTS OF OFFICIAL MENTAL AND PHYSICAL TORTURE IN VIOLATION OF THE C.A.T. TREATY AMOUNTING TO HATE CRIMES IN VIOLATION OF STATE, FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL LAW WHICH IS PART OF THE DEFAULT BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084. WHEN SHOULD AFFIRMATIVE ACTION END?

## LIST OF PARTIES

THE LIST OF PARTIES ARE THE SAME AS IS ASSERTED WITHIN THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI UNDER CASE 21-8066 AND THIS APPEAL. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT. THE UNITED STATES DEFENDANTS WHICH INCLUDE THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND THE UNITED STATES SENATE ARE SEEN WITHIN THE COURT RECORD THAT ESTABLISH CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH ARE AN INTRINSIC PART OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT FINAL ORDER THAT IS THE SOURCE OF THIS PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

## RELATED CASES

THIS CASE IS RELATED TO THE APPEAL SEEKING PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORAI UNDER CASE 21-8066 PRESENTLY SOUGHT REHEARING PENDING BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THIS CASE IS RELATED TO THE APPEAL OF BOTH CASES 20-7073 AND 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ALSO ESTABLISHED AS 21-8239 ON THE U.S. SUPREME COURT DOCKET; THE APPEAL OF CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS THAT WAS RECENTLY SPOLIATED, DESTROYED BY CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF THIS COURT SENT CERTIFIED MAIL UNDER # 7021 0950 0001 0837 0751 DELIVERED TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT MAY 31, 2022; THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION CASE OUT OF THE 1st.

CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO THE BOSTON DISTRICT COURT IN FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION CIRCUMVENTING AND OR FAILING TO RULE ON THE PROPERLY AND TIMELY FILED AND SUBMITTED MOTION TO INTERVENE IN THAT CASE BY RIGHT, NOT PERMISSION, BASED ALSO BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES RELIED UPON INVOLVING THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT; CASES 21A425, 21A732 AND 21A383 THAT WERE PRESENTED TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT BUT WHERE THE COMPROMISED EMPLOYEES HAVE NOW CAUSED IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO CASE 21A383.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION BELOW.....1  
JURISDICTION.....1  
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....3  
STATEMENT OF CASE.....5  
REASON FOR GRANTING THE SUPPLEMENT BRIEF.....6  
CONCLUSION.....22

INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX---A EXHIBIT, "CASE NO. 2021-000354".

## OPINION(S) BELOW

THE OPINION(S) BELOW ARE ALREADY BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT WHICH IS THE SOURCE OF THIS PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI ALSO EMBELLISH THE ACTIONS BEING CRIMINALLY, FRAUDULENTLY DONE WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. AGAIN, THE UNITED STATES PARTIES AND OR DEFENDANTS ARE AN INTRINSIC PART OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT DECISION WHERE THAT DECISION WAS ALSO BASED UPON THE PROCEEDINGS THAT ESTABLISH CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE THE ISSUE OF AFFIRMATIVE ACTION WAS ALSO DEFAULTED ON WITHIN THOSE PROCEEDINGS BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON WHICH IS JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE AND CANNOT BE WAIVED AND OR FORFEITED BY DUE PROCESS LAW.

## JURISDICTION

THE JURISDICTION FOR THIS SUPPLEMENT IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS THE JURISDICTION ARGUED WITHIN THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI. BUT DUE TO THE RECENT DEVELOPMENT OF THE U.S. CONGRESS AND U.S. GOVERNMENT ESTABLISHING THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT. SUCH UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION ON THE PART OF CONGRESS ESTABLISHES A SUPREME COURT ORIGINAL JURISDICTION CLAIM

ALSO VIA THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT. THE INITIAL PETITION WITH THE EXHIBITS IN THE APPENDICES SUPPORT THESE FACTS WHICH IS COMPOUNDED BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT BAKING A BACK DOOR APPEARANCE WITHIN THE STATE CASES RELIED UPON, HIDING THEIR APPEARANCE AND FAILING TO PLEAD TO REBUT ANY OF THE CLAIMS MADE THEREUPON DEFAULTING BY THAT FAILURE ON ALL CLAIMS MADE.

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>CASE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
ADAIR v. U.S., 2016 WL 3248569.....	10
ALEXANDER v. WILSON, 2022 WL 853799(S.C.).....	25
AMERICAN v. AMERICAN, 136 S.Ct.2067.....	24
BANK MARKAZI v.PETERSON, 136 S.Ct. 1310.....	8
BRADDY v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 1031301.....	10
BUILDING AND REALTY, 2021 WL 4198332.....	10
CAPITAL v. INTERNATIONAL, 2013 WL 557236(Fla.).....	9
DAVIS v. CANTELLI, 2018 WL 6169255.....	10
EVANCHO v. PINE-RICHLAND, 237 F.Supp.3d. 267.....	8
EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339.....	22
FIFTH v. DUDENHOEFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459.....	8
FIRST v. BANCTEC, 2016 WL 7444943.....	12
FORTBEND v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843.....	6
ISLAMIC v. TOWNSHIP, 2016 WL 7496661.....	26
KENNEDY v. BREMEATON, 142 S.Ct. 2407.....	24
MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533.....	13
MARTIN v. TARGET, 2013 WL 1187034.....	12
MASTERPIECE v. COLORADO, 138 S.Ct. 1719.....	9
McCLAIN v. 1st., 2016 WL 8504775.....	12
McfADDEN v. DUNLAP, 2016 WL 4993406.....	25
MILFORD v. MIDDLETON, 2018 WL 348059.....	12
MOSELY v. U.S., 2018 WL 1187778.....	12
MYLES v. DOMINO'S, 2017 WL 238436.....	12
NATION v. SHAHALA, 112 F3d. 151.....	22
PEREZ v. CHIME, 2016 WL 6124679.....	9
RAFAELI v. OAKLAND, 952 N.W.2d. 434.....	10
ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850.....	11
RUBIN v. ISLAMIC, 138 S.Ct. 816.....	7
SAUNIER v. BOEING, 2014 WL 1646953.....	10
SPIRES v. SCHOOLS, 2017 WL 4174774.....	8
SVEEN v. MELIN, 138 S.Ct. 1815.....	9
TAYLOR v. U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074.....	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CON'T

CASE	PAGES
THE AMISTAD, 40 U.S. 518.....	22
THORTON V. MARYLAND, 2013 WL 1943065(S.C.).....	10
U.S. v. ROOF, 2016 WL 8118564.....	26
WALZ v. TAX, 397 U.S. 664.....	24
WELLS FARGO v. H.M.H., 859 F3d. 295.....	12
ZIVOLTOFSKY v. KERRY, 135 S.Ct. 2076.....	18
24 SENATORIAL v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624.....	8

STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

THE 1st. AMENDMENT U.S. CONSTITUTION.....	9
THE 5TH. AMENDMENT U.S. CONSTITUTION.....	9
ARTICLE 1 § 10 U.S. CONSTITUTION.....	9
THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT.....	9
29 U.S.C.A. § 1104.....	9
28 U.S.C.A. § 2679.....	9
28 U.S.C. § 1346(b)(1).....	9

