

MOTION TO REINSTATE.

Vanessa S. Richardson El  
Appellant

vs.



DITECH FINANCIAL, LLC  
Respondent

From the Charleston County Court of Common Pleas.

Case: 2022-000660

Date: February 1<sup>st</sup>, 2023 ccy

**RECEIVED**

**Feb 09 2023**

**SC Court of Appeals**

To the Appeals Court of the State of South Carolina:

The petitioner, complainant appellant, beneficiary, equitable title holder, grantee, grantor/settlor and real party in interest in this cause, respectfully motion this court to reinstate whereby it was adjudged that my cousin, next friend and consul Bakha Yawuti El whom I granted Durable Power of Attorney to could not file anything in this cause because he is not an Attorney licensed to practice law in the STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, said order defying good reason and good conscience causing a destruction of equitable asset rights.

This decision is based on the erroneous presumption that I the petitioner and my cousin Bakha Yawuti El are U.S. persons residing in a federal district subject to the Emergency War Powers Act of 1933 as enemies, belligerents, and rebels attempting to practice law in the State of South Carolina. In making this decision, the Court manifestly overlooked the fact that the petitioner is legally disabled and that these are trust relations governing this matter as well as the relationship between the petitioner and my cousin/consul Bakha Yawuti El whom I granted beneficial interest to by way of a personal pure equitable by nature private conveyance which makes him a real party in interest as an equitable title holder; and that we are private American

nationals privately domiciling within non-military occupied private estates not subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, being beneficiaries of treaty trust relations that have never engaged in any hostilities with any Christian nation, our status being affirmed and protected under copyright registration numbers: ©AA77869 ©AA209316 and ©AA222141 service request #'s: 1-11612867887 (MPEA) and 1-10207115576 (MIGO) respectively.

**Being that** 'generally when there is any conflict or variance between the rules of equity and the rules of the common law with reference to the same matter, the rules of equity shall prevail', and whereas 'No One Should be Condemned without a Chance to be Heard' is a fundamental maxim of Equity jurisdiction that has always been sacredly observed, I make this motion to reinstate on this particular point of exclusive equity and equitable principles; and that said order be so corrected as to fulfill my intent and purpose to have the matter settled and the wrong of my land and home trust res being inequitably taken remedied; and for general relief to have this court show cause why my rights as a private American national should be commingled in the public with U.S. citizen enemies, belligerents and rebels or so be it resolved the matter should proceed ex parte and sealed. I require Article 3 judges authorized to adjudicate courts of equity under Article 3 Section 2 Clause 1 of the Constitution for the united states of America. The rights in the private being irreparably damaged by public proceedings.

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ABOVE MOTION.**

**Petitioner cites and relies on the following authorities:**

20 Maxims of Equity.

Gibson's Suits In Chancery 1907, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., §24-§64.

Ecc. 2:21.

Proverbs 1:3.

Proverbs 2:9.

Pomeroy's Treatise on Equitable Remedies, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., Vol. 1, §354.

Bouvier's Institutes of American Law 1870, §3726, pg. 459.


Bouvier's Institutes of American Law 1851, Vol. I, 8.

Bouvier's Institutes of American Law 1882, Vol. II, §3724, Par 4.

Judicature Act 1873/1875.

Fairey v. Gardner, 233 S.C. 297 (1958).

Respectfully submitted,



Autograph without prejudice & recourse  
Vanessa Richardson El, beneficiary,  
real party in interest, grantee, grantor/  
settlor, true owner

Non-Domestic Mail in care of:


PO Box 22591

Charleston, South Carolina Republic \*(zip exempt)\*

North Amexem (America) A.R.R.

chakoramoores@gmail.com

TABULAR ANALYSIS\*  
OF  
EQUITY JURISDICTION.



\* This Table refers to the original series of Lectures only.

BY PRIVATE APPOINTMENT OF CLERK OF THE OFFICE OF  
THE REGISTER OF CHANCERY

PRIVATE AMERICAN NATIONAL OUTSIDE A "FEDERAL  
DISTRICT" WITHIN A NON-MILITARY OCCUPIED PRIVATE  
ESTATE NOT SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION OF "UNITED  
STATES"

SPECIAL EQUITABLE CAUSE

URGENT

**RECEIVED**

Feb 09 2023

SC Court of Appeals

EXTRAORDINARY, SPECIAL and PRIVATE, RESTRICTED  
and CONFIDENTIAL PROPRIETARY and PRIVILEGED

EXTRA & SPECIAL TERM

EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES, TIME SENSITIVE INJUNCTORY  
RELIEF

EXCLUSIVE EQUITY JURISDICTION IN ARTICLE III, §2,  
SUBDIVISION 1, OF THE CONSTITUTION FOR THE UNITED  
STATES OF AMERICA, AS AMENDED A.D. 1791.

EXCLUDING THE PUBLIC AND PRESS, NOT FOR  
PUBLICATION

CASE: 2022-000660

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF  
MOTION TO REINSTATE**

**RECEIVED**

**Feb 09 2023**

**SC Court of Appeals**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of  
Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Bentley Price, Circuit Judge

---

Case No. 2022-000660

---

Vanessa Richardson El,

Appellant,

v.

DITECH FINANCIAL, LLC,

Respondent.

---


**PROOF OF SERVICE**

---

I certify that I have served copy of the Motion To Reinstate on Defendants by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2023 ccy, addressed to the attorney of record, Chad Wilson Burgess Esq. of BROCK AND SCOTT PLLC, Fernandina Rd. Suite #110, Columbia, South Carolina 29210.

February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Defendants Counsel of Record:  
Chad Wilson Burgess, Esq.  
BROCK & SCOTT PLLC  
3800 Fernandina Rd., Suite 110  
Columbia, SC 29210

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Vanessa Richardson El  
Non-Domestic Mail in care of:  
PO Box 22591  
Charleston, South Carolina Republic \*(zip exempt)\*  
North Amexem (America) A.R.R.  
chakoramooors@gmail.com