

Ryan Powell et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Sb Municipal Custodian et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter or Amend is DENIED, with the exception that the Court clarifies there is no restriction on Plaintiffs' ability to file and prosecute a pro se appeal of this Court's April 10, 2023 order.

The pro se filing restriction at pages 17-18 of the April 10, 2023 order is limited to new actions or complaints.

SO ORDERED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 04/27/2023 .

Karen Powell for Karen Powell
Karen Powell for Karen Powell
Ryan Powell for Ryan Powell
Ryan Powell for Ryan Powell

RECEIVED
May 11 2023
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



York Common Pleas

Case Caption: Ryan Powell , plaintiff, et al VS Sb Municipal Custodian , defendant,
et al
Case Number: 2023CP4600607
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

/s William A. McKinnon, #2761, Resident Circuit
Judge and Chief Admin. Judge for CP, 16th Cir.