

**RECEIVED**  
**May 24 2023**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Lexington County

Honorable G. Thomas Cooper, Circuit Court Judge

---

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

TASHONBY PEDRICK WILSON,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2019-000749

---

RECORD ON APPEAL

---

JOANNA K. DELANY  
Appellate Defender

ALAN WILSON  
Attorney General

South Carolina Commission on Indigent  
Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, SC 29211-1589  
(803) 734-1330

DONALD J. ZELENKA  
Deputy Attorney General

MELODY J. BROWN  
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

J. ANTHONY MABRY  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519  
Columbia, SC 29201  
(803)734-3727

S. RICHARD HUBBARD  
Solicitor, Eleventh Judicial Circuit  
205 East Main Street, Suite 309  
Lexington, SC 29072  
(803) 785-8352  
ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX .....	i
TRIAL TRANSCRIPT DATED APRIL 23-26, 2019 .....	1
DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE DEFENDANT JAIL CALL BY MR. KOGER .....	3
DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE DEFENDANT REFERENCES TO PLEA NEGOTIATIONS MADE DURING JAIL CALL BY MR. KOGER .....	3
COURT’S RULING EXCLUDING REFERENCES TO PLEA NEGOTIATIONS AND TESTIMONY REGARDING JAIL CALL .....	5
RESPONSE BY MR. PINCELLI .....	5
RESPONSE BY MR. KOGER .....	7
COURT’S RULING DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE BUT REQUIRING REDACTION OF JAIL CALL.....	8
DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE PORTION OF JAIL CALL WITH WITNESS THOMAS SEEHOF BY MR. KOGER.....	10
COURT’S RULING DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE PORTION OF JAIL CALL .....	11
DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE JAIL VIDEO BY MR. KOGER .....	15
RESPONSE BY MR. PINCELLI .....	16
COURT’S RULING DENYING DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE JAIL VIDEO .....	18
STATE’S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AS TO THIRD-PARTY GUILT BY MR. POGUE .....	19
RESPONSE BY MR. KOGER .....	21
COURT’S RULING DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AS TO THIRD PARTY GUILT .....	21
OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. PINCELLI .....	33
OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. KOGER .....	40



**TESTIMONY**

NIKKI RODGERS		
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	50	
Cross-Examination Mr. Koger .....	51	
EMILY DAWKINS WARD		
Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	52	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	65	
Redirect Examination Mr. Pogue .....	67	
JAMES D. WARD, JR.		
Direct Examination Mr. Pincelli .....	68	
KEVIN BAUM		
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	75	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	77	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	79	
Recross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	79	
CHRISTOPHER CARLUCCI		
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	80	
MICHAEL BEALE		
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	91	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	103	
BRENDA SNELGROVE		
Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	120	
JOINT STIPULATION AS TO CHAIN OF CUSTODY ON STATE’S EXHIBIT #118 .....		130
<b>TESTIMONY</b>		
BRENDA SNELGROVE		
Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	131	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	154	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	170	
Recross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	173	
DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBITS #128-132 BY MR. KOGER ..		176
RESPONSE BY MR. PINCELLI .....		176
COURT’S RULING GRANTING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBIT #128 AND DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBIT#129 .....		177



DEFENSE RENEWAL OF MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBITS #130-132  
 BY MR. KOGER .....177

COURT’S RULING GRANTING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBIT #130  
 AND DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBITS #131 AND #132 ....178

DEFENSE MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBITS #133-135 BY MR. KOGER ..179

COURT’S RULING GRANTING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBIT #133  
 AND DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBITS #134 AND #135 .....179

DEFENSE MOTION WITHDRAWING MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATE’S EXHIBIT  
 #137 BY MR. KOGER .....180

**TESTIMONY**

JOSEPH ANDALORO  
 Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....184  
 Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....203

STATE’S OBJECTION TO LINE OF QUESTIONING BY MR. PINCELLI .....205

**TESTIMONY**

JOSEPH ANDALORO  
 Continued Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....206

MILES RAWL  
 Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....209  
 Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....212

STATE’S OBJECTION TO HEARSAY TESTIMONY BY MR. POGUE .....214

RESPONSE BY MR. KOGER .....214

COURT’S RULING GRANTING STATE’S OBJECTION TO HEARSAY TESTIMONY .214

**TESTIMONY**

MILES RAWL  
 Continued Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....215

