

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Eric L. Spann, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000114

---

## ORDER

---

Petitioner filed a notice of appeal from the denial of his sixth application for post-conviction relief (PCR). Petitioner was asked to provide the explanation required by Rule 243(c), SCACR, and, in light of the number of PCR applications Petitioner has filed, he was also asked to provide any reasons why this Court should not impose restrictions on his filing of collateral actions challenging his 1997 guilty pleas to murder and assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature (ABHAN) and their respective sentences.

Petitioner has failed to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting the dismissal of his sixth PCR application was improper. Accordingly, we dismiss the notice of appeal pursuant to Rule 243(c), SCACR.

In addition, because Petitioner has not provided adequate reasons as to why this Court should not impose restrictions on his future filings, we hereby prohibit Petitioner from filing any further collateral actions in the circuit court, including PCR actions and habeas corpus actions, as well as any motions relating to the previously filed collateral actions, challenging his 1997 guilty pleas and sentences for murder and ABHAN, or any motions in the underlying criminal case, including a motion pursuant to Rule 29, SCRCrimP, without first obtaining permission to do so from this Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

W. Beatty C.J.  
John Pitts J.  
John Carmon J.  
George Gony J.  
D. Hanlin J.

Columbia, South Carolina  
March 28, 2023

cc:  
Paul Andrew Anderson, Esquire  
Megan Harrigan Jameson, Esquire