

RECEIVED

APR 17 2023

S.C. SUPREME COURT

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
RALPH KING ANDERSON, III

DOCKET NO. 22-ALJ-04-0288-AP, STEMMING FROM RESPONDENTS ADVERSE
COMMITMENT ORDERS NO. 2000-GS-33-004, ACTIONS,

JOHN BACCUS, #187393,

APPELLANTS,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, "SCDC" LEGAL LEADERS
TEAM OF PRISON CUSTODIANS AND OTHER COMPLICITORS, BRYAN P. STIRLING
JOEL ANDERSON, SHANE JACKSON, TISDALE, DEBRA EASTRIDGE, J. MCZEKE,
ET, AL, RESPONDENTS.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

IN THIS CASE, DOCKET NO. 22-ALJ-04-0288-AP, "DISMISSALS", THE PARTI-
CULARS IS A SERIES OF "DISFRANCHISEMENTS OF BLACKS APPELLANTS,
(SEE 57 SCLR 859), STEMMING FROM RESPONDENTS ADVERSE COMMITMENT
ORDERS NO. 2000-GS-33-004 ACTIONS, WHICH JOHN BACCUS, APPELLANTS
APPEALS HIS STATE AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY RIGHTS
HISTORY OF KILLINGS, CHEATINGS, LEGISLATINGS AND LYING VIOLATIONS
BY THE RESPONDENTS MIND SET USAGES OF THEIR SOUTH CAROLINA BAR,
AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, "ABA", SCDC PRISONS LICENSEES, AND OTHER
STAKEHOLDERS CUSTODIANS, JUDICIAL INFLUENCES, BLACK CODES POWERS, OR
"PAWNS FOR WHITE SUPREMACY", AIMED AT EITHER WASTING, ABUSING,
PUPPETEERING OR "WEAPONIZING THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS'
PROCESSES AND RESOURCES", TO DETER, BAR, BLOCK OR PREVENT THE
FALSELY AND WRONGFULLY ACCUSED ~~CONVICTED~~ BLACKS, JOHN BACCUS FAMILY
ATTORNEYS, JUDGES, OTHER ACCUSERS/PRISONERS, TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC
SUPPORTERS, (COLLECTIVELY, "APPELLANTS"), EXERCISES OF THEIR FIRST
TO FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES FREE SPEECH
PROTECTED ACTIVITIES DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OF NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY
TO BE HEARD...FROM EITHER REFUTING WITH OR PRESENTING WITH STRONG,
UNDISPUTED, CLEAR AND CONVINCING FACTS IN ALIBI, EXCULPATORY, OR
FAVORABLE SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS VIDEOTAPE, COURT RECORDS, SCDC FORMS,
INVOICES, ETC., REGARDING THE MERITS OF THE CASES.

THE "DISMISSALS" OR "PARTICULARS IS A SERIES OF DISFRANCHISEMENTS
RETALIATIONS AGAINST APPELLANTS FIRST TO FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF
THE UNITED STATES FREE SPEECH PROTECTED ACTIVITIES DUE PROCESS
RIGHTS...WAS IMPOSED BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, RALPH
KING ANDERSON, III, ON MARCH 14, 2023. THIS APPEAL WAS TAKEN FROM THE
ORDER OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, RALPH KING ANDERSON,
III, DATED MARCH 14, 2023, WHICH DENIED APPELLANTS TWENTY, (20) PAGE
FACTS IN EVIDENCE SUPPORTED MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
("TRO"), INJUNCTION, IMMEDIATE SUSPENSION, ALC SANCTIONS, AGAINST THE
RESPONDENTS HISTORY OF "WEAPONIZING THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS'
PROCESSES AND RESOURCES" OR JUST WASTING, AND ABUSING IT, IN THE
RETALIATIONS FOR APPELLANTS EXERCISES OF HIS FIRST TO FOURTEENTH
AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES, FREE SPEECH PROTECTED ACTIVITIES
DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OF NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD, WHICH
WERE DENIED WHEN APPELLANTS WERE NOT ALLOWED TO REFUTE OR TO
PRESENT FACTS IN EVIDENCE, CROSS-EXAMINE WITNESSES, FINDING OF
GUILT BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, REGARDING THE MERITS OF THE

COMMITMENT ORDERS NO. 2000-GS-33-004, AND DOCKET NO. 22-ALJ-04-0288-AP, STEMMING THEREFROM. APPELLANTS RECEIVED WRITTEN NOTICE OF ENTRY OF THIS ORDER ON MARCH 17, 2023, SEE ATTACHMENTS.

MARCH 27, 2023

Mr. John Baccus

JOHN BACCUS
990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

OTHER COUNSEL OF RECORD:
BRIAN P. STIRLING, SCDC ATTORNEY, DIRECTOR
4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD, P.O. BOX 21787
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221-1787

PS: APPELLANT IS A BLACK MALE. APPELLANT WAS, [IS], BEING TREATED DIFFERENTLY THAN OTHER ACCUSED PRISONERS BECAUSE THOSE ACCUSED PRISONERS WERE NOT DENIED THEIR REQUESTED DISCOVERY MATTERS. AFTER ENGAGING IN OR EXERCISING THE CRUEL FIRST THRU FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE U.S. FREE SPEECH PROTECTED ACTIVITIES DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, IN CASES STEMMING FROM COMMITMENT ORDERS NO. 2000-GS-33-004, ACTIONS, SUCH AS, DOCKET NO. 22-ALJ-04-0288-AP. SEE 2003 WL 401105, "RACE CONTINUES TO BE SALIENT BECAUSE RACIAL DIFFERENCES SHAPE OUR EXPERIENCES AND PERSPECTIVES".

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA LEGAL LEADERS RESPONDENTS OR THEIR SUPERVISORS ARE BEATING UP... PAYING OFF THEIR EMPLOYEES AND OTHERS WITH OVERSIGHT OVER THEM, NOT TO CORRECT THEIR ERRORS IN JOHN BACCUS APPELLANTS' CASES. SEE COMMITMENT ORDERS NO. 2000-GS-33-004, PRELIMINARY HEARING PAGES 12-13; TR. P. 5, L. 22-P. 551, REFERENCING STATE EXHIBIT 13-J, APPELLANTS ALIBI, EXCULPATORY SURVEILLANCE VIDEOTAPE BEING HELD BACK OR KEPT SECRET BY THE RESONDENTS' SUPERVISORS AND ATTORNEYS, WHO REPRESENTED THE APPELLANT IN HIS ORAL ARGUMENT, BACCUS V. STATE, 367 S.C. 41 (2006), THAT ROBERT DUDEK REFUSED TO RAISE THE STATE PROSECUTION HOLDING BACK ALIBI, EXCULPATORY VIDEOTAPE THAT FREED THE APPELLANT, JOHN BACCUS. IN EXCHANGE ROBERT DUDEK WAS AWARDED HEAD OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA APPELLATE DEFENSE, FOR THROWING APPELLANTS, JOHN BACCUS, S' CASES, BY "WEAPONIZING THE S.C. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS' PROCESSES AND RESOURCES OR JUST WASTING, ABUSING, PUPPETEERING IT, AS A PAWN FOR WHITE SUPREMACY.