

(plus proof of
service)

Page 1/7

①

Saria Walker
VS
SCDSS

Motion to Reinstate

1900 Boiling Rd Ext 4/12/23
Taylors SC 29687

Apt 26F

Saria Walker

As stated before the court has not allowed the appropriate time to receive a response from me via mail (it takes 4 days to receive a response via mail) they do not allow enough days to pass before sending the remitter i just received mail on 4/11/23 dated for 4/7/23 with the ORDER mentioned **Rule 242(a)(c) - Certiorari to the Court of Appeals** do NOT apply in this case due to my cover sheet page 1 / 57 clearly states APPEAL and Saria Walker v.s. SCDSS I just wanted to add some of my points i made in my original writ of Certiorari in which i sent to the WRONG courthouse (I just didnt want to make any more scribbles on the papers i don't have any white out which is why it was still in the same format on some pages) and this was and APPEAL NOT a writ of Certiorari i took out the index and other pages to insure it was not in order as a certiorari would be in these pages was added to support my APPEAL ; This is an APPEAL for the remitter that was sent 2/7/23 ; this cases is a clear example of deprivation of my rights any judge that cannot see that is in error of the law and intentionally overlooking the injustices done according to the constitution that ensures every citizen is entitled to due process which i was deprived of on two occasions intentionally on June 10,2020 at 11am and 8/ 10/22 - 8/22/22 this was able to happen a second time to me due to the courts/ judges not holding the defendants accountable the first time in 2020 and conspiring with them to cover up the injustice done to me and retaliating against 8/8/22 - 8/22/22 me instead of giving me justice on Sept 23,2022 my Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights have been violated i was held against my will and called racial slurs and drugged and threatened no faciliaty is premitted under no state law to legally do any of the things that was done to me in this faciality for 33 days and the SCDSS helped in conspiring against me making me sign my child over without a hearing or notifying me of the hearing or allowing me to meet or know about my attorney

This was illegal and its clear that it was illegal and unconstitutional this cases should have never been DISMISSED under no circumstances this is Judicial misconduct and Judicial Bias i deserve justice and NO citizen should have to endure what i have endured this is obscene and i will see that all that is involved with covering up this injustice done to me will lawfully be held accountable for breaking oaths and bonds and not upholding the constitution which does NOT support the way i have been treated June 10 2020 and 8/8/22 and committing judicial Bias and Judicial Misconduct this is clear violation of my rights any judge that don't see that is in opposition of the constitution and is in error of the law upholding his / her personal views over the law which would make him/her a trespasser of the law ; I have the Right to a fair unbiased trial which i didn't receive due to the judge being aware of a pending lawsuit against the Greenville County Clerk and refused to rescue/ disqualify herself after i asked her to due to a conflict of interest and she ignored the law and Case Law also states that when a judge acts as a trespasser of the law , when a judge does not follow the law , he then loses subject matter jurisdiction and the judges orders are void of no legal force or affect ; This is Judicial Courrption and intentionally being done to me because its being overlooked as " NOTHING " and attempted to be dismissed by all judges desk it run across when its CLEAR that this is a violation of my Constutional Rights and this cases should have NEVER been dismissed and this an intentional thing being done to me because it occurred again on 8/8/22-8/22/22 The Order states the "writ of Certiorari " has been dismissed when it was an APPEAL and the Rules 242(a)(c) are in NO effect because this is and APPEAL not a " Writ of Certiorari

Appeal / Sana waitev
U.S.

SW ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

1 (3)

SCDSS

Page 1/57

I Filed a Appeal at the wrong Supreme Court I Filed it at Supreme Court of the United States instead of Supreme Court of South Carolina I sent the Appeal within (30)days just to the wrong Courthouse And didn't receive the letter until March 17th which is dated March 10, 2023 I have been in communication with the Supreme Court of the United States office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001 since Feb 18 2023 regarding my Appeal for the remittur sent 2/2/23 The parties was served Notice of this Appeal

3/18/23

x Sana Waitev

SWB

4

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

March 10, 2023

Saria Walker
1900 Boiling Rd. Ext., Apt. 26F
Taylors, SC 29687

RE: Walker v. SC Dept. of Social Serv.; Walker v. Castriotta, et al.
SCCA Nos. 2022-001607 & 2022-001575


Dear Ms. Walker:

The above-entitled petition for a writ of certiorari was originally postmarked February 18, 2023 and received again on March 10, 2023. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

Your case(s) must first be reviewed by the highest state court in which a decision could be had. 28 USC 1257. Unless the Supreme Court of South Carolina has denied discretionary review (in which case, that order must be appended), this Court lacks jurisdiction to review of the dismissal(s) by the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk

By:


Jacob Levitan
(202) 479-3392

Enclosures

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Greenville
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2022CP2302716

Saria Walker
PLAINTIFF(S)

Social Services South Carolina Department Of
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter comes before the Court on September 23, 2022, by way of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. After careful consideration of all relevant filings, arguments of the Plaintiff and Defendant's counsel, along with the facts set forth in the Summons and Complaint taken in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff, this Court hereby grants Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/03/2022.

Saria Walker for Saria Walker
Saria Walker for Saria Walker

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

~~SW~~ SW

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2022 Oct 03 2 23 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2022CP2302716

Appendix B



SW
6

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

February 02, 2023

The Honorable Paul B. Wickensimer
Courthouse
305 E North St
Greenville SC 29601-2121

REMITTITUR

Re: Saria Walker v. SCDSS
Lower Court Case No. 2022CP2302716
Appellate Case No. 2022-001607

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

Handwritten signature of V. Claire Allen in cursive.

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Saria Walker
Kevin William Sturm, Esquire

SW

SCDSS

SW
7 SW

- If i was mentally incompetent then my penmanship would've been invalid when it came to signing my child over because i couldn't legally do that due to them saying i was mentally incompetent if my penmanship was legal then i would have been able to sign myself out of the mental hospital , meet my lawyer , have notice of and attend my own trial
- I met DSS caseworkers to sign child over but wasn't able to meet lawyer so i could have a voice (I NEVER stated to SUSAN DURHAM was trying to kill myself i wasnt in there for trying to kill myself or suicidal ideations i was only there for sleep deprivation just like in 2014 where i was hospitalized for 4 days and made a decent recovery after sleeping 4 days
 - I only seen medical examiner via screen and my mother , Rn's and the police that transported me 5/31/20 and i went to sleep when i got to my room at the hospital due to the medications given to me at the Saint Francis Eastside I NEVER seen SUSAN DURHAM she just added her statement to my records recently stating that she mad this statement 5/31/20 which is a LIE because i just pulled ALL my records and DID NOT never see a statement of anyone from DSS making these statements regarding my mental health at all
 - Waived my right to due process removed my child from my custody without me having knowledge of the hearing held in my absence and they didn't know the fact that i had a verbal agreement with my grandmother before i went in for treatment due to them NOT allowing me to talk to my lawyer and having some to properly advocate on my behalf to state my wishes and concerns

SW