JESSE LAINTZ  
 Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....216



THOMAS SEEHOF	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	222
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	241
JESSE LAINTZ	
Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue.....	252
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	263
Redirect Examination by Mr. Pogue.....	266
Recross-Examination by Mr. Koger .....	267
GERALD PETE FORTNER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	274
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	280
Redirect Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	280
MICHAEL PHIPPS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	281
RICHARD KOCH	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	290
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	307
JOB QUARLES	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	323
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	333
ASHLEY JEFFCOAT	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	345
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	356
Redirect Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	364
BRITTNY MCREA	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pogue .....	365
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	388
BRANDY KALOPLASTOS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	392
Cross-Examination by Mr. Koger.....	398
Redirect Examination by Mr. Pincelli .....	404
STATE RESTS .....	405
DEFENSE MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT BY MR. KOGER.....	406
COURT’S RULING DENYING MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT .....	407



TESTIMONY COLLOQUY .....	412
<b>TESTIMONY</b>	
TASHONBY WILSON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Koger .....	415
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pogue.....	457
DEFENSE MOTION TO CHARGE LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSE OF ABHAN BY MR. KOGER .....	471
RESPONSE BY MR. POGUE .....	471
CONTINUED DEFENSE MOTION TO CHARGE LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSE OF ABHAN BY MR. KOGER .....	472
COURT’S RULING GRANTING DEFENSE MOTION TO CHARGE LESSER- INCLUDED OFFENSE OF ABHAN.....	473
<b>TESTIMONY</b>	
JORDAN PALMER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Koger .....	477
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pogue.....	481
DEFENSE RESTS .....	488
COURT NOTES THE RENEWAL OF ALL PRIOR DEFENSE MOTIONS .....	490
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. POGUE .....	493
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. KOGER.....	507
VERDICT .....	513
DEFENSE MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL BY MR. KOGER.....	515
COURT’S RULING DENYING DEFENSE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.....	515
SENTENCING .....	516
INDICTMENTS FOR ATTEMPTED MURDER.....	517
INDICTMENT FOR POSSESSION OF A WEAPON .....	521
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL .....	523



**[STATE'S EXHIBIT #1 (CD/911 CALL), STATE'S EXHIBIT #2 (AERIAL PHOTO),  
STATE'S EXHIBIT #138 (DISK), AND STATE'S EXHIBIT #168 (CD) ARE ON FILE  
WITH THIS COURT]**



1 door and their wall there. A lot of those bullets probably  
2 went -- there's no way to know -- probably went through Bri  
3 before they went through the wall and the door. So she had  
4 multiple gunshot wounds.

5 You heard both the paramedic and Mr. Jim Ward, who  
6 testified he put the tourniquet on her leg, that she was  
7 literally spurting blood. So we're talking minutes,  
8 somebody's bleeding like that.

9 So right there, you're talking a serious,  
10 life-threatening injury, and she's been shot multiple times.  
11 And what did you hear from Bri when she was on the stand? She  
12 testified that she played like she was -- she was acting like  
13 she was dead so he thinks that he maybe has killed her. He  
14 walks past -- she testifies that he walks past her to where  
15 Ashley is hiding back behind the car. You saw photos of where  
16 the blood was on the car, where the blood was dripping on the  
17 ground. Looks like somebody probably was bleeding and was  
18 standing there for a little bit.

19 So what did Bri say that he did? Intent to kill. I have  
20 to show a specific intent to kill. What does he do? He walks  
21 over there, points the gun at her, pulls the trigger, and he's  
22 out of bullets. Ashley's not dead today because of the  
23 numbers game. He ran out of bullets, thankfully.

24 At that point in time, you heard Bri testify that all the  
25 -- I guess however many people it was, they don't know,

1 whoever was in Doc's car -- that multiple neighbors testified  
2 of hearing a loud muffler and you heard Doc say the car has a  
3 loud muffler -- testified that they were all yelling at him  
4 saying, "Time to go, time to go. We've got to get out of  
5 here."

6 Obviously, he's just fired multiple shots in a highly  
7 populated area with families around and it's not going to be  
8 too long until law enforcement shows up. Obviously, they  
9 don't to be there when that happens, so he leaves.