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE STATEMENT OF THIS CASE IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME WITH TWO OR THREE ADDITIONAL SETS OF JURISDICTIONAL FACTS THAT NOW MUST BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THAT BEING (1) THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS, THE UNITED STATES SENATE, SIGNED BY THE UNITED STATES DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENT, HAS JUST PLACED INTO EFFECT THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT; (2) THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE SENT TWO OF THE CASES THAT ARE THE SOURCE OF THIS APPEAL DOWN TO THE LOWER COURT IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT SO THAT IF A RULING CAME FROM THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN THE PETITIONER(S) FAVOR. THEY, IN FRAUD, WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO ACT UPON A CASE NO LONGER WITHIN THEIR JURISDICTION; AND (3) THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS IN THE PROCESS OF RULING ON, IF IT HAD NO ALREADY OCCURRED, THE ISSUE OF AFFIRMATIVE ACTION FOR WHICH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEFAULTED ON THIS MATTER WITHIN THE PETITIONERS CASES THAT ARE THE SOURCE OF THIS APPEAL AND THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ASKED THE OPPOSING PARTIES A SPECIFIC QUESTION WHICH NONE OF THEM APPEAR TO BE ABLE TO ANSWER WHERE THE PETITIONER, AS THE BLACK MESSIAH, MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR, AM MORE THAN ABLE TO ANSWER FOR THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THESE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS WERE NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI WAS FILED ESTABLISHING THIS ACTION BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.

OTHER THAN THESE ESSENTIAL, CRUCIAL, ADDITIONAL FACTS THAT DID NOT EXIST AT THE TIME THIS PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI WAS FILED. THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE REMAINS THE SAME AS IS STATED IN THE INITIAL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT IF THEY REMAIN THE SAME.

**REASON FOR GRANTING THE SUPPLEMENT BRIEF TO WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

(1) IS THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT UNCONSTITUTIONAL, DUE TO IT NOT BEING A RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE AT ALL DUE TO THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BEING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN WHICH CANNOT BE ARRESTED, AND OR EXECUTED, AND OR ATTACHED WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, WHICH THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND SENATE DID NOT HAVE, AND ALSO DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE CASES, 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019), AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA'S FAILURE TO APPEAR IN THE NOVEMBER 2020 HEARING IN RICHLAND COUNTY, S.C., CONGRESS AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAS GIVEN A RELIGIOUS RIGHT IN VIOLATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, AS WELL AS THEY HAVE VIOLATED

THE TERMS OF "THE GRANT" GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS THROUGH ABRAHAM, A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, ALSO BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", WHERE THE LAW OF THE "CONTRACT" WHERE AND WHEN IT WAS MADE STANDS AND CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE LEGISLATURE OR COURTS WITH EX POST FACTO LAW, ALSO VIA THE DEFAULT RELIED UPON, WHICH THEIR ACTIONS ALSO VIOLATE NOT JUST THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE, BUT ALSO ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE U.S. CONST., AS WELL AS STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND STATUTES AS WELL AS THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT REGARDING FREEDOM OF RELIGION?

INSOMUCH, CONGRESS AND THE DEMOCRATS OF THIS NATION ESTABLISHING THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT WAS DONE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE VIA CHURCH AND STATE, AS WELL AS FOREIGN GOVERNMENT CONCERNS VIOLATING THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, OPERATING IN VIOLATION OF ITS ARTICLE 5 POWERS TO ESTABLISH A RELIGIOUS RIGHT FOR HOMOSEXUALS (SODOMITES) AND LESBIANS (GOMORRAHRITES) WITH NEFARIOUS INTENT TO CAPTURE VOTES IN THEIR UPCOMING ELECTIONS IN VIOLATION OF "THE GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS WHICH HAS CLEAR RESTRICTIONS IN A MANNER WHICH USAGE WAS NEVER IN THE MINDS OF THE LENDERS. TO ESTABLISH ONE GENES, MAN WITH MAN AND WOMAN WITH WOMAN GOES BEYOND THE JUDICIAL REASONING, POWER AND AUTHORITY OF ANY COURT ON THE FACE OF THE PLANET AND IS AN ABOMINATION IN THE SIGHT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, AS WELL AS IS IN VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE. ALL ACTS OF CONGRESS THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW, RUBIN v. ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, 138 S.Ct. 816, 86

U.S.L.W. 4064(U.S.2018)(THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION CANNOT BE ATTACHED...); BANK MARKAZI v. PETERSON, 136 S.Ct. 1310, 194 L.Ed.2d. 463, 84 U.S.L.W. 4222(U.S.2016); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624 (4th.Cir.2016); EVANCHO v. PINE-RICHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d. 267(W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR v. UNITED STATES, 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016).

CONGRESS SHALL MAKE NO LAW RESPECTING AN ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION. THE EGREGIOUS INJUSTICE DONE ON THE PART OF CONGRESS AND THE DEMOCRATIC LED GOVERNMENT PRODUCES AN ENTANGLEMENT WITH RELIGION AND ATTACHES AND OR ARREST AND OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN IN VIOLATION OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT AND "THE GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS THAT HAS CLEAR RESTRICTIONS WHICH WERE VIOLATED BY SUCH ACTION, GIVEN VIA ABRAHAM, WHERE THE LAW OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" WHEN AND WHERE IT WAS MADE STANDS, AND CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS OR LEGISLATURE WITHOUT ALSO VIOLATING NOT JUST THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE, BUT ALSO THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, AS WELL AS STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND STATUTES AS IT PERTAINS TO HEREDITARY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND RIGHTS OF ESTATE OF A PERSON WHO IS THE EMBODIMENT OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE AND KING, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH, VIA "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN AND RELIGIOUS PROPHECY, SPIRES v. SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d.

457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP, LTD. v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COM'N, 138 S.Ct. 1719, 201 L.Ed.2d. 35(U.S.2018). UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT, THE GOVERNMENT MAY NOT PROHIBIT THE EXPRESSION OF AN IDEA BECAUSE SOCIETY FINDS THE IDEA OFFENSIVE OR DISAGREEABLE. THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS, SUCH AS FREEDOM OF RELIGION, THE F.S.I.A., THE LAW OF TRUSTS AND FIDUCIARIES, ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE CONST., CAN BAR APPLICATION OF A SEEMINGLY NEUTRAL, GENERALLY APPLICABLE LAW AS THE ONE THE U.S. CONGRESS, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, INITIATED TO GAIN VOTES BEHIND THE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES OF THIS NATION. GIVE THEM CIVIL UNIONS, NOT THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, PEREZ v. CHIMES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; 28 U.S.C.A. § 2679, 1346(b)(1); CAPITAL TRANS INTERN, LLC. v. INTERNATIONAL PETROLEUM INV. CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013).

ANY LAW WHICH IN ITS OPERATION AMOUNTS TO A DENIAL OR OBSTRUCTION OF RIGHTS ACCRUING BY CONTRACT, THOUGH PROFESSING TO ACT ONLY ON THE REMEDY, IS VIOLATIVE OF CONSTITUTIONAL INHIBITIONS AGAINST LEGISLATIVE AND OR JUDICIAL IMPAIRING RIGHTS OF CONTRACT, SVEEN v. MELIN, 138 S.Ct. 1815, 201 L.Ed.2d. 180, 86 U.S.L.W. 4392(U.S.2018).

