

Ronald Soles
PLAINTIFF(S)

Ioan Gherman et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED** (*CHECK REASON*): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN** (*CHECK REASON*): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter came before the Court on April 20, 2023 upon Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce and Impose Sanctions filed April 4, 2023. This hearing was held in Charleston County and Defendant, Jason Brockman, failed to appear. Attorney Brian T. Smith was present on behalf of the Defendant and attorneys Simone Holloway and James Craven appeared virtually via WebEx on behalf of the Plaintiff. After reviewing Plaintiff's Motion and hearing arguments from both parties, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion finding that Jason Brockman has failed to comply with the Court's previous Orders. Therefore, it is hereby ordered that Defendant is to comply with the Court's previous Orders and all previous sanctions ordered by this Court are hereby withdrawn. (continued on page 2)

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 04/20/2023 .

RECEIVED

May 02 2023

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

(continued from page 1) The Court also holds the Defendant in contempt of court and orders that he is to be held in the Greenville County Detention Center for 6 months or until he complies with the previous Orders of this court and returns the subject vehicle to the Plaintiff or allows for the Plaintiff to take possession of the vehicle. A bench warrant will be issued for the Defendant on Monday, April 24, 2023. Furthermore, the Court awards Plaintiff his attorney's fees in the amount of \$8,373.13.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Ronald Soles vs. Ioan Gherman , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2022CP2305403

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED!

/s Hon. Bentley D. Price, Circuit Judge 2766