10 Well, what did -- excuse me, I apologize -- Pete Fortner  
11 took the stand. What did he testify to? He testified that  
12 the little boy, the little eight-year-old boy told him it was  
13 Pep-Pep. Now, how would he know who Pep-Pep was if had never  
14 seen Pep-Pep before? Why would he tell, not only the neighbor  
15 that, but he would also tell Sergeant Rawl that, told law  
16 enforcement that as well.

17 And then you heard Brandy on the telephone call tell the  
18 defendant the same thing. "I lied. I said I didn't know who  
19 it was, but unfortunately, my son, from the mouths of babes,  
20 did not lie." He told the truth, because he didn't know what  
21 else to say. He only could say what happened, what he  
22 observed.

23 So what did Pete Fortner testify to? He testified that  
24 he sees the guy, whoever it is, could not recognize him but  
25 knew he was a black male, he was darker skinned, wearing a

1 white T-shirt with blue pants, running, holding something in  
2 his hand, getting into a car with a loud muffler. He tried to  
3 follow him, couldn't catch up to him.

4 Now, another thing that may indicate a specific intent to  
5 kill was the slashed tires. If you intend to resolve a  
6 situation amicably, I seriously doubt that you would go slash  
7 all of the tires on all the cars that you think belong to that  
8 individual before you go into that house. That indicates that  
9 they had no intention of anybody leaving that scene, at least  
10 not without their permission.

11 Just the number of shots that were fired alone and the  
12 fact that you would shoot somebody when they stand up through  
13 their abdomen, to me, is proof beyond a reasonable doubt that  
14 you had an intent to kill that person. Now, if that isn't  
15 evidence enough -- that's what it looks like when you fire  
16 four or five rounds at somebody and you hit them multiple  
17 times and then they play dead. And fortunately for Bri, he  
18 believed that she was dead and walked past her and tried to  
19 kill Ms. Jeffcoat. But that person right there has multiple  
20 life-threatening injuries, as you heard from the medics, I  
21 believe it was Mr. Carlucci.

22 Ashley Jeffcoat. When you shoot somebody through the  
23 abdomen, I don't think that that's a warning shot. I don't  
24 think that you have an intent just to harm them.

25 Now, you have to make those determinations. As the judge

1 said, you are the judge of the facts. You have common sense.  
2 That's why I put you on this jury. You go back there and you  
3 decide what makes sense to you.

4 Now, you heard some of these calls and, frankly, the  
5 calls alone should probably be enough, in my view, to convict  
6 him with what you have to -- what amounts to almost a  
7 confession. It has extreme consciousness of guilt, just  
8 literally soaked throughout the entire calls. He says -- and  
9 I quote -- and I'm not going to play them for you again. I'm  
10 not going to sit here and waste your time and go through the  
11 evidence. But you heard, he says, why are you telling his  
12 brother? Why do you think I tripped for? And he says, his  
13 brother, because you got took. All right then. Say no more.  
14 You know they're probably recording all this. That's right  
15 after it.

16 Why does he tell Solo to be careful, they're looking for  
17 the driver? Driver of what? What's he talking about if he's  
18 not talking about this incident? As a matter of fact, he said  
19 Tattoo is looking for the driver.

20 And then you have the call that he makes to Brandy. I  
21 could literally sit here for two hours going through that call  
22 and point out statement after statement after statement that  
23 clearly indicate this man's guilty. I'll just touch on a few.  
24 When I say a few, I mean just literally a few.

25 This is a transcript of that conversation. Instead of

1 playing the whole thing for you, I just figured I'd expedite  
2 the process. Thomas says, "I don't know who the eff came with  
3 you, but whoever it is, they cut my tires." "I don't know who  
4 came with you." That right there -- Thomas, he doesn't think  
5 that somebody is listening to that conversation. It's just  
6 those two talking. He says, "I don't know who the eff came  
7 with you," talking to Pep, "but whoever it is, they cut my  
8 tires." And what does Pep say? "I know, I know, I know."

9 How would he know if he wasn't there, if he was in the  
10 room with Jordan Palmer at that time?

11 And what is he apologizing for? I still didn't  
12 understand what he was saying when he was trying to explain  
13 why he would apologize to them. If you could understand, then  
14 more power to you, but I could not follow his train of logic  
15 when he was saying, or giving an explanation, I should say, as  
16 to why it was that he was apologizing to Thomas Seehof and  
17 Brandy for this incident.