FIFTH AMENDMENT TAKING CLAUSE PREVENTS LEGISLATURE AND OTHER GOVERNMENT ACTORS FROM DEPRIVING PRIVATE PERSONS OF VESTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, IN THIS CASE, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS,

EXCEPT FOR PUBLIC USE AND UPON PAYMENT OF JUST COMPENSATION WHICH NO SUCH COMPENSATION OCCURRED, WHICH THEY CANNOT FURTHER DO, DUE TO THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEFAULTING IN THE STATE CASES RELIED UPON EMERGING FROM SOUTH CAROLINA, BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE IN QUESTION, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2679; THORTON v. MARYLAND GENERAL HOSP., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1943065 (Md.2013); BRADY v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 1031301(E.D.Va.2016); ADAIR ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC. v. U.S. DEPT. OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, 2016 WL 3248569 (2016); SAUNIER v. BOEING COMPANY, F.Supp.2d., 2014 WL 1646953 (2014). THE CONTRACT CLAUSE APPLIES TO EVERY KIND OF CONTRACT (EMPHASIS ADDED), INCLUDING "HOLY COVENANTS" ORIGINATING FROM A ECCLESIASTICAL SOURCE, WHERE THE PETITIONER, CRAWFORD, IS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH AND THE OTHER PETITIONERS, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE TRUST ALSO PRODUCING THIRD PARTY OBLIGATION TO PROTECT THE TERMS OF "THE GRANT", RAFAELI, LLC. v. OAKLAND COUNTY, 952 N.W.2d. 434, 472 Mich.; DAVIS v. CANTELLL, 2018 WL 6169255, \* 5+ E.D.La.; BUILDING AND REALTY INSTITUTION OF WESTCHESTER AND PUTNAM COUNTIES, 2021 WL 4198332, \* 33 S.D.N.Y.. THEREFORE, THE ACTIONS OF THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY LED LEGISLATURE IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS RELATED TO THE SOLE CORPORATION, THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE, AND THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT RECENTLY PUT IN PLACE MUST BE DEEMED UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID.

(2) IS THE PAYMENT OF FILING FEES IN CASE 2021-000354 REQUIRED WHEN THE APPELLANT, CRAWFORD, IS INDIGENT AND PRESENTLY

INCARCERATED AND THE CASE INVOLVES THE ARGUING OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GUARANTEED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION?

INASMUCH, THE ILLEGAL ACTIVITY OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT INVOLVING THESE MATTERS ARE UBIQUITOUS AND UNRELENTING. THIS IS THE LATEST INJUSTICE INVOLVING THE STATE ACTORS FURTHER SUPPORTING THE PETITIONERS REQUEST FOR 1407 TRANSFER TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY WHERE THE CRAWFORD 1986 WEAPON POSSESSION CHARGE ORIGINATES FROM WHERE THE SAME IDENTICAL LEGAL ISSUES FOR THAT OFFENSE ARE SOUGHT ARGUED. THE PETITIONERS CANNOT POSSIBLY RECEIVE ANY JUSTICE IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS IN ADDITIONAL EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, TO PREVENT ANY POTENTIAL RULING THAT MAY BE COMING OUT OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RELATED TO CASE 22-5805, TO PREVENT IT FROM IMPACTING THEIR JURISDICTION, THEY SEND THE CASES 2021-000354 AND THE APPEAL RELATED TO CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 BACK DOWN TO THE LOWER COURTS, TO PREVENT THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS FROM BEING REQUIRED TO ACT UPON ANY POTENTIAL RULING THAT MAY HAVE COME FROM THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RELATED TO THE CASES INVOLVED UNDER 22-5805. THEREUPON, WHEN THE APPELLANT, CRAWFORD, SOUGHT TO RECALL THE CASES, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW IN ACTS OF MACHINATION VIOLATING HOLDINGS UNDER ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(U.S.2016), ATTRIBUTES ILLEGAL FILING FEES TO THE APPELLANT, CRAWFORD, TO PREVENT THE CASES FROM BEING RECALLED, AND THEN MAKES USE OF THE

COURT CLERKS AS GATEKEEPERS, TO PREVENT THEM BEING REQUIRED TO RECALL THE REMITTITURS, KNOWING THAT THERE ARE TO BE NO SUCH FILING FEES ATTRIBUTED WHEN ARGUING FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, SO THEY COULD FRAUDULENTLY CLAIM THEY HAVE NO JURISDICTION TO ACT ON U.S. SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT SINCE THE CASES ARE NOW TRANSFERRED BACK DOWN TO THE LOWER COURTS AND TO PREVENT THEIR BEING ANY CASE LAW ESTABLISHED BY HIGHER COURT RULING AND OR ADJUDICATION. THESE CASES ARE THE SOURCE OF THE FINAL ORDER ISSUED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT THAT ESTABLISH CASE 22-5805 BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT.

FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING THAT IT ENTERS AND A JUDGMENT PROCURED BY FRAUD MAY BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED WHICH IS FREE OF PROCEDURAL LIMITATIONS. THIS APPLIES TO ALL ACTS, JUDGMENTS AND DECREES OF ALL COURTS ON RECORD, MYLES v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC., 2017 WL 238436 (D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL, INC. v. BANCTEC, INC., 2016 WL 7444943(D.C.Ky.2016); MARTIN v. TARGET CORP. OF MINNESOTA, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1187034(D.N.J.2013); McCLAIN v. 1st. SECURITY BANK OF WASHINGTON, 2016 WL 8504775(W.D.Wash.2016). ALL JUDGMENTS AND ACTS OF THE COURT THAT ARE CONTRARY TO DUE PROCESS LAW ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID, WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. v. H.M.H. ROMAN TWO N.C., LLC., 859 F3d. 295(4th.Cir.2017); MOSELY v. UNITED STATES, 2018 WL 1187778(W.D.N.C.2018); MILFORD v. MIDDLETON, 2018 WL 348059(DSC.2018).

THE PETITIONERS WANT THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO BE REQUIRED TO RECALL ALL REMITTITURS RELATED TO THESE CASES AND

THESE CASES BE MADE TO SIT WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS PENDING ANY POTENTIAL RULING THAT MAY COME OUT OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RELATED TO CASE 22-5805 AND WHEN THAT RULING COME DOWN, WHATEVER IT IS, THAT THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS BE REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PUBLISHED CASE LAW THAT WILL SIT WITHIN THE LEGAL BOOKS OF SOUTH CAROLINA FROM NOW UNTIL JESUS COMES HOME AND STOP THIS FOOLISHNESS AND THIS LAWLESSNESS. JESSIE JAMES NEEDED A GUN. THESE DEVILS JUST ADORN JUDICIAL ROBES AND SLAM A HAMMER, THEN ROB THE PENNIES OFF A DEAD MAN'S EYES, VIOLATING THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE STATE AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS. WHEN CERTAIN FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS ARE INVOLVED SUCH AS CHALLENGE TO CONVICTION, FREEDOM OF RELIGION, FREE SPEECH AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS WHICH THESE CASES INVOLVE, WHERE THESE DEVILS ILLEGALLY BLOCKED THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD FROM THE PCR COURT FOR OVER 15+ YEARS WITHOUT A JUDICIAL ORDER EXPLAINING WHY, WHEN THERE ARE EVEN CLAIMS OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE RELATED TO DNA EVIDENCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA SUPPRESSED, THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT AN INDIGENT BE ALLOWED ACCESS TO THE COURTS, MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d. 134(S.C.App.1995); KENNEDY v. BREMEATON SCHOOL DISTRICT, 142 S.Ct. 2407, 213 L.Ed.2d. 755(U.S.2022).

