

“can help her” when FC Griffith’s intent all along was to never *effectively* contest SCAG’s motions to dismiss, to never submit probative documents in Dr. Faltas’ favor, and to never subpoena necessary witnesses in support of Dr. Faltas’ case but to *falsely* pretend that Dr. Faltas’ PCR’s can be proven *solely* by her own testimony and thus entrap her into *more* contempt if she refuses to testify (a right of hers she would not be able to exercise if her own counsel calls her) or if she refuses to answer questions her own counsel poses or SCAG poses without objection from FC Griffith.

SCAG’s motions to dismiss as time-barred were pending *before* FC Griffith accepted the SCCOID-paid appointment. In addition to the law that PCR counsel may NOT be appointed *before* a motion to dismiss as time-barred is denied, *at a minimum* FC Griffith should have reviewed the status of the cases to which he was offered an appointment. Had he then decided that the time-bar is unsurmountable, FC Griffith should not have accepted the appointments *ab initio*. **But FC Griffith had three more years to study the time-bar issue and either withdraw from representation of what he might “right or wrong” have believed to be an indefensible case or prepared all valid defenses against SCAG’s motions to dismiss as time-barred.** The records of these cases show that, finally, FC Griffith filed a weak-kneed opposition to SCAG’s motions to dismiss and filed motions to reconsider JDCB’s dismissals of these PCR’s. **Clearly, FC Griffith’s self-contradictory submissions and oral representations in the transcripts are not the conduct of “an officer of the courts”** and all his claims of acting “as an officer of the courts” in these cases should be disallowed and this Court should impose on him what discipline it sees fit on a lawyer who accepts paid representation under false pretext of “helping” his client but *actively* undermines that client and sets her up for contempt.

## II. Motion for Copies of the 1 and 29 July 2021 Transcripts of Hearings before JDCB

On Dr. Faltas’ belief based on Exx 15 and 16 hereto, the original transcripts of said hearings were obtained by SCAG and/or other entities but no copy was provided to Dr. Faltas or FC Griffith. As Dr. Faltas is presently prohibited from contacting SC Judicial Branch court reporters directly but FC Griffith is *extremely* uncooperative, Dr. Faltas asks this Court to *at least* obtain information on those transcripts from SCAG or Ms. Hardoon and to cause the record to be supplemented with said transcripts, preferably as direct, word-searchable PDFs to this Court and visible to, and searchable by, the public.

## III. Motion for a 30-day Extension of Time to further Supplement pro se Responses

Physical panic from her prior false arrests to thwart her pursuit of civil matters makes Dr. Faltas file before the last due date incomplete/unrevised submissions; but she has more arguments to advance, even revolutionize, the law and prays this Court recognizes her unique talents and life experiences and open-mindedly hears her. She seeks a 30-day extension to further supplement these responses. Otherwise, the *audio* recording of the 28 March 2011 event in Columbia’s Municipal Court (“CMC”) before CMC’s Marion Oneida Hanna (“M.O. Hanna”), was returned to this Court on 16 December 2021 in SC Appellate case 2021-000049 at <https://ctrack.sccourts.org/public/caseView.do?csIID=73218>. In SC Associate Justice Kittredge’s exclamation in the 7 June 2023 orals, the abuse is “breath-taking” and deserves to be *fully* audible to the public **and subjected to this Court’s moral authority to halt abuse of power by police and so-called judges.** Dr. Faltas also incorporates by reference all her arguments and exhibits in 2021-000049 in particular and other cases generally herein by reference.

**CONCLUSION: equitable tolling makes the three PCR’s underlying these three appeals timely.**

### Certificate of Submission AND Certificate of Service AND of Copies

Submitted and served by hand-delivery to SC Attorney General’s (“SCAG”) office at 1000 Assembly Street Columbia, SC 29201, and by hand-delivery to Richland County’s Clerk of Court at 1701 Main Street Columbia, SC 29201, and *courtesy-copied* to: Griffith at [tgriffith@tlgriffith.com](mailto:tgriffith@tlgriffith.com), and to SCCOID at [hyoung@sccid.sc.gov](mailto:hyoung@sccid.sc.gov), and [hryan@sccid.sc.gov](mailto:hryan@sccid.sc.gov), and relevant others, all on 9 June 2023, and all God so willing.

S/Marie-Thérèse Assa’ad-Faltas, MD, MPH, Applicant-Appellant  
P.O. Box 9115, Columbia, SC 29290 e-mail: [Marie\\_Faltas@hotmail.com](mailto:Marie_Faltas@hotmail.com)  
Phone: (803) 783-4536 Cell: (330) 232-4164