18 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt. What does that mean?  
19 I've been doing this for a long time, and I'm still not sure.  
20 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, as the judge will instruct  
21 you on when he gives you the law, it's just proof that leaves  
22 you firmly convinced. Personally, I believe that you are  
23 firmly convinced. I believe that we presented you a case that  
24 is overwhelming with evidence. The man is steeped in guilt.

25 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt doesn't mean proof beyond

1 all possible doubt, all fabricated or conceived doubt. There  
2 are very few things in this world that we know with absolute  
3 certainty. The only thing I know with absolute certainty is  
4 that my mama loves me. That's the only thing that I know with  
5 certainty.

6           However, you have to make that determination. You have  
7 to decide whether we've proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Any  
8 doubt that you have has to be founded in reason.

9           So the judge gave you an oath. Y'all took an oath when  
10 you first sat as jurors. Okay? And I believe that all of you  
11 took that as seriously, and I certainly do, after having  
12 watched how attentive you are.

13           Just one more time I'm going to review for you, and then  
14 I'm going to sit down and shut up.

15           The evidence that we've presented, again the CAD  
16 indicates that it was Tashonby Wilson. The 911 call indicates  
17 it's Tashonby Wilson. Emily Ward testified it was Tashonby  
18 Wilson. Jim Ward testified it was a T. Wilson. Pete Fortner  
19 testified that little MINOR told him it was Pep-Pep.  
20 Miles Rawl -- Sergeant Miles Rawl testified that it was  
21 Pep-Pep. Ashley testified that it was Tashonby Wilson, that  
22 she was certain. Bri, I even asked her a second time when she  
23 was on the stand, "Are you absolutely certain that it was  
24 him?" She said, "Without a doubt, it was him." Both Ashley  
25 -- and they were not in the same trauma bay rooms. Both and

1 Ashley and Bri separately told Detective Andaloro in the  
2 hospital that it was Tashonby Wilson that shot them and that  
3 he was at the Palmetto Inn where he was found.

4 And I definitely wasn't going to put that poor little boy  
5 on that stand, after having been through things that no little  
6 boy should ever have to go through or ever have to see and  
7 make him relive this whole thing.

8 And if you're like me and it insults you and it insults  
9 your intelligence that that man would sit up there and testify  
10 to the things that he testified after the evidence that we  
11 presented in this case, then I would ask that you go back and  
12 you render a verdict that reflects the evidence in this case,  
13 which is that man is guilty of two counts of attempted murder  
14 and possession of a weapon during the commission of a violent  
15 offense. Thank y'all.

16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Pogue.

17 Mr. Koger.

18 MR. KOGER: May it please the Court?

19 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

20 CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

21 MR. KOGER: Solicitor Pogue, Solicitor Pincelli. You  
22 know, Solicitor Pogue read to you the definition of attempted  
23 murder, but also, y'all have other choices in this case.  
24 Y'all have the choice of whether to use assault and battery of  
25 a high and aggravated nature. Y'all have the choice to select

1 assault and battery in the first degree. Y'all have the  
2 choice to select assault and battery in the second degree.

3 Now, I'm not going to give you the definition now because  
4 the Honorable Judge is going to give you those definitions.

5 Now, why is that important? Because with everything that  
6 came out in the courtroom over the last several days, every  
7 piece of evidence, every piece of testimony, everything must  
8 be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

9 Now, in relation to the injuries, let's talk about what's  
10 not placed in evidence this week. Okay. The State provided  
11 EMS workers that had testimony on the injuries, but there was  
12 no medical doctors that testified to the injuries. No medical  
13 doctors to testify to the length of any hospital stay. No  
14 medical doctors to testify to any recovery time. No doctors  
15 to testify to their present condition and prognosis. As a  
16 matter of fact, Ms. Jeffcoat say, "I'm doing just fine."

17 So it's not just making a decision based upon what  
18 happened in the case, every element must be proven beyond a  
19 reasonable doubt. Now, it seems like to me, once statements  
20 was given and taken by law enforcement, that the investigation  
21 stopped. It seemed to be no additional investigation as far  
22 as with a second person.

23 I placed Ms. Snelgrove on the stand. I think they  
24 processed the crime scene. They processed two apartments. No  
25 dusting of fingerprints or anything. Now, of course, you

1 know, you can't dust every item for fingerprints, but you  
2 didn't dust the door jamb or the inside of the door jamb, the  
3 point of entry.