(3) WHEN ARE THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS SET IN PLACE BY AFFIRMATIVE ACTION NO LONGER NEEDED? IT IS ARGUED BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THAT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION MUST REMAIN AND OR BE RESTORED TO ITS INITIAL STATE, EVEN WITH ITS INITIAL QUOTAS OF 11% SET IN PLACE ACCORDING TO THE UNITED STATES POPULATION OF AFRICAN AMERICANS WITHIN ITS BORDERS, DUE TO

IT NOT EVER BEING SO MUCH AN EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS VIOLATION ALONE. IT WAS MORE TO BE REVIEWED AS SOME SMALL FORM OF REPARATIONS FOR THE ATROCITIES DONE DURING THE U.S. AND TRANSATLANTIC SLAVE TRADE AND SUFFERING AND INJUSTICES AFRICAN AMERICANS WERE SUBJECTED TO DURING THE RACIST ACTION THAT RESULTED IN ACTS OF RAPE, MUTILATION, DISMEMBERMENT AND ACTS OF OFFICIAL MENTAL AND PHYSICAL TORTURE IN VIOLATION OF THE C.A.T. TREATY AMOUNTING TO HATE CRIMES IN VIOLATION OF STATE, FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL LAW WHICH IS PART OF THE DEFAULT BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 35569; 2013-CP-400-0084. WHEN SHOULD AFFIRMATIVE ACTION END?

FOR THE RECORD, I AM "THE BLACK MESSIAH" REFERRED TO BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT POTENTIALLY COMING DURING OR AFTER THE TIME OF MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. I AM MARTIN'S SUCCESSOR BEARING HIS MANTLE. THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) OF ISLAM FORETOLD THAT I WOULD BE INSPIRED IN THE NIGHT (BY DREAMS AND VISIONS), THAT I WOULD BE NAMED AFTER A PROPHET BECAUSE I RESEMBLE HIM IN BEHAVIOR, BUT NOT IN LOOKS. HE SAID I, AS GOD'S LAWGIVER, WOULD FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE SAME WAY IT HAD BEEN FILLED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION. THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 61:1-3 FORETELL THAT I WOULD OPEN THE PRISON DOORS AND PROCLAIM A DAY OF LIBERTY FOR THE CAPTIVES. THUS, YOU HAVE THE LEGAL ISSUES PRESENTED BEFORE YOU. OUR GOD SENT MY GREAT ETC. GRAND UNCLE, CHRIST(PBUH), TO ME IN VISIONS NUMEROUS TIMES. I KNOW WHERE THE ARK OF THE COVENANT IS. I AM COMMANDED TO RETURN TO MY ANCESTRAL LANDS, RETRIEVE IT, BUILD THE TEMPLE IN JERUSALEM AND ASCEND THE

THRONE OF MY FOREFATHER KING DAVID. I AM CHRISTIAN MUSLIM AND JEW COMBINED AS THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" FORETOLD. OUR GOD SENT MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. TO ME ONE TIME IN A NIGHT VISION TO PASS HIS MANTLE BECAUSE HE KNEW THAT THIS DAY WOULD COME BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RELATED TO SAME SEX MARRIAGE AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SIMULTANEOUSLY. I AM SENT BY THE ONE TRUE GOD TO PRESENTLY CHALLENGE YOUR DEEDS BEFORE HIM. I AM JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE. I DID NOT PERSONALLY CHOOSE MY NAME. MY NAME WAS GIVEN TO ME BY CHRIST IN A NIGHT VISION FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE, TO CONFIRM WHAT IS WRITTEN IN THE NEW TESTAMENT AND ISLAM. HE KNEW THAT ONE POINT IN THE FUTURE THAT I WOULD REBEL AGAINST MY CALL. IN 1999, I WAS INSTRUCTED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD TO LEAVE SOUTH CAROLINA AND RETURN HOME, TO NEW YORK, AND CRY OUT AGAINST THAT CITY BECAUSE A GREAT EVIL AND JUDGMENT WAS COMING TO THE CITY THAT IS SPIRITUALLY "SODOM AND EGYPT". I REBELLED AND DIDN'T GO. I WAS AFRAID FOR MYSELF AND FOR MY FAMILY, TALKING ABOUT I WAS COMMANDED TO RETURN HOME TO NEW YORK, OF ALL PLACES, CLAIMING TO BE A PROPHET OF GOD. I THOUGHT PEOPLE WOULD KILL MY RUMP, OR AT LEAST, PUT ME IN THE NUT HOUSE FOR SEEMINGLY BEING CRAZY. I AM A MAN OF LOGIC, SCIENCE AND REASON. NEXT THING YOU KNOW ONE DAY I WAKE UP, I AM FACED WITH ASPECTS OF THE SUPERNATURAL THAT I CAN'T EXPLAIN EXCEPT FOR THE PRESENCE OF GOD. I PLACED MY FAMILY'S SAFETY BEFORE MY OBEDIENCE AND WORSHIP OF MY GOD. BECAUSE OF THIS AND TEMPTING HIM, OUR GOD USE MY FAMILY, THE THING I PLACED BEFORE HIM, TO DESTROY ME AND FRAME ME AS IS WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL 11:26 WHICH STATE, "THEY THAT FEED A PORTION OF HIS MEAT SHALL DESTROY HIM." LIKE THE PROPHET JONAH INITIALLY REFUSED TO GO TO NINEVEH, I REFUSED TO RETURN OR GO TO NEW YORK AND MY

PRESENT IMPRISONMENT IS PART OF MY "BELLY OF THE WHALE" EXPERIENCE. I AM PRESENTLY "IN THE SERPENT'S ROOT" (PRISON) AS ALSO FORETOLD BY THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 14:29-32 (USE THE AUTHORIZED KING JAMES BIBLE ONLY). THIS PORTION OF THE "CONTRACT", THE "COVENANT" FORETOLD THAT I WOULD BE A "COCKATRICE", A LARGE, STOCKY, FIRERY SPIRITED BLACK MAN. I AM A COCKATRICE WITH 7 TIMES THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH. ISLAM FURTHER STATE THAT I WOULD HAVE A LARGE HOOKED NOSE, HIGH BROAD FOREHEAD AND BE SLIGHTLY BOW LEGGED. ALL THESE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS FORETOLD MATCH ME. I WALK IN 7 TIMES THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH. WHEN I FAILED TO RETURN HOME TO NEW YORK AS ORDERED BY MY GOD, 9/11 HAPPENED. IT WAS RELIGIOUS PROPHECY WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 30:25 WHICH READ, "IN THE DAY OF GREAT SLAUGHTER WHEN THE TOWERS FALL". HAD I OBEYED AND RETURNED HOME TO NEW YORK. THERE IS NO TELLING HOW MANY OF THOSE LIVES THAT MAY HAVE BEEN SAVED. THEIR DEATHS HAUNT ME CONTINUOUSLY AND ARE ON MY HEAD AS GOD'S PROPHET. I HAVE TO LIVE WITH THAT REALITY EVERY DAY OF MY LIFE. I FAILED MY GOD AND I FAILED THEM PEOPLE, MY PEOPLE. I WON'T FAIL MY GOD OR MY PEOPLE NOW. I DON'T CARE WHAT ANYONE THINKS ABOUT ME OR WHAT ANYONE SAYS ABOUT ME. I STAND. CHRIST INFORMED YOU IN THE NEW TESTAMENT THAT "THERE WILL BE NO SIGN GIVEN TO YOU, OTHER THAN THE SIGN OF THE PROPHET JONAH". I AM THE PROPHET JONAH WHOM CHRIST WAS REFERRING TO, A NAME GIVEN TO ME BY CHRIST IN A NIGHT VISION BECAUSE HE KNEW THAT ONE DAY IN THE FUTURE THAT I WOULD REBEL FROM MY CALL, AND TO FULFILL WHAT THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) SAID ABOUT ME, THAT I WOULD BE NAMED AFTER A PROPHET BY BEHAVIOR NOT LOOKS. I AM HERE TO WARN YOU ABOUT WHAT YOU PLAN TO DO HERE TODAY HONORABLE JUSTICES RELATED TO SAME SEX MARRIAGE AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION.

