

Exhibit

**B**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Alan G. Nix,

Plaintiff,

v.

Churchill Park and Churchill  
Park Homeowners' Association,  
Inc.,

Defendants.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CIVIL ACTION NO: 2020-CP-40-6054

ORDER

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2023

SC Court of Appeals

In this matter, this court issued a ruling memorandum on 11 November 2022. In that ruling this court stated that "...ANY OBJECTIONS TO THESE RULINGS MUST BE MADE BY WAY OF A TIMELY FILED AND PROERLY-SERVED SCRCP 59(E) MOTION."

This court received the proposed orders from Ms. Bryant as requested. This court reviewed the orders and found them proper. On or about 29 December 2022, this office received from Mr. Nix copies of what appear to be motions to file the proposed orders this court requested (see above) and related relief.

As to the motion to file the proposed orders, as he moved, his motion is not needed. This court has this date directed that the orders be "uploaded" or filed with the court.

As to the balance of his motions dated 27 December 2022, I find that these motions are not proper SCRCP 59(e) motions. They are not filed subsequent to the filing of the requested and filed orders. Therefore, I decline to address or consider the relief he seeks in these motions. As stated in the memorandum ruling, Mr. Nix may file, when timely and proper, SCRCP 59(e) motions. The parties are reminded that the court has the discretion to hold or not hold a hearing to address SCRCP 59(e) motions, and the setting of a motion hearing by either party does not bind the court to holding the hearing.



Richland Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Alan G Nix vs Churchill Park

**Case Number:** 2020CP4006054

**Type:** Order/Other

So Ordered

S/George M. McFaddin, Jr., #2759

Electronically signed on 2023-01-03 14:43:50 page 2 of 2