

The State Of South Carolina
For State Supreme Court

David Smith,

Petitioner,

-against-

State Of South Carolina,

Respondent.

Honorable State Supreme Court:

Please Take Notice, that Petitioner is submitting this motion explain his reasons for appeal are as follows:

- 1) Petitioner contends that he is entitled to have this court remand for a hearing to address why the sentence is illegal.
- 2) In this case the lower court never held a hearing as stated in order. Since counsel Petition to be relieved, states that he has found no records of a hearing on his motion from 2014 and took no further action.
- 3) The same holds true for the August 3rd, 2022 and since counsel left Public Defenders Office in 2014, and for this reason could not have represented the Petitioner in any state Proceedings.
- 4) With no hearing, it is important to determine how court denied motion with no hearing and no counsel

RECEIVED

FEB 08 2023

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

SC SUPREME COURT

5) Remand is the only appropriate remedy. For violating Due Process and Confrontation rights.

Unconstitutional Sentence

6) Victim does not have or sustain life threatening injuries from incident. Thus sentence imposed fail to support injuries.

7) Victim injuries consisted of bruises and lacerations. which were treated same day and victim was released.

8) Based on S.C. Code Ann. Sec. 16-3-600(a) Serious / Moderate bodily injury. the facts and documents fail to support sentence imposed

9) Sentence of 20 years violent is excessive. when court should have imposed a non-violent sentence of 0-10 years non-violent.

10) SCDC has calculated sentence as day-for-day and only credited defendant with time for county jail.

11) Nothing in statutes language indicates a mandatory sentence

Wherefore, it is Prayed court Grant motion

Date: 1 day of February, 2023.

Respectfully Submitted:

sl David Smith

David Smith / Pro Se

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

SC SUPREME COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appellate Case No. 2022-001410

Honorable Roger M. Young, Sr.
Circuit Court Judge
Charleston County
Trial Court Case No. 2012GS1002533

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

SC SUPREME COURT

DAVID ISRAEL SMITH,

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT

PETITION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL

COMES NOW, Luke J. Malloy, Esq. ("Counsel"), who states the following in support of his petition to be relieved as counsel for David Israel Smith pursuant to Rule 264 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules:

1. In 2014 Counsel was appointed to represent the Appellant in Case No. 2012GS1002533 as a staff attorney with the Charleston County Public Defender's Office;
2. On July 10, 2014, the Appellant pled guilty in the above-referenced case, and was sentenced to 20 years by the Honorable Roger M. Young, Sr.;
3. On July 18, 2014, Counsel filed a motion to reconsider sentence on behalf of the Appellant. Counsel believes that he represented Appellant at a hearing on the motion to reconsider sometime in 2014, which the trial court orally denied. However, Counsel has reviewed the Charleston County Clerk of Court filings and cannot find a record of a hearing on Counsel's motion to reconsider. The Charleston County Public Defender's Office is retrieving Appellant's file from storage, and Counsel will supplement this motion if necessary after reviewing the file;
4. Counsel's representation of the Appellant concluded in 2014, and Counsel took no further action on his behalf;

5. Counsel left the employ of the Charleston County Public Defender's Office in September of 2017, and is currently practicing law in Virginia;

6. Appellant subsequently filed several *pro se* motions with the Charleston County Clerk of Court;

8. Apparently, in response to Appellant's *pro se* filings, the trial court issued a written order denying Appellant's motion to reconsider on August 3, 2022. Counsel did not receive notice of entry of this Order;


9. Unbeknownst to Counsel, Appellant filed this appeal of the trial court's order denying his motion to reconsider on October 4, 2022;

10. Justifiable cause exists for the withdrawal of Counsel, as Counsel's representation of Appellant concluded in 2014, and Counsel is no longer employed with the Charleston County Public Defender's Office;

11. Appellant has been functioning *pro se* since 2014, and has diligently attempted to preserve his appellate rights, therefore his appeal should be reinstated and he should be allowed to proceed *pro se* or have Appellate Defense appointed to represent him. In the alternative, Counsel has advised Appellant that he has a right to file an application for post-conviction relief within one year of the dismissal of his appeal, and may seek a belated appeal of the trial court's denial of the motion to reconsider sentence. See White v. State, 262 S.C. 110, 208 S.E.2d 35 (1974).

Therefore, Counsel requests that the Court relieve him as counsel for Appellant pursuant to South Carolina Appellate Court Rule 264 (b).

Respectfully submitted,



Luke J. Malloy, Esq.

This 29th day of November 2022.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Luke J. Malloy, Esq., certify that on this 29th day of November, 2022 a copy of this Petition was served on the persons listed below by regular US mail:

David Israel Smith, 00360603
Lee Correctional Institution
990 Wisacky Highway
Bishopville SC 29010

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023


SC SUPREME COURT

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esq.
S.C. Attorney General's Office
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211-1549

Jessica Ashley Baldwin, Esq.
Ninth Circuit Solicitor's Office
O.T. Wallace Building
101 Meeting Street
Charleston, SC 29401

Robert Michael Dudek, Esq.
South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, SC 29201

Cameron Blazer
Charleston County Public Defender's Office
101 Meeting Street, 5th Floor
Charleston, SC 29401-2214



Luke J. Malloy, Esq.

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

SC SUPREME COURT

DAVID Smith 360603 F4B-2220

LEE County Corrections

990 Wsacky Hwy.

Bishopville SC 29010

RECEIVED COLUMBIA SC 290

FEB 08 2023 FEB 2023 PM 2 L

SC Court of Appeals



RECEIVED

FEB 06 2023

SC SUPREME COURT

STATE SUPREME COURT

1231 Gervais St.

Columbia SC 29201

SCDC
Christmas
Packet

29201-323699