MANY OF YOU JUSTICES ARE NOT SPRING CHICKENS. YOU ARE MY AGE OR OLDER. IF I APPEAR BEFORE YOU, THEN TRULY, GOD AND THE DEVIL ARE REAL, HEAVEN AND HELL ARE REAL, AND YOUR ACTIONS HERE TODAY SHALL HAVE ETERNAL REPERCUSSIONS FOR YOUR OWN SOULS AND THE SOULS OF MANKIND. I AM THE BLACK MESSIAH. IN MY CELL SITTING IN MY LEGAL BOXES ARE THE HANDWRITTEN PAGES OF THE BOOK OF REMEMBRANCE FORETOLD TO COME IN THE BOOK OF MALACHI 3:16. IT IS THE RESTORED GOSPEL FORETOLD TO COME BY RELIGIOUS PROPHECY WRITTEN BEHIND THE PRISON WALLS WHERE I AND MY SON, QUINTA, WHO IS THE SECOND WITNESS OF REVELATIONS CHAPTER 11 WILL PREACH THIS RESTORED GOSPEL BEFORE THE GLOBAL BELIEVERS, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, WORLDWIDE. IT IS WHEN THIS GLOBAL TEACHING IS COMPLETED THAT THE END FORETOLD BY CHRIST AND MUHAMMAD WILL COME. I AM HERE TO USHER IN THE FINAL CHAPTER OF EARTH'S HISTORY. I HAD MY PSYCH EVAL., 9 DIFFERENT FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGIST. NOT ONE OF THEM DEEMED ME AS BEING DELUSIONAL OR PSYCHOTIC. I AM THE ELIJAH, THE BRANCH, THE MAHDI OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY. I AM THE KING OF THE NORTH OF DANIEL CHAPTER 11, ONE OF THE TWO MESSENGERS OF THE NATION WRITTEN IN ISAIAH 14:29-32, THE RIGHTEOUS KING FORETOLD TO COME IN ISAIAH 11:1-5, THE KING AND HIGH PRIEST WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ZECHARIAH 6:12-13. I AM THE KING WHERE OUR GOD JOINS THE DESCENDANTS OF HAGAR VIA EPHRAIM (MUSLIMS) TO THE DESCENDANTS OF SARAH VIA JUDAH (CHRISTIANS AND JEWS) AND PLACE THEM UNDER ONE KING IN THE PARABLE OF THE TWO STICKS WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF EZEKIEL 37:15-22 ESTABLISHING THE KINGDOM OF IRON MIXED WITH MIREY CLAY WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL CHAPTER 2. I AM THE 12TH. AND FINAL OF THE RIGHTLY GUIDED KHALIFAHS OF ISLAM ALSO CONFIRMED AND WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF GENESIS 17:20. THUS, I PLACE THE TORAH, THE BIBLE,

THE QURAN AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) UP BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AS LEGAL BINDING DOCUMENTS, "CONTRACTS", "COVENANTS", THAT ESTABLISH MY SOVEREIGNTY BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING, KHALIFAHM HIGH PRIEST, IMAM AND LAWGIVER OF THE ONE TRUE GOD WHICH EXISTED BY CONTRACT WAY BEFORE THIS NATION WAS EVER FORMED, PERMITTING ME TO INVOKE THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS ESTABLISHED BY THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, ZIVOLTOFSKY EX REL ZIVOLTOFSKY v. KERRY, 135 S.Ct. 2076, 192 L.Ed.2d. 83, 83 U.S.L.W. 4391(U.S.2015).

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION BEING RESTORED TO WHAT IT WAS DURING THE TIME OF MARTIN LUTHER KING JR., INCLUDING ITS, AT MINIMUM, 11% QUOTAS, BY MINIMUM, NOT A HIGH, WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT UNDER CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019) ALSO AS A PART OF REPARATIONS FOR THE ATROCITIES DONE DURING THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE. THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION CASE BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS NOT AN EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CASE ALONE AS THE PARTIES WOULD FRAUDULENTLY LIKE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO BELIEVE. IT IS A COVERT, UNDERCOVER, WHITE NATIONALIST AGENDA PLOT AND SCHEME MAKING USE OF THESE ASIAN STUDENTS AS PROXY BEHIND RACIAL HATRED WHERE THESE SAME TYPE OF DEVILS THAT FINANCIALLY SUPPORTED "YELLOW DOG", DONALD TRUMP, TO PUT HIS RACIST RUMP IN THE WHITE HOUSE, HOPING TO SPECIFICALLY ATTACK AFRICAN AMERICANS BECAUSE THIS LEGISLATION SITS IN PLACE ON THEIR BEHALF. THIS IS NOT SOME

EMPTY CONSPIRACY THEORY. THESE FACTS WERE DOCUMENTED ON NPR TERRY GROSS ON FRESH AIR AND BY PRO PUBLICA RELIABLE SOURCES. IF YOU KILL THE EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES, YOU STIFLE THE FINANCES. IF YOU STIFLE THEIR FINANCES, YOU STIFLE THEIR POLITICAL POWER AND UPHOLD THE WHITE POWER STRUCTURAL APPARATUS OF THIS RACIST GOVERNMENT. THIS IS NOT A BLANKET INDICTMENT. THERE JUST HAPPEN TO BE DEVILS IN ALL CULTURES. EQUAL PROTECTION CLAIM APPLY TO INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE SIMILARLY SITUATED. NO CULTURAL GROUP WITHIN THIS NATION COULD EVER BE DEEMED LEGALLY SIMILARLY SITUATED TO AFRICAN AMERICANS OF THIS RACIST GOING TO THE HELLFIRE NATION, WHY? AFRICAN AMERICANS HAVE THE ONE DISTINCT, MATERIALLY DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTIC, DEMONSTRATING THAT WE ARE NOT SIMILARLY SITUATED, THAT NO OTHER PERSON OR CULTURAL GROUP WITHIN THIS NATION POSSESS, IN THAT WE NEVER CAME TO THIS BASTARD GOING TO THE HELLFIRE RACIST NATION BY CHOICE. WE WERE FORCED HERE IN THE BONDS OF BRUTAL SLAVERY AND IN ACTS OF OFFICIAL MENTAL AND PHYSICAL TORTURE BY THIS NATION. THEREUPON, DESPITE THE FACT THAT SLAVERY SUPPOSEDLY FINALLY ENDED. WE WERE THEN SUBJECTED TO DEFACTO SLAVERY IN THE FORM OF THIS NATION'S JIM CROW LAWS. THIS NATION OWES US. ITS A DEBT THAT UNTIL THIS PRESENT DATE THAT HAS NEVER BEEN PAID OR CALLED INTO ACCOUNT! WHITE AMERICA IS LIVING AND PROSPERING OFF THE WEALTH OF THIS INJUSTICE AS OPPOSED TO THE AFRICAN AMERICANS WHO PRODUCED IT WHERE THE EFFECTS OF THIS INJUSTICE ARE BEING EXPERIENCED UNTIL THIS PRESENT DAY. THAT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION LEGISLATION WAS PUT INTO PLACE DURING A TIME OF GREAT RACIAL UPHEAVAL WITHIN THIS NATION AND WAS ESTABLISHED, SPECIFICALLY, SPECIFICALLY, FOR AFRICAN AMERICANS WHO WERE

