

From: [timothy Griffith](#)
To: [Clark, Ethel D.](#); [Dudek, Robert](#)
Cc: [Megan Jameson](#); [Danielle Dixon](#); [Daniels, Tamika](#)
Subject: Re: 2023-000617, 2023-000618, 2023-000619
Date: Monday, May 22, 2023 10:36:56 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

I was appointed under 608 and for our cases where we give notice of appeal, we generally defer to the appellate division of CID.

But I can reply to the requests for explanation if you require that of appointed counsel - I'll look for the emails and do that today, though I haven't really a sound argument for the client failing to appear at the hearings after notice by me and personally calling to let them know they needed to appear or a failure to prosecute would result

Timothy L Griffith, Attorney at Law

On Monday, May 22, 2023, 10:24 AM, Clark, Ethel D. <edclark@sccourts.org> wrote:

Good morning Counsel,

Re: Maria Assa'ad Faltas v. State 2023-000617, 2023-000618, and 2023-000619

The Court has that Counsel explanation was due on May 15, 2023 in all of the above cases. There was a correspondence emailed out to your office dated May 3, 2023.

Thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ethel D. Clark
SC Supreme Court
Case Management Specialist II
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, SC 29201
(803)734-1080

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