4 Throughout the report and testimony by various witnesses,  
5 you know, they talk about the socks. There was an allegation  
6 that the socks were placed over the gun. I think one -- I  
7 can't recall whether it was Ms. Jeffcoat or Ms. McRae said,  
8 yes, the socks were used. Okay? No DNA analysis whatsoever  
9 on any of the socks. If they had done DNA analysis on the  
10 socks, then you could have been able to confirm whether  
11 Mr. Wilson was in there or not.

12 One of the socks had brownish and red stains. Well, it  
13 was stated, well, there was no indication that he was bled,  
14 but if the blood spatter on the sock had been one of the  
15 victims, then -- and in conjunction with the DNA analysis of  
16 the skin cells on the socks, well, then, it would have placed  
17 Mr. Wilson there. Okay?

18 The casings. Ms. Snelgrove admitted that the technology  
19 exists. There was no processing of the casings. None  
20 whatsoever. She say it will be difficult to do, but it was  
21 existing, and as a matter of fact, she stated it had been  
22 tried before without too much success. So, again, not  
23 processed. Okay?

24 I think when Deputy Laintz made a plain view search of  
25 Room 217 back at the Palmetto Inn, he had the knowledge that

1 .40 caliber casings were found on the scene. He saw .40  
2 caliber ammunition, but he determined, Well, there was no  
3 nexus between, you know, the .40 caliber ammunition in Room  
4 217 and what was on the scene. He said he determined there  
5 wasn't enough of a nexus. Again, they didn't even process the  
6 casings to see what type of gun.

7 So what I'm saying, that when the State has the burden,  
8 and its agents, to prove beyond a reasonable doubt, they have  
9 an obligation to do a complete investigation. Okay? And a  
10 complete investigation was not done here. They based this off  
11 of just statements, and they had an opportunity to do further  
12 investigation.

13 Now, it is up to you to determine, as Solicitor Pogue  
14 stated and the judge has stated many times before, to judge  
15 the believability and the credibility of those who sat in the  
16 witness chair, and probably in the judge's instructions, he is  
17 going to give you some indication of believability and  
18 credibility.

19 Now, in this particular instance, with the exception of  
20 law enforcement and maybe two or three other witnesses, most  
21 of the persons that took that stand, their believability and  
22 credibility is impaired in some way. Impaired by drug  
23 dealing. Impaired by drug usage.

24 And I can see that there was some of the testimony that  
25 it was uncomfortable for some of you to learn firsthand or

1 maybe gain more knowledge about the drug culture in our area.  
2 Well, this is what this case was about: The various  
3 participants in the drug culture.

4 Now, Mr. Wilson took the stand, and he didn't have to  
5 take the stand. He had a Fifth Amendment right not to take  
6 the stand, but he took the stand to tell you what happened on  
7 that particular evening. And don't be insulted because he  
8 exercised his Constitutional right to testify on his own  
9 behalf. That was his right and he made a decision to do that.

10 I ask of you to take all the evidence that came out in  
11 this courtroom on the last several days and the testimony -- I  
12 ask of you to read or to take in the jury instructions other  
13 than for attempted murder, assault and battery of a high and  
14 aggravated nature, assault and battery of the first degree,  
15 assault and battery of the second degree, take into account  
16 what you didn't get any evidence as far as to the nature of  
17 the extent of those injuries. You didn't any get  
18 any testimony from a medical doctor. You didn't get any  
19 testimony of any length of hospital stay. You didn't get any  
20 testimony of any recovery time. You didn't get any testimony,  
21 with the exception of Ms. Jeffcoat saying, "I'm doing fine,"  
22 on prognosis on that issue. They got to prove every element  
23 of every crime beyond a reasonable doubt, and it is my  
24 contention, my defendant's contention that they have not  
25 proved their case beyond a reasonable doubt as it relates to

1 I've got them in the right order -- and I'm going to send  
2 these in. I need to, first of all, make sure I've got them in  
3 order, got them properly marked. They will be sent in in a  
4 printed fashion. Will that meet your request?

5 JUROR: Yes.

6 THE COURT: Okay. If you'll return to the jury room,  
7 I'll get them to you right now. I have to mark them and then  
8 they'll be sent in.

9 (The jury exits the courtroom 7:11 p.m.)

