

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: 2012CP4004574

Latonya Footman

Johnson Food Services LLC

PLAINTIFF(S)

Hartford

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: _____

Attorney for : Plaintiff Defendant or Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Rel. Non-suit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other _____
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other _____
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):** Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other _____

RICHLAND COUNTY
FILED
2013 JUN -3 AM 10:20
DEMETRIUS W. MCGRIFF
CLERK OF COURT

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment of the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : _____

INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order: _____

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

Circuit Court Judge _____ Judge Code _____ Date _____

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the _____ day of _____, 20____ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 3 June 2013 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Andrew Nathan Safran

Ann McCrowey Mickle

Jason Wendell Lockhart

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

Court Reporter _____

Clerk of Court Jeanette W. McBride

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)
)
Latonya Footman,)
)
Claimant/Appellant,)
)
v.)
)
Johnson Food Services, LLC,)
)
Employer,)
)
And)
)
The Hartford,)
)
Defendants/Respondents.)
_____)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
C/A No.: 2012-CP-40-04574

**DENIAL OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO ALTER/AMEND JUDGMENT PER
RULE SCRPC 59(E)**

2013 JUN -3 AM 9:28
JEANETTE W. McBRIDE
C.G.P. & C.S.
RICHLAND COUNTY
FILED


This matter comes before the Court by way of Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider the Order dated April 1, 2013, denying Plaintiff's request to reverse the Workers Compensation Full Commission's Decision, pursuant to Rule 59 SCRPC.

After careful consideration of the record in this case and the submissions of counsel, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered.

Accordingly, this Court hereby DENIES Defendant's Motion pursuant to Rule 59(e) SCRPC to Alter or Amend Judgment of this Court's Order entered on or about April 1, 2013. Pursuant to Rule 59(f), the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 28 2013
Columbia, South Carolina



G. Thomas Cooper, Jr., Judge
Judge, Fifth Judicial Circuit

SCANNED