SUBJECTED TO AND WHO BORE THE BRUNT OF THESE SADISTIC WHITE DEVILS IN THIS NATION'S BRUTALITY IN THE FORM OF RAPES, BEATINGS, DOG ATTACKS, WATER HOSING, LYNCHINGS, IMPRISONMENTS, AND OTHER UNSPEAKABLE ATROCITIES WHICH "SHOCK THE CONSCIENCE" WHICH ALSO ESTABLISHED THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT. WHO!!! WHAT PARTY OR CULTURE THAT STANDS BEFORE THIS COURT CAN ASSERT THAT THEY ARE SIMILARLY SITUATED IN THIS REGARD, WHO!? IF YOU TOUCHED THIS LEGISLATION, YOU WOULD BE DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGETING AFRICAN AMERICANS TO THEIR DETRIMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE 14 AMENDMENT AND UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER EXPARTE VIRGINIA 1887. AFFIRMATIVE ACTION WAS GIVEN AND ESTABLISHED AS A FORM OF REPARATIONS, COMPENSATION TO AFRICAN AMERICANS FOR EVILS DONE BY THIS GOING TO THE HELLFIRE SODOMITE AND GOMORRAHRITE PROTECTING NATION THAT SPEAK BLASPHEMY AGAINST THE ONE TRUE GOD. IF ANY OTHER CULTURAL GROUP CAN STAND BEFORE THIS COURT AND DEMONSTRATE FOR THE RECORD THAT THEY TOO SUFFERED THESE SAME UNSPEAKABLE CRIMINAL ACTS, ATROCITIES AND INDIGNITIES, THEN, AND ONLY THEN, CAN THEY BE DEEMED EQUALLY SITUATED AND CLAIM AN EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CONCERN OR VIOLATION BECAUSE THEN, AND ONLY THEN, COULD THEY BE DEEMED EQUALLY SITUATED. AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IS ALSO DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT TO MAINTAIN IT IN IT ORIGINAL FORM, RESTORING IT TO WHEN IT WAS FIRST INITIATED WITH ITS 11% QUOTAS AS A FORM OF REPARATIONS FOR SLAVERY EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES RELIED UPON.

WHEN WILL AFFIRMATIVE ACTION NO LONGER BE NEEDED? WHEN THERE ARE NO LONGER ANY "LIGHT SKINNED" AFRICAN AMERICANS THAT RESULTED FROM THE BRUTAL RAPING OF AFRICAN AMERICAN WOMEN

EXISTING IN THIS NATION, WHEN THE INJUSTICES RECORDED WITHIN MICHELLE ALEXANDER'S BOOK, MASS INCARCERATION DURING THE AGE OF COLORBLINDNESS, THE NEW JIM CROW NO LONGER EXIST WITHIN THIS NATION, WHEN COLIN KAPPERNICK IS PAID TO COME BACK TO THE NFL WHO WAS KICKED OUT BECAUSE HE SUPPORTED THE CAUSE OF UNARMED BLACK MEN AND WOMEN BEING BRUTALLY SHOT DOWN IN THE STREETS IN A SPORT THAT IS 70% AFRICAN AMERICAN AND AT MINIMUM 4 OF THOSE NFL TEAMS BECOME BLACK OWNED AS A PART OF REPARATIONS, WHEN AFRICAN AMERICANS ARE NO LONGER BRUTALLY SHOT DOWN IN COLD BLOOD BY RACIST BASTARD COPS OR EVEN IN THEIR HOMES FOR ESSENTIALLY NO OTHER REASON THAN WALKING, DRIVING OR LIVING WHILE BLACK, WHEN THE NUMBER OF THIS NATION'S PRESIDENTS REACH 11, WHEN 11% OF ALL STUDENTS IN ALL TOP MAJOR PREDOMINATELY WHITE UNIVERSITIES ARE AFRICAN AMERICAN, WHEN 11% OF ALL DOCTORS AND LAWYERS IN THIS NATION ARE AFRICAN AMERICAN, WHEN AFRICAN AMERICANS ARE NO LONGER SUBJECTED TO "RED FLAGGING" AND DISCRIMINATORY LENDING PRACTICES AND ARE GIVEN WHAT CAN HAVE BEEN PRODUCED BY THAT 40 ACRES AND A MULE THIS NATION PROMISED THEM UPON RELEASE FROM THE BRUTAL BONDAGE OF SLAVERY, WHEN 11% OF THE CHIEF PERSONS UPON THE BOARDS OF THIS NATION'S TOP FORTUNE 500 COMPANIES AND BANKING ENTITIES ARE AFRICAN AMERICAN, WHEN THE EDUCATION IN AFRICAN AMERICAN SCHOOLS AND NEIGHBORHOOD ARE EQUAL TO THAT OF THEIR CAUCASIAN COUNTERPARTS IN WHITE NEIGHBORHOOD AND DEFACTO SEGREGATION NO LONGER EXIST WITHIN THIS NATION, WHEN NOOSES ARE NO LONGER SEEN HANGING FROM TREES ON CERTAIN UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, WHEN WHITE NATIONALIST GROUPS AND TARGETING AFRICAN AMERICAN BEHIND HATE CRIMES NO LONGER EXIST IN THIS NATION, WHEN YOU CAN ERASE THE

EFFECTS OF 400 YEARS OF BRUTAL OFFICIAL AND MENTAL TORTURE OF SLAVERY AND BONDAGE, 100 YEARS OF LYNCHING FROM THE HUMAN PSYCHE, LIVES AND DNA OF THE AFRICAN AMERICANS OF THIS NATION, WHEN YOU CAN WALK WITHIN THE DOORS OF ANY CHURCH IN AMERICA AND NO LONGER SEE THE FACE OF A WHITE JESUS WHEN CHRIST WAS A MAN OF COLOR, HE'S MY FOREUNCLE FOR GOODNESS SAKE, AND BLACK BLOOD HAS INUNDATED THE JEWISH BLOODLINE FROM ITS INCEPTION, THIS NATION HAS SOME SERIOUS ISSUES. WHEN "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" ROLLS DOWN THIS NATION'S STREETS WITH THE FORCE OF A MIGHTY RIVER AND LITTLE BLACK BOYS AND LITTLE BLACK GIRL ARE NO LONGER JUDGED BY THE COLOR OF THEIR SKIN, BUT BY THE CONTENT OF THEIR CHARACTER? THEN, AND ONLY THEN WILL AFFIRMATIVE ACTION NO LONGER BE NEEDED. THE BLACK MESSIAH, MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR, THE FORERUNNER TO GOD'S CHRIST, SPEAK TO YOU IN THE VOICE OF THAT ANCIENT SLAVE SHIP, THE AMISTAD, "GIVE US FREE!!!!", FREEDOM FROM TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION, EVEN JUDICIALLY, WHICH ARE THE SHADOWS OF OUR FORMER SLAVE MASTERS. TO GOD BE ALL GLORY, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339 (U.S.1880); THE AMISTAD, 40 U.S. 518, 15 PET. 518, 1841 WL 5024, 2006 A.M.C. 2955, 10 L.Ed. 826(U.S.1841).