10 (Court Exhibit No. 3, attempted murder charge, was marked  
11 for identification and received into evidence.)

12 (Court Exhibit No. 4, possession of firearm charge, was  
13 marked for identification and received into evidence.)

14 THE COURT: All right. If you'll take these to the jury  
15 please, sir.

16 All right, folks. We'll stand down until we hear further  
17 again. Thank you.

18 (A recess was taken at 7:12 p.m., pending the jury  
19 verdict.)

20 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated, folks, thank  
21 you. Ladies and gentlemen, we're advised that the jury has  
22 reached a verdict.

23 Are both the State and the defense ready to receive the  
24 verdict?

25 MR. POGUE: State's ready, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: If you'll hand it to the bailiff, please,  
2 sir, and he'll give it up to me. Thank you.

3 Madame Clerk, you can publish the verdict.

4 VERDICT

5 CLERK OF COURT: Indictment No. 2019-GS-32-01182, The  
6 *State v. Tashonby* --

7 THE COURT: Tashonby.

8 CLERK OF COURT: Tashonby; excuse me.

9 -- *Tashonby Pedrick Wilson*, charged attempted murder of  
10 Brittny McRae, verdict is guilty of attempted murder.

11 As to Indictment No. 2019-GS-32-01184, attempted murder  
12 of Ashley Jeffcoat, charge is -- excuse me, verdict is guilty  
13 of assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature.

14 As to Indictment 2019-GS-32-01188, possession of a weapon  
15 during the commission of a violent crime, guilty of possession  
16 of a weapon during the commission of a violent crime.

17 Mr. Foreman, ladies and gentlemen, if this is your  
18 verdict, please indicate each of you by raising your right  
19 hand.

20 All hands raised, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you.

22 Mr. Koger, does the defense desire a polling of the jury?

23 MR. KOGER: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You-all can be  
25 seated.

1 virtue of your service this week. You shouldn't get called,  
2 but if you do get called and if you don't want to serve, just  
3 tell the judge or the clerk at that time that you served  
4 within the last three years, and they will let you go because  
5 you're entitled to go.

6 Thank you, folks, for your involvement with us. I hope  
7 you've gained a better appreciation of how important you are  
8 to our system. Thank you. You're free to go.

9 CLERK OF COURT: Your Honor, I gave Mr. Harter, the  
10 foreman --

11 THE COURT: Mr. Harter, I think we have another paper for  
12 you to sign before you go, the indictments, please.

13 Oh, one other thing: If you need any juror slips telling  
14 where you've been during the week for an employer or anybody  
15 like that --

16 How do they go about that this time of day?

17 CLERK OF COURT: They can contact the clerk's office  
18 first thing Monday morning, and they'll be glad to send it  
19 wherever you need it.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Did everybody understand that?

21 THE JUROR: Yes, sir. Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Thank you-all.

23 (The jury exits the courtroom at 7:41 p.m.)

24 THE COURT: All right. I'll be glad now to hear  
25 additional motions, Mr. Koger.



1 business.

2           You had other occupations, as Mr. Koger has mentioned,  
3 that would have been gainful occupations, and you apparently  
4 had some aptitude at those occupations, but, obviously, they  
5 did not pay what the drug business paid, and so you fell into  
6 that addiction so that you could have those things that the  
7 drug traffic would buy you.

8           As a result of that, you fed on the weaknesses of the  
9 McRaes and the Jeffcoats and the Tattoos of the world and made  
10 money off it. And then, when things turned sour, you tried to  
11 kill two of them for the nerve of taking part of your  
12 livelihood, the idea.

13           I have extended some small measure of leniency, quite  
14 frankly. A small measure is all. I recognize that, if you  
15 had killed these ladies or any one of them, you would be  
16 looking a minimum of 30 years in jail. There's a maximum of  
17 30 for the attempted murder. So I've given you something  
18 slightly less than the maximum out of recognition of the fact  
19 that that would be a minimum for murder, and try as you might,  
20 you were not successful in the murder. It is still a  
21 significant sentence, and I've decided to sentence you  
22 concurrently on these. Quite frankly, at one point in time, I  
23 was considering a consecutive sentence; but nonetheless, here  
24 it is.

