

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Vasile Florin Craus, Employee, Appellant,

v.

NUTRA Manufacturing, Inc., Employer, and Sentry
Casualty Company, Carrier, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2021-000778

ORDER

On May 26, 2023, and June 7, 2023, Appellant filed motions asking this court to (1) remove his case from public access on C-Track, (2) refuse to allow anyone to access his appellate case without his approval, and (3) remove Respondent's counsel. On June 19, 2023, Respondent filed a return, opposing Appellant's motions. After careful consideration, we deny Appellant's motions. *See* Rule 210(a), SCACR (explaining that an appellant has the burden of serving and filing the record on appeal); *In re Revised Ord. Concerning Pers. Identifying Info. and Other Sensitive Info. in App. Ct. Filings*, S.C. Sup. Ct. Order dated Apr. 15, 2014; S.C. Const. art. I § 9 ("All courts shall be public . . ."); *Ex parte Capital U-Drive-It, Inc.*, 369 S.C. 1, 10, 630 S.E.2d 464, 469 (2006) (describing factors to be satisfied in order to seal court records); *Hagood v. Sommerville*, 362 S.C. 191, 197, 607 S.E.2d 707, 710 197 (2005) (discussing "the importance of the party's right to counsel of his choice in an adversarial system"); Rule 3.7(a), RPC, Rule 407, SCACR (discussing when a lawyer may be disqualified as counsel).



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Vasile Florin Craus

Jeffrey Scott Jones, Esquire

John Gabriel Coggiola, Esquire

FILED
Jul 18 2023
