

RECEIVED

Jul 05 2023

S.C. SUPREME COURT

From: [allure fauxx](#)
To: [Supreme Court Filings](#)
Subject: Re: Ms. Patricia Howard. Rehearing filing mix up
Date: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 10:51:04 PM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

My apologies in my haste I forgot to give you his information. Robert Cannon. Case No:2023-000762

On Tue, Jul 4, 2023, 10:47 PM allure fauxx <allurefauxx@gmail.com> wrote:

My cousin contacted me asking me to go online and check if his rehearing was filed. I did so and notified him of your most recent letter sent out. He stated that he had not yet. I read to him its contents and he said that the time frame for him to reply to the order which was 15 days by law is supposed to start once the order is received by him. Which was on the 12th of June 2023. Which means his deadline should have been on the 27th of last month. However even had that not been the case his motion for rehearing was in SCDC's mailbox on the 20th. By your words he needed to respond by the 21st which he did. He told me that "per SCSC very courts ruling in Mose V State 420 S.C. 500 (2017). "A motion is considered filed once prisoner places it in the mail." He said he'd send a letter to the court but also wanted me to email you just in case. Thank you for your time. God bless