**CONCLUSION**

**"HAERES EST EADEM PERSONA CUM ANTECESSORE"---THE HEIR IS**  
**THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR,** DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA WITHIN THE STATE CASES RELIED UPON, NATION, COX v. SHALALA, 112 F3d. 151. THE PETITIONER(S) FEEL LIKE SINGING THAT SONG FROM THE MOVIE

DOCTOR DOLITTLE, SINGING, "I NEVER SEEN ANYTHING LIKE IT". IT IS  
THOUGH, BY THE ACTIONS OF THE DEMOCRATIC LED CONGRESS THAT THE  
APPELLANTS ARE LOOKING AT THE MYTHICAL CREATURE CALLED A "PUSH  
ME-PULL YOU" LLAMA SEEN IN THE MOVIE DR. DOLITTLE WITH TWO HEADS  
GOING IN TWO DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS ON THE LEGAL CONCERNS ARGUED  
WITHIN THIS CASE. YOU CAN'T ESTABLISH RELIGIOUS RIGHTS FOR  
SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES IN VIOLATION OF CHURCH AND STATE  
VIOLATING THE LAW OF CONTRACTS, COVENANTS. OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM IS  
BROKEN AND IS IN NEED OF MUCH REPAIR. ITS TIME TO TAKE THE STEPS  
TO DO SO. THE PLAINTIFF(S) AND OR APPELANT(S) AND OR  
PETITIONER(S) IN THIS CASE, CLAIMING UNCONSTITUTIONAL  
ESTABLISHMENT OF A RELIGION OR INFRINGEMENT UPON A "GRANT"  
ORIGINATING FROM AN ECCLESIASTICAL SOURCE, MUST DEMONSTRATE THAT  
HE WAS COERCED BY GOVERNMENT CONDUCT (BY THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE  
ACT THE GOVERNMENT HAS COERCED AND OR FORCED THE EXPANSION OF THE  
GRANT IN A WAY THAT NEVER ENTERED THE MINDS OF ITS LENDERS AND  
HAVE ARRESTED AND OR EXECUTED AND OR ATTACHED THE INTELLECTUAL  
PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN IN  
VIOLATION OF THE F.S.I.A. AND 28 U.S.C. § 2679.), THAT SHARES THE  
CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION AS UNDERSTOOD AT  
ITS FOUNDING BY "CONTRACT", BY "COVENANT" WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR  
UNMADE BY THE COURTS AND WHERE THE LAW OF THE CONTRACT WHEN AND  
WHERE IT WAS MADE STANDS, WHEN THE ONE TRUE GOD COMMANDED ADAM,  
ALSO A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, TO JOIN TO A WOMAN (ONLY),  
NOT A MAN, AND BE FRUITFUL AND MULTIPLY, PRO CREATE BY NATURAL  
MEANS, WHICH GAYS AND LESBIANS CANNOT DO, WHICH IS A PREREQUISITE  
TO MAKE USE OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS WHERE IN

ADDITION, THE ONE TRUE GOD, "SPECIFICALLY", "SPECIFICALLY", DETERMINED THAT SUCH UNNATURAL RELATIONS OR UNIONS WERE AN ABOMINATION IN HIS SIGHT. GIVE THEN YOUR OWN CIVIL UNIONS, NOT THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION THAT HAS CLEAR RESTRICTIONS. THUS, BY THE "GRANT" FURTHER ESTABLISHED BY ABRAHAM, ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, SHARE A HISTORICAL CHARACTERISTIC OF AN ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION WHERE THE MARRITAL COVENANT BETWEEN A MAN AND HIS WIFE WAS TO BE A MIRROR OF THE COVENANT BETWEEN MAN AND HIS GOD WHERE MAN IS IN SUBMISSION TO HIS GOD, AND THE WIFE IS IN SUBMISSION TO HER HUSBAND WHETHER SOCIETY LIKES THIS IDEA OR NOT, DEMONSTRATING A RELIGIOUS COVENANT AND OR GRANT GIVEN FOR GENERAL USAGE ORIGINATING FROM AN ECCLESIASTICAL SOURCE HAVING THESE SAID RESTRICTIONS. THUS, THE RESPECT FOR MARRIAGE ACT MUST BE DEEMED UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID, A DEMOCRATIC PLOY TO GAIN UPCOMING VOTES BY ARRESTING AND OR ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN IN A MANNER THEY DID NOT HAVE CONSENT TO DO, AMERICAN LEGION v. AMERICAN HUMANIST ASSOCIATION, 136 S.Ct. 2067, 204 L.Ed.2d. 452(U.S.2019); WALZ v. TAX COMMISSION OF CITY OF NEW YORK, 397 U.S. 664, 90 S.Ct. 1409, 25 L.Ed.2d. 697(U.S.1970); KENNEDY v. BREMERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT, 142 S.Ct. 2407, 213 L.Ed.2d. 755 (U.S.2022).

AS IT PERTAINS TO THE INJUSTICES DONE WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, WHEN INDIGENT FILES MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND COMPLAINT DOES NOT APPEAR TO FIT WITHIN STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL EXCEPTION TO REQUIRING FILING FEES, WHICH THESE

CASES DO FALL WITHIN THE EXCEPTION, CLERK MUST SUBMIT MOTION TO JUDGE FOR RULING AS TO WHETHER COMPLAINT FITS WITHIN STATUTORY EXCEPTION OR CONCERNS FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS THAT REQUIRE THE WAIVING OF FILING FEES WHICH IN FRAUD UPON THE COURT DID NOT OCCUR TO PREVENT ANY POTENTIAL FUTURE RULING THAT MAY COME FROM THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT FROM EFFECTING THEIR JURISDICTION REQUIRING THEIR ACTIONS BE DEEMED A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID REQUIRING THE RECALLING OF ALL RELATED REMITTITURS WHICH IS SOUGHT, ALEXANDER v. WILSON, S.E. Rptr., 2022 WL 853799(S.C.App.2022); McFADDEN v. DUNLAP, F.Supp., 2016 WL 4993406(DSC.2016). ALSO SEE APPENDIX---A OF THE APPENDICES.

