

**RECEIVED**

JUL - 3 2013

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT

---

S.C. Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

---

Docket No. 2012-203-E  
Appellate Case No. 2013-000529

---

South Carolina Energy Users  
Committee,.....Appellant/Respondent,

v.

The South Carolina Public Service Commission, South Carolina  
Electric and Gas, Office of Regulatory Staff, Sierra Club and  
Pamela Greenlaw, Defendants

of whom Sierra Club, is.....Respondent/Appellant.

---

MOTION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY  
TO AMEND TITLE OF THE CASE

---

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G"), pursuant to Rules  
202 and 240, SCACR, hereby moves the Supreme Court of South Carolina for  
an order amending the title of the case in the above-referenced appeal.

On March 14, 2013, the South Carolina Energy Users Committee  
("SCEUC") filed a Notice of Appeal appealing the decisions of the Public  
Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") issued in Docket No.  
2012-203-E. On March 18, 2013, the Sierra Club also filed a Notice of Appeal

appealing the Commission's decisions. As a result of these multiple Notices of Appeal, the Supreme Court of South Carolina by letter dated March 19, 2013, consolidated the appeals and amended the title of the case as follows:

South Carolina Energy Users Committee, Appellant/Respondent,

v.

The South Carolina Public Service Commission, South Carolina Electric and Gas, Office of Regulatory Staff, Sierra Club and Pamela Greenlaw, Defendants,

of whom Sierra Club, is Respondent/Appellant.

Upon review of the title of the case, it appears that SCEUC and the Sierra Club should be identified as appellants only and not as respondents and that SCE&G and ORS should be identified as respondents. The SCEUC and the Sierra Club should not be viewed as respondents in the other's appeal because the SCEUC and the Sierra Club are on the same side. While this case was pending before the Commission, both the SCEUC and the Sierra Club advocated against the relief requested by SCE&G. Moreover, SCE&G is unaware of any ruling of the Commission that is being challenged in this proceeding by SCEUC where the Sierra Club intends to argue in favor of the Commission's ruling and in opposition to the position of SCEUC. Although their issues on appeal may differ, the SCEUC and the Sierra Club are united in their opposition to the Commission orders on appeal. Styling them as both appellants and respondents would appear to give them the right to file two briefs — one as the appellant and one as the respondent. This

would not only increase the Court's burden but would allow each of these parties to advocate their positions twice, to the prejudice of SCE&G and South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff which are supporting the Commission's orders.

For these reasons and pursuant to Rule 202, SCACR, SCE&G suggests that an appropriate caption would style both the SCEUC and the Sierra Club as appellants only, and SCE&G and the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff as respondents. Accordingly, SCE&G respectfully requests that the title of the case be amended as follows:

South Carolina Energy Users Committee and Sierra Club, Appellants,


v.

The South Carolina Public Service Commission, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, Office of Regulatory Staff, and Pamela Greenlaw, Defendants,

of whom South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Office of Regulatory Staff, are Respondents.

**[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]**

Respectfully submitted,



K. Chad Burgess, Esquire  
Matthew W. Gissendanner, Esquire  
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company  
Mail Code C222  
220 Operation Way  
Cayce, SC 29033-3701  
Telephone: 803-217-8141  
chad.burgess@scana.com  
matthew.gissendanner@scana.com

Belton T. Zeigler, Esquire  
Pope Zeigler, LLC  
1411 Gervais Street  
Columbia, SC 29201  
Telephone: 803-354-4949  
bzeigler@popezeigler.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Respondent  
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Cayce, South Carolina  
July 3, 2013

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT

---

APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

---

Docket No. 2012-203-E  
Appellate Case No. 2013-000529

---

South Carolina Energy Users  
Committee,.....Appellant/Respondent,

v.

The South Carolina Public Service Commission, South Carolina  
Electric and Gas, Office of Regulatory Staff, Sierra Club and  
Pamela Greenlaw, Defendants

of whom Sierra Club, is.....Respondent/Appellant.

---

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

---

This is the certify that I have caused to be served this day a copy of  
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's **Motion to Amend Title of the  
Case** to the persons named below via U.S. First-Class Mail at the addresses  
set forth:

Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire  
Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
101 Executive Center Drive  
Columbia, SC 29210

Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire  
Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street, Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201

Shannon Bowyer Hudson, Esquire  
Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street, Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201


Florence P. Belser, Esquire  
Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street, Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201

Courtney Dare Edwards, Esquire  
Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street, Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201

Pamela Greenlaw  
1001 Wotan Road  
Columbia, SC, 29229

Scott Elliott, Esquire  
Elliott & Elliott, P.A.  
1508 Lady Street  
Columbia, SC 29201

Robert Guild, Esquire  
314 Pall Mall  
Columbia, SC 29201

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Karen M. Scruggs

Cayce, South Carolina  
This 3rd day of July 2013