25           On possession of a weapon during the commission of a

WITNESSES

Lexington County Sheriffs Department

Joseph M. Andaloro

Law Enforcement Case #: 16019481

BPP

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2016A3210201675

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

*Cartney Seedy*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date: 3-11-19

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury  
Date:

DOCKET NO. 2019GS3201182

**The State of South Carolina**

County of Lexington

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

MARCH TERM 2019

THE STATE

vs.

Tashonby Pedrick Wilson

CDR #: 3410

Indictment for

Attempted Murder

§ 16-03-0029

**S.R. Hubbard III, SOLICITOR**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF LEXINGTON )  
 )

INDICTMENT FOR  
Attempted Murder

§ 16-03-0029

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on March 2019, the Grand Jurors of Lexington County present upon their oath:

That **Tashonby Pedrick Wilson** in Lexington County, South Carolina, on or about September 26, 2016, did unlawfully, with the intent to kill, attempt to kill another person with malice aforethought, to wit: the defendant approached the victim, Brittny Leann McRae, while armed with a handgun and fired the handgun at the victim, causing multiple gunshot wounds to the back of the victim's legs, in violation of § 16-03-0029 of the South Carolina Code of Laws of (1976), as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

  
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

Lexington County Sheriffs Department

Joseph M. Andaloro

Law Enforcement Case #: 16019481

DOCKET NO. 2019GSS3201184

The State of South Carolina

County of Lexington

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2016A3210201674

BPP

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

MARCH TERM 2019

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

LEXINGTON COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT

*Anthony Sealy*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date: 3-11-19

VERDICT

Tashonby Pedrick Wilson

THE STATE  
vs.

CDR #: 3410

Indictment for

Attempted Murder

§ 16-03-0029

**S.R. Hubbard III, SOLICITOR**

Foreperson of Petit Jury  
Date:

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA    )  
   )  
 COUNTY OF LEXINGTON        )  
   )

INDICTMENT FOR  
 Attempted Murder

§ 16-03-0029

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on March 2019, the Grand Jurors of Lexington County present upon their oath:

That **Tashonby Pedrick Wilson**, in Lexington County, South Carolina, on or about September 28, 2016, did unlawfully, with the intent to kill, attempt to kill another person with malice aforethought, to wit: the defendant approached the victim, Ashley Nicole Jeffcoat, while armed with a handgun and fired the handgun at the victim, causing a gunshot wound where the bullet entered through the victim's abdomen and exited through her backside, in violation of § 16-03-0029 of the South Carolina Code of Laws of (1976), as amended..

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

  
 ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

Lexington County Sheriffs Department

Joseph M. Andaloro

Law Enforcement Case #: 16019481

BPP

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2016A3210201677

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

FILED

*Courtney Saely*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date: 3-11-19

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury  
Date:

DOCKET NO. 2019GS3201188

The State of South Carolina  
County of Lexington

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

MARCH TERM 2019

THE STATE  
vs.

Tashonby Pedrick Wilson

CDR #: 0549

Indictment for

Possession of a Firearm During the  
Commission of a Violent Crime

§ 16-23-0490

S.R. Hubbard III, SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF LEXINGTON )

INDICTMENT FOR  
Possession of a Firearm During the Commission  
of a Violent Crime

§ 16-23-0490

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on March 2019, the Grand Jurors of Lexington County present upon their oath:

That **Tashonby Pedrick Wilson** did in Lexington County, South Carolina, on or about September 26, 2016, knowingly and willfully, possess a firearm during the commission of a violent crime or attempt to commit a violent crime, to wit: Attempted Murder; such weapon described as a 40 caliber handgun in violation of § 16-23-490 of the Code of Laws of South Carolina (1976), as amended.


Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

  
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

## CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joanna K. Delany", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and cursive.

Joanna K. Delany  
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, SC 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 24th day of May, 2023.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Lexington County

Honorable G. Thomas Cooper, Circuit Court Judge

---

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

TASHONBY PEDRICK WILSON,

APPELLANT

**RECEIVED**

**May 24 2023**

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2019-000749


**SC Court of Appeals**

---

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

---

Pursuant to Rule 262(a)(3) and Rule 262(c)(3), SCACR, the undersigned hereby certifies a true copy of the Record on Appeal in the above-referenced case has been served upon J. Anthony Mabry, Esquire, at the primary email in the Attorney Information System (AIS), this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023.



Joanna K. Delany  
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT