

3/15/23

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2023

SC Court of Appeals

ATTN: DEPUTY CLERK

THE REASON AS TO WHY I AM DIRECTING MY LETTERS FOR MRS WANDA H. CARTER TO THE CLERKS OFFICE IS DUE TO THE LACK OF CORRESPONDANCE FROM COUNSEL. I UNDERSTAND THAT IF I HAVE APPOINTED COUNSEL I CAN NOT FILE PRO SE MOTIONS. BUT DUE TO THE FACT THAT COUNSEL HAS NOT DONE AS IVE ASKED IM PUTTING IT ON THE RECORD SO THE COURT KNOWS IVE REQUESTED THINGS TO BE DONE WHICH HAVE BEEN DENIED. THANKS IN ADVANCE.

RESPECTFULLY,

Janet

RECEIVED

3/15/23

ATTN: WANDA H. CARTER,

MAR 20 2023

SC Court of Appeals

I HAVE INFORMED YOU OF THE ADDITIONAL CLAIMS I WISH TO BE RAISED WHILE I'M ON MY DIRECT APPEAL. YOU HAVE ADDRESSED THE CLAIM THAT I WAS NOT COMPETENT, BUT I ALSO BELIEVE THAT THE ISSUE OF FORCED ANTIPSYCHOTIC INJECTIONS SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED, ALONG WITH THE FACT THAT I WAS FORCED TO WEAR A STUN BELT AT MY TRIAL.

THE REASON THAT I DIRECT MY LETTERS TO THE CLERK OF COURT IS SO THAT THEY ARE FILED ON THE RECORD AND ALSO BECAUSE YOU HAVE FAILED TO RESPOND TO MY RECENT LETTERS TO YOU. YOU HAVE ALSO FAILED TO SET UP AN ATTORNEY CALL SO THEREFORE I AM NOT AWARE OF YOUR DILIGENCE OR PROMPTNESS IN REPRESENTING ME.

I ASK THAT THE ADDITIONAL CLAIMS BE RAISED NOW ON DIRECT APPEAL SO THAT I DO NOT HAVE TO ARGUE WITH THIS CORRUPT SYSTEM ABOUT WHY THE CLAIMS WERE NOT MENTIONED IF I HAVE TO FILE A PCR.

PURSUANT TO RULE 1.1-1.4 OF RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT I FEEL THAT YOU HAVE NOT ABIDED BY MY DECISIONS, BUT INSTEAD HAVE DONE AS YOU FEEL NECESSARY. FOR YOU TO ONLY ARGUE ONE ISSUE PROVES THAT YOU HAVE ONLY DONE ENOUGH TO MAKE IT APPEAR THAT YOU'RE DOING YOUR JOB. YES, THE COMPETENCY ISSUE IS IMPORTANT, BUT THE ISSUE OF BEING FORCED ANTIPSYCHOTIC INJECTIONS BEFORE EVEN HAVING BEEN MANDATED AND BEING HELD IN A RESTRAINT CHAIR FOR 72 HOURS OR MORE IS AN ISSUE THAT SHOULD'VE BEEN MENTIONED. ALSO THE ISSUE OF BEING MADE TO WEAR A STUN BELT. [MILLS V. ROGERS], [SELL V. U.S.], [PEOPLE V. HALL]

IT APPEARS TO ME THAT COUNSEL APPOINTED BY THE STATE HAS NO INTENTIONS OF HELPING THE DEFENDANT, BUT RATHER ASSISTING THE STATE IN ITS INTREST.

WHERE RIGHTS SECURED BY THE CONSTITUTION ARE INVOLVED, THERE CAN BE NO RULE MAKING OR LEGISLATION WHICH WOULD ABROGATE THEM.
[MIRANDA V. ARIZONA]

CLEARLY THE GOVERNMENT CANNOT BE IN CHARGE OF DECIDING FOR THEMSELVES WHETHER OR NOT THEY SHOULD INDICT THEMSELVES ON CRIMINAL CHARGES OR NOT. THIS IS PRECISELY WHY WE HAVE SO MUCH CORRUPTION IN OUR GOVERNMENT AS IT IS. THEREFORE, I WILL CONTINUE TO FEEL THE WAY THAT I FEEL DUE TO REALIZING WHAT IS REALLY HAPPENING WITH IN THIS SO CALLED JUSTICE SYSTEM. THE CLERK CAN FEEL HOWEVER SHE WISHES, BUT I WILL CONTINUE TO SEND LETTERS DIRECTLY TO THE CLERKS OFFICE SO THERE CAN BE NO DISPUTES ABOUT MY ADDITIONAL CLAIMS OR THE FACT THAT COUNSEL HAS BEEN INEFFECTIVE THIS FAR. THIS IS MY LIFE! YOU OR NONE OF THOSE PEOPLE IN YOUR OFFICE ARE IN MY POSITION, BUT UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU DO NOT DO YOUR JOB I WILL FILE A TORT CLAIM AGAINST YOU. MY BELIEF IS THAT THIS WHOLE U.S.A. GOVERNMENT IS CORRUPT AND IF YOU WORK FOR THEM, THEN YOU TOO ARE A PART OF IT.

RESPECTFULLY,


DAVID LITTLE # 385407
386 REDEMPTION WAY
MCCORMICK, SC 29899

AUGUSTA GA 309

16 MAR 2023 PM 1 T



RECEIVED

MAR 16 2023

MCCI
MAILROOM

2921131629

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2023

DEPUTY CLERK SC Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

