

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association,  
Respondent,

v.

Brian Adrian Tucker, Jessica C. Tucker, and Half Mile  
Lake Homeowner's Association, Inc., Defendants,

Of whom Brian Adrian Tucker and Jessica C. Tucker are  
the Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2012-212447

---

Appeal From Greenville County  
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master-in-Equity

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2013-UP-292  
Heard May 16, 2013 – Filed June 26, 2013

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Andrew Sims Radeker, of Harrison & Radeker, P.A., of  
Columbia, and David Lloyd Thomas, of Moore, Taylor,  
& Thomas, PA, of Greenville, for Appellants.

James Derrick Jackson and Tobias Gavin Ward, Jr., of  
Tobias G. Ward, Jr., PA, Ronald C. Scott, Erica Greer  
Lybrand, and Priti Marolia Patel, of Scott Law Firm, PA,

and Hamilton Osborne, Jr., of Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd,  
PA, all of Columbia, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** In this mortgage foreclosure action, Brian Adrian Tucker and Jessica C. Tucker appeal the trial court's order denying their motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b), SCRCP, and ejecting them from the property. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities:

1. Relief from judgment: *Sundown Operating Co. v. Intedger Indus., Inc.*, 383 S.C. 601, 606, 681 S.E.2d 885, 888 (2009) (noting "[t]he decision whether to set aside an entry of default or a default judgment lies solely within the sound discretion of the trial judge" and "[t]he trial court's decision will not be disturbed on appeal absent a clear showing of an abuse of that discretion"); *In re Estate of Weeks*, 329 S.C. 251, 259, 495 S.E.2d 454, 459 (Ct. App. 1997) (stating an abuse of discretion occurs when the judgment is controlled by some error of law or when the order is without evidentiary support); *Regions Bank v. Strawn*, 399 S.C. 530, 537, 732 S.E.2d 230, 234 (Ct. App. 2012) ("Questions regarding credibility and the weight of the evidence are exclusively for the trial court.").

2. Foreclosure intervention: Rule 210(h), SCACR ("Except as provided by Rule 212 and Rule 208(b)(1)(C) and (2), the appellate court will not consider any fact which does not appear in the Record on Appeal."); *Harkins v. Greenville Cnty.*, 340 S.C. 606, 616, 533 S.E.2d 886, 891 (2000) (stating the appellant has the burden of providing an adequate record on appeal).

3. Ejectment: *Ex parte Doe*, 393 S.C. 147, 151, 711 S.E.2d 892, 894 (2011) ("A moot case exists where a judgment rendered by the court will have no practical legal effect upon an existing controversy because an intervening event renders any grant of effectual relief impossible for the reviewing court.").

**AFFIRMED.**

**HUFF and KONDUROS, JJ., and CURETON, A.J., concur.**