IN REGARDS TO THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION ISSUE. THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES SEE WHAT OCCURRED ON JANUARY 6, THE ATTEMPTED WHITE NATIONALIST INSURRECTION OCCURRING AT THE CAPITAL BUILDING. YOU SEEN WHAT HAPPENED IN CHARLOTTE, N.C. THE WHITE NATIONALIST RUNNING DOWN IN COLD BLOOD THE BEAUTIFUL LITTLE CAUCASIAN SISTER WHO STOOD WITH HER AFRICAN AMERICAN COUNTERPARTS AGAINST BIGOTRY AND RACISM. YOU SEE WHAT THE WHITE NATIONALIST DID IN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY THE MASS KILLING IN A JEWISH DELI. NPR, FRESH AIR WITH TERRY GROSS AND PRO PUBLICA HAS DONE COUNTLESS INVESTIGATIONS ON THIS STUFF. THEREFORE, IT IS NOT FAR FETCHED TO SEE AND DETERMINE THE POTENTIAL REALITY AND PROXY MECHINATIONS OF WHITE NATIONALIST BEHIND THE SCENES TARGETING AFRICAN AMERICANS BEHIND RACIAL HATRED AND MAKING USE OF THE ASIAN STUDENT(S) IN THEIR MEETING OF THE MINDS TO DESTROY OR GUT OUT THIS ESSENTIAL LEGISLATION CRUCIAL TO THE BENEFIT OF AFRICAN


AMERICANS AND OTHER MINORITIES WITHIN THIS NATION WHERE SUCH ACTION WOULD BE TANTAMOUNT TO "HATE CRIMES" AND MUST NOT BE PERMITTED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEFAULTED ON KEEPING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN ITS ORIGINAL FORM WITHIN THE STATE CASES RELIED UPON, ISLAMIC SOCIETY OF BASKINRIDGE v. TOWNSHIP OF BERNRICKY, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 7496661 (N.J.2016); UNITED STATES v. ROOF, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 8118564(DSC.2016). ALSO SEE BOOKS ENTITLED, "BEFORE THE MAYFLOWER, A HISTORY OF BLACK AMERICA", BY LERONE BENNETT; "SUNAH IBN-E-MAJAH" VOL. 5 ISBN NO. 81-7151-294-1 PAGES 391-395; "WORLD'S GREATEST MEN OF COLOR" VOL. 1 BY J.A. RODGERS ISBN NO. 978-0-684-81581-7; "THE KEBAR NAGAST" OR "GLORY OF THE KINGS" A CHRONICLE OF THE RULERS OF ETHIOPIA. THEREFORE, THE RELIEF SOUGHT BY THIS SUPPLEMENT TO THE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI SHOULD BE GRANTED.

RON SANTA McCRAY  
#353031 BLUFFTON RM. 135  
RIDGELAND C.I.  
P.O. BOX 2039  
RIDGELAND, S.C. 29936

*Ron Santa McCray*

DECEMBER 26, 2022

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA  
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE  
#300839 F5B. RM. 187  
LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.  
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010



IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; RON  
SANTA McCRAY

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF  
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,  
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

WE, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.  
TISHBITE, RON SANTA McCRAY ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE  
HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY ON THIS DATE OF DECEMBER 26,  
2022, AS REQUIRED BY SUPREME COURT RULE 29 WE HAVE SERVED THE  
ENCLOSED SUPPLEMENT BRIEF PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ON EACH  
PARTY TO THE ABOVE PROCEEDINGS OR THAT PARTY'S COUNSEL, BY  
DEPOSITING AN ENVELOPE CONTAINING THE ABOVE DOCUMENT(S) IN THE  
INSTITUTION MAILBOX PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO THEM, BY U.S. MAIL

POSTAGE PREPAID. THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 1 FIRST STREET N.E.,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543.

(2) THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 1100 EAST MAIN  
STREET SUITE 501 RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219.

(3) THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 21400 U.S.  
COURTHOUSE 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, P.A. 19106.

(4) THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS J.J.M. U.S.  
COURTHOUSE 1 COURTHOUSE WAY BOSTON, MA. 02210.

(5) THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
AT U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE 970 BROAD STREET 7TH. FL. NEWARK, N.J.  
07102.

(6) THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT CAMDEN DIVISION  
M.H.C. BUILDING U.S. COURTHOUSE 4TH. & COOPER STREET ROOM 1050  
CAMDEN, N.J. 08101.

(7) THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 835  
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29402.

(8) THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS GENERAL COUNSEL  
ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER  
ROAD, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221.

(9) THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA,  
S.C. 29211.

(10) THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND  
JUDGE NEWMAN RICHLAND COURTHOUSE 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C.  
29201.

(11) THE S.C. SUPREME COURT P.O. BOX 11330 COLUMBIA,  
S.C. 29211.

(12) ATTORNEY D. SETTANA AT THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303  
BLANDING STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 28201.


(13) THE LAW FIRM OF DuBOSE-ROBINSON 935 BROAD STREET  
CAMDEN, S.C. 29020.

(14) THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 11549  
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211.


WE DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS  
TRUE AND CORRECT.

EXECUTED ON DECEMBER 26, 2022

RESPECTFULLY,  
JONAH THE TISHBITE



RON SANTA McCRAY



CASE NO. 22-5805

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; RON  
SANTA McCRAY

PETITIONER(S)

**RECEIVED**

JAN 10 2023

SC Court of Appeals

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF  
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,  
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

WE, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.  
TISHBITE, RON SANTA McCRAY ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE  
HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY ON THIS DATE OF DECEMBER 26,  
2022, AS REQUIRED BY SUPREME COURT RULE 29 WE HAVE SERVED THE  
ENCLOSED MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE ON EACH PARTY TO THE ABOVE  
PROCEEDINGS OR THAT PARTY'S COUNSEL, BY DEPOSITING AN ENVELOPE  
CONTAINING THE ABOVE DOCUMENT(S) IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX

PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO THEM, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID. THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 1 FIRST STREET N.E., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543.

(2) THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 1100 EAST MAIN STREET SUITE 501 RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219.

(3) THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 21400 U.S. COURTHOUSE 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, P.A. 19106.

(4) THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS J.J.M. U.S. COURTHOUSE 1 COURTHOUSE WAY BOSTON, MA. 02210.

(5) THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY AT U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE 970 BROAD STREET 7TH. FL. ~~NEWARK, N.J.~~ 07102.

(6) THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT CAMDEN DIVISION M.H.C. BUILDING U.S. COURTHOUSE 4TH. & COOPER STREET ROOM 1050 CAMDEN, N.J. 08101.

(7) THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 835 CHARLESTON, S.C. 29402.

(8) THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS GENERAL COUNSEL ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221.

(9) THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211.

(10) THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND JUDGE NEWMAN RICHLAND COURTHOUSE 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.

(11) THE S.C. SUPREME COURT P.O. BOX 11330 COLUMBIA,  
S.C. 29211.

(12) ATTORNEY D. SETTANA AT THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303  
BLANDING STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 28201.

(13) THE LAW FIRM OF DuBOSE-ROBINSON 935 BROAD STREET  
CAMDEN, S.C. 29020.


(14) THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 11549  
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211.

WE DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS  
TRUE AND CORRECT.

EXECUTED ON DECEMBER 26, 2022

RESPECTFULLY,


JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ron Santa McCray', is written over the typed name below.

— RON SANTA McCRAY

A smaller, more legible handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ron Santa McCray', is written below the typed name.

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD  
# 300839 FSB RM 187  
LEE CT 990 WISACKY HWY.  
BISHOPVILLE, SC 29010



**RECEIVED**  
JAN 10 2023  
SC Court of Appeals

SC COURT of Appeals  
P.O. Box 11629  
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

