

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Diana Biernaski Jordan, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2023-001035

**RECEIVED**

**Jun 28 2023**

**SC Court of Appeals**

---

## ORDER

---

Petitioner has filed a *pro se* petition for writ of certiorari in this matter. Because Petitioner is represented by counsel on appeal and because the Court of Appeals has not issued a final ruling in this matter, the petition is stricken and dismissed. *See* Rule 242(a), SCACR ("The Supreme Court . . . may issue a writ of certiorari to review a *final decision* of the Court of Appeals." (emphasis added)); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 307, 379 S.E.2d 907, 907 (1989) (holding there is no constitutional right to hybrid representation on appeal).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE COURT C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina  
June 28, 2023

cc: Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire  
William M. Blicht, Jr., Esquire  
Diana Biernaski Jordan