

REC. 3/9/23 AT 3PM From C/O A. Bonds

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
Division of Inmate Services

IMS no fee  
**RECEIVED**

APR 17 2023

AGREEMENT TO DEBIT E.H. COOPER ACCOUNT  
S.C. SUPREME COURT

Inmate's Name: <b>BACUS John</b>	SCDC #: <b>192793</b>	Housing Unit: <b>R-2A-1109</b>	Date: <b>3/15/23</b>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------

**GENERAL MATERIAL**

\*\* Inmate must have the funds in his/her account to pay for the materials.

To be completed by  
SCDC staff:

Item	Amount
Envelope	
Pen	
Paper	
Postage	
Tape	
Box	
Electronic Repair	
Other	

Cost

PLEASE TAKE SEAL, DATE STAMP THIS ENVELOPE AND RETURN COPY OF THIS SEAL FROM 10-14 BACK TO ME FOR MY FILE

**LEGAL MATERIAL**

\* Inmate is not required to have the funds in his/her account to pay for the materials; however, his/her account must be debited for all materials s/he elects to receive.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE CONTAINS ONE (1) PHOTO COPY

ENVELOPE

To be completed by  
SCDC staff:

Item	Amount
Envelope	
Pen	
Paper	
Postage	
Other	

Cost

Sub-Total:

**PHOTOCOPIES**

\*\* Inmate may be required to have funds in his/her account. See SCDC Procedure GA-01.03(OP), "Inmate Access to the Courts," to determine if inmate may receive copies with/without funds.

To be completed by  
SCDC staff:

Item	Amount
Photocopies	

Cost

TO: The Hon. Ret. R. Anderson, Jr. S.C. App. Law Court, P.O. Box 1205, Columbia, SC 29201. RE: Inmate # 19-114-09-0288

John Bacus (200 pages) (contains 5 A's)

Inmate's Signature: John Bacus

Mailroom/Canteen Signature (Request filled by)

Date

White - Inmate  
Canary - Mailroom/Canteen Employee

19 OF 20

**2ND CHANCE INITIATIVE, INC.**

August 24, 2010

To: Attorney at Law  
RE: John R. Baccus  
C.I. #187393

Dear Sir:

I am a retired attorney, who directs a recovery program in 60 prisons in 4 States, including Leber C.I. and McCormick C.I. in SC.


John R. Baccus attends my recovery program and is an excellent, sincere student. He has suffered many injustices from the criminal justice system in SC. There are still outstanding constitutional issues in his case, Florence County 00-GS-33-004, which show failure to disclose exculpatory evidence on the part of the State and illegal acts by the police. This case should add luster to your criminal case file, with issues you should easily win. But, an appeal to upper courts is needed, and I am retired and no longer practice.

It is my hope that you will consider taking this case Pro Bono, with a view to right the injustices by the State against this man.

I would appreciate your affirmative response to this request. The case has merit and would enhance the impressive results your office has achieved.

Thank you for your cooperation.


Sincerely,



Joan B. Duncan, J.D., Law Review,  
Director 2<sup>nd</sup> Chance Initiative  
Director Celebrate Recovery Programs  
Ret. Dist. Atty. & Admin. Law Judge

[jbdatty@yahoo.com](mailto:jbdatty@yahoo.com) P.O. BOX 353, Mt Pleasant, SC 29465  
[www.2ndChanceRecovery.org](http://www.2ndChanceRecovery.org)  
[www.CRINewsletter.com](http://www.CRINewsletter.com) 843-858-0703

LOWER CIRCUIT TELEVISION SECURITY  
SYSTEM VIDEO MONITOR  
[C.C.T.S.S.V.] "TAPE"  
STATES EXHIBIT 13-J



~~SLED EXHIBIT~~

STATES EXHIBIT 13-J

EXHIBITS #

17

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. )  
 )  
COUNTY OF MARION. )

IN THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT

The State, )

vs. )

PRELIMINARY HEARING

John Roosevelt Baccus, )

Defendant. )

A Preliminary Hearing was held February 16, 2000, in the above captioned matter, before the Honorable Lunette Cox, Magistrate. Appearing at the Hearing were:

FOR THE STATE: VON DEAN TURBEVILLE

FOR THE DEFENDANT: WILLIAM S. DERRICK

PAGE TWELVE  
PRELIMINARY HEARING  
THE STATE VS. JOHN ROOSEVELT BACCUS

- A. They were all three working together. I don't believe there were any one of the three were in charge.
- Q. Have any reports from SLED been turned over to you or anything?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. When you arrived at the house the first time before the search warrant and the defendant was there, did y'all just knock and ask, and wait and get him to the door? How did you get into the house?
- A. He opened the door for Florence County Investigator Barry Prosser.
- Q. O.K. Bear with me just a moment please. Was it noted that the house had surveillance by tape being made of the premises when people came on the premises?
- A. What you mean by that?
- Q. Was the residence, have taping equipment to show surveillance of the premises just a store such as Food Chief? Did the residence have that?
- A. Yeah, the residence had a camera inside. A set up of the um, some type of surveillance camera.
- Q. Alright.
- A. That we found inside the house which we didn't bother it.
- Q. You didn't bother the camera, but did you find the tape?
- A. No sir. I'm not sure. To my knowledge we didn't.
- Q. You don't know what.

PAGE THIRTEEN  
PRELIMINARY HEARING  
THE STATE VS. JOHN ROOSEVELT BACCUS

A. What kind of tape, I mean,

Q. A tape in the machine that if the camera was running there would be a recording being made of what was going on at that premises?

A. We looked at the tape that was in it, but, um,

Q. But you didn't find anything that you connected?

A. But, I don't believe we recovered or took a tape from the residence.

Q. So the tape would have been left in the residence if it was in the machine?

A. Yes sir.

Q. Did you find any other items in the house that were removed either before or after the execution of the search warrant?

A. Not that I am aware of.

Q. You don't know who discovered the tapes inside the house do you?

A. No sir. There were three of the SLED agents that processed the house and the yard.

Q. Was it determined whether or not the cameras were on at the time or before, you know had been running that if anything occurred at the house which would have been shown?

A. I'm not sure.