

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

The State, Respondent

✓

Diana Biernaski Jordan, Petitioner

Appellate Case No. 2023-001035

RECEIVED

Jul 25 2023

S.C. SUPREME COURT

OBJECTION AND PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION
BASED ON JURISDICTION AND SCAC RULE 242(a)(4)

Petitioner filed a PRO SE petition for writ of certiorari on a PRO SE Motion Petitioner filed with the Court of Appeals on 4/11/23. The Petitioner was NOT represented by counsel on 4/11/23 and the Court of Appeals issued a FINAL ruling on that Motion on 6/21/23. Rule 242(a)(4) gives the Supreme Court discretion where substantial constitutional issues are directly involved. The Court of Appeals did NOT have jurisdiction to issue a ruling on a Motion alleging attorney misconduct and civil rights violations which are substantial constitutional issues. The Supreme Court has jurisdiction and a ruling in Petitioner's favor will overturn the convictions and END the appeal.

Diana B. Jordan

Diana B. Jordan

104 Woodglen Lane

Chapin, SC 29036

Petitioner

July 20, 2023