

Ronald C. Albright #211533
Lieber Correctional Institution
LB-58 P.O. Box 205
Ridgeville, S.C. 29472

RECEIVED

To Whom It May Concern,

JUN 22 2023

Please find enclosed, In ^{SC Court of Appeals}
Compliance with Rule 5 S.C.R.C.P. § A.L.C. SERVICE

a Courtesy copy of the Correspondance
sent to the noted recipient on the
noted date on the Correspondance.

In addition, a copy of this
Correspondance was also sent to all
parties involved in the present
Case.

Sincerely,
Ronald C. Albright

RECEIVED

"RATIO EST RADIUS DIVINI LUMINIS" ~ "VERITAS NIHIL VERETUR NISI ABSCONDI"

RONALD C. ALBRIGHT ~ #211533
L.C.I. ~ SB-58 P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

JUN 22 2023

SC Court of Appeals

Executive Director of the ICAOS
633 INDIANA AVE. NW SUITE 1344
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

14TH of June, 2023

RE: RONALD ALBRIGHT #211533 V. S.C. DEPT. OF PPP-APPELLATE CASE NO. 2023
000847-"State's Non-Compliance with Compact Rules"

Dear Mrs. Lippert

OR, WHOM IF MAY CONCERN, I am writing to you because I can't get any relief at the State level with getting South Carolina to adhere to the Interstate Compact's Rules and Procedures. This State's wholesale departure from the Compact has caused me a loss of my Conditional Liberty and violated my Constitutional Due Process Rights. And the Commission has the authority to intervene in the sake of Equity and to right an injustice. I am sending, enclosed, a copy of my most recent Appellate Brief to outline and further explain my concerns and also, in accordance with S.C. CODE 1976 § 24-21-1170(B), (12) to provide the Commission with "due service of process in any proceeding for all purposes". (B), (2): "The Interstate Commission shall attempt to resolve any disputes or other issues which are subject to the Compact." (Other issues in this case being Non-Compliance with the Compact Rules by a Compacting State.) Intervention is warranted.

South Carolina is supposed to have an ICAOS Commissioner or Representative to ensure its State's Compliance with the Compact. Being as the S.C. Representative is associated with the agency who is being Challenged for Non-Compliance to the Compact, a Conflict of Interest arises because, adherence is Non-Existant in this case.

S.C. skipped the entire "Retaking" process outlined in ICAOS Rules 4.109 & 5.105(A-C) which was put in place to protect Due Process Rights, and instead proceeded under their customary extradition Practices, skipping the Preliminary Probable Cause Hearing in the Receiving State and relied on a S.C. Parole Agent swearing 3rd party to the Validity of a summary of the Florida Parole Agents' erroneous reports, in violation of Law. I'm requesting someone from your department to review the enclosed brief and intervene to Compel S.C. to adhere to the Compact's Rules and Re-instate my Supervision under 5.103(G)(2,3) or call for a de novo hearing.

Respectfully
Submitted,

Ronald C. Albright

CC: S.C. DEPT. OF PPP SERVICES;
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS;
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURTS;
FILES.

RONALD C. ALBRIGHT #211533
L.C.I. - SB-58 - P.O. Box 205
RIDGEBVILLE, SC. 29472

CHARLESTON SC 294
20 JUN 2023 PM 1 L



RECEIVED

JUN 19 2023

MAIL ROOM
LIEBER C.J.

S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
HON. CLERK OF COURT
P.O. BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SC. 29211

RECEIVED

JUN 22 2023

SC Court of Appeals

29211-162929

