

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY

SC Court of Appeals

Master In Equity

The Honorable Dale E. Van Slambrook, Master In Equity

Appellate Case No.: 2022-001611

Steve Cumbee and Palmetto Kitchen & Remodeling, LLC.....Appellants,

v.

Bernard Milligan.....Respondent.

INITIAL REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANTS

Kindrea N. Wilson, Esquire
S.C. Bar No.: 105343
Wigger Law Firm, Inc.
8086 Rivers Avenue, Suite A
N. Charleston, SC 29406
(843) 553-9800
Attorney for Appellants

John B. Williams, Esquire
Williams and Hulst, LLC
209 E. Main Street
P.O. Box 1288
Moncks Corner, SC 29461
Attorney for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....1
Statement of the Issue on Appeal.....2
Argument.....2-3
Conclusion.....4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Noack Enterprises, Inc. v. Country Corner Interiors of Hilton Head Island, Inc.,
(S.C. App. 1986) 290 S.C. 475, 351 S.E.2d 347.....2

OTHER AUTHORITIES

S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-20.....2
S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-140(a).....2

STATEMENT OF ISSUE ON APPEAL

- I. WHETHER THE COURT ERRED IN AWARDING TREBLE DAMAGES AND ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS WHERE THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO SHOW THAT APPELLANTS' VIOLATION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT WAS WILLFUL OR KNOWING

ARGUMENT

The Appellants continue to rely on their Initial Brief and the arguments contained therein. In particular, Appellants would briefly reiterate that because there was no evidence presented at trial showing that Appellants willfully or knowingly violated the South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, the Court erred in granting treble damages and attorney's fees and costs.

- I. **WHETHER THE COURT ERRED IN AWARDING TREBLE DAMAGES AND ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS WHERE THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO SHOW THAT APPELLANTS' VIOLATION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT WAS WILLFUL OR KNOWING**

Throughout Respondent's Initial Brief, counsel continuously argues that Appellants provide no support that they have no liability under the Unfair Trade Practices Act, however, that is not the argument raised by the Appellants. Instead, Appellants argue that *the Respondent failed to present any evidence that would justify granting treble damages and attorney's fees and costs*. S.C. Code Section 39-5-140(a) states that "If the court finds that the use or employment of the unfair or deceptive method, act or practice *was a willful or knowing violation* of Section 39-5-20, the court shall award three times the actual damages sustained and may provide such other relief as it deems necessary or proper." In other words, the Court is not authorized to grant treble damages and attorney's fees and costs unless there is sufficient evidence to show that the purported SCUTPA violation was "*willful or knowing*."

The Respondent then asserts that Appellants' arguments are conclusory with no factual support. This argument is wholly without merit, as Appellants' brief is detailed, specific, and even includes testimony excerpts taken directly from the trial transcript. Further, a lack of evidence speaks for itself. There is no conceivable way for the Appellants to more closely identify "no evidence." That conclusion can only be reached by examination of the record in its entirety.

CONCLUSION

The Appellants respectfully submit that because there was no evidence showing that the Appellants willfully or knowingly violated the South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, the trial court erred in granting the Respondent treble damages and attorney's fees and costs. The order of the trial court should therefore be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,



Kindrea N. Wilson, Esquire
S.C. Bar No.: 105343
Wigger Law Firm, Inc.
8086 Rivers Avenue, Suite A
N. Charleston, SC 29406
(843) 553-9800
Attorney for Appellants

February 3rd, 2023.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY
Master In Equity

The Honorable Dale E. Van Slambrook, Master In Equity

Appellate Case No.: 2022-001611

Steve Cumbee and Palmento Kitchen & Remodeling, LLC.....Appellant,


v.

Bernard Milligan.....Respondent.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Appellant's Initial Reply Brief and Designation of Matter to be included in the Record on Appeal on Bernard Milligan, Respondent, by depositing a copy in the United States Mail, postage prepaid and via email, on February 3, 2023, addressed to Respondents' Attorney of record, John B. Williams, Esquire, Williams and Hulst, LLC, P.O. Box 1288, Moncks Corner, SC 29461.

February 3, 2023



Kindrea N. Wilson, Esquire,
S.C. Bar # 105343
Wigger Law Firm, Inc.
8086 Rivers Avenue, Suite A
N. Charleston, SC 29406
(843) 553-9800
Attorney for Appellant

Wigger Law Firm, Inc.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

8086 Rivers Avenue, Suite A
North Charleston, SC 29406

JARREL L. WIGGER*
EMILY HANEWICZ TONG

(843) 553-9800
(843) 203-1496 Fax

Summerville
(843) 851-9900

MATTHEW O. KING
KINDREA N. WILSON
JULIAN A. FERGUSON

West Ashley
(843) 203-1500

February 3, 2023

*Board Certified Civil Trial Specialist
By National Board of Trial Advocacy

VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL

Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk
South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211
ctappfilings@sccourts.org

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023


SC Court of Appeals

Re: Steve Cumbee and Palmetto Kitchen & Remodeling, LLC, Appellant v.
Bernard Milligan, Respondent.
Appellate Case No. 2022-001611

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find the original and one (1) copy of: Appellant's Initial Reply Brief, Designation of Matter (also previously submitted with Appellant's Initial Brief), Proof of Service and a self-addressed stamped envelope. Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to call.

Respectfully,



Kindrea N. Wilson

KNW

cc:

John B. Williams, Esquire
Williams and Hulst, LLC
209 E. Main Street
P.O. Box 1288
Moncks Corner, SC 29461
Attorney for Respondent

