

Re: Blue Ridge Electric Coop.
vs. Kathleen Gresham
CA. No. 2008-CP-23-5245

As recently requested by the Court, enclosed is a second copy of correspondence mailed to Judge John Few at the listed address on May 21, 2013, with appropriate U.S. postage attached, thereafter received but without the statement of mailing of which the Court is desirous. Thus, I enclose a second identical copy of said letter, posted to the S.C. Court of Appeals, attention Clerk Jerry Abbott Kitchens, P.O. Box 11629, Columbia, S.C. 29211, also with sufficient postage affixed.

Thank you.

Kathleen Gresham
pro se

Defendant

1524 Hwy. 11

Landrum, SC 29356

Kathleen Gresham
RECEIVED 6-19-13

JUN 21 2013

SC Court of Appeals

Kathleen Gresham
6/19/13

May 21, 2013

Judge John Few
Greenville County Courthouse
East North Street
Greenville, SC 29601

RE: Blue Ridge Electric Coop. vs. Kathleen and Steve Gresham
2008-CP-23-5245

Dear Judge Few,

I wanted to take this opportunity to express to you that I take issue with the tone of your interim order in this above matter as at all suggesting I purposefully delayed the creation of the small part of the mysterious missing transcript in the above case. This case, wrongly brought in the first place and against my husband who was never a member of Blue Ridge Electrical Coop., has taken on a life of its own and totally disrupted our lives for over 6 years. This case was not brought in good faith or in the interest of justice as ultimately determined by the unanimous jury verdict for Defendant in all counts against Plaintiffs. The entire disruption was precipitated by the unexplained, though repeatedly asked, destruction of my testimony and objections I had placed on the record, by the official court reporter that should have been discovered immediately, instead of a year or more later. I have written numerous times to the court administration asking for an investigation into the destroyed transcript matter without success or acknowledgement. Perhaps you can do better. I hope you realize that some of the delay in the reconstruction could have been avoided immediately if the court had produced its jury charge including the one hand-written page that only I provided as soon as I discovered it. Plaintiff counsel with his large staff and associated counsel failed to produce it as officers of the court. The decision to associate more counsel by Plaintiffs delayed the matter due to sickness in his family and with him, I understand, as well as illness and surgery for Plaintiff's counsel. Defendants put their lives on hold during the lengthy pendency of this long, contested matter including postponing of necessary surgery to our health detriment and we could not live like that further. My husband and I faced major surgeries, properly documented and long advised to the Courts. We continue to be distressed about the unjustness of this matter and that my own coop is so ill advisedly using our coop money in such a wrongful way. When your order was received, I had already taken more steps to once again meet to resolve this matter but first, had to be with my husband, diagnosed with cancer, who faced serious surgery. We have sacrificed greatly for this frivolous lawsuit and do not deserve any tongue-lashing, Sir. Backup of transcripts exist for a purpose and in today's modern court, in a 3-day jury trial, it is hard to believe, that all safeguards failed. The jury, heard all the facts, heard all the witnesses, paid attention to it all, and loudly spoke their verdict minus the punitive damages I was forbidden to bring up at the last minute. I wanted you to know in the name of justice of these facts.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Kathleen Jennings, 1524 Hwy 11,
Landrum, SC 29356

JUN 21 2013

SC COURT OF APPEALS