

RECEIVED

Jul 17 2023

To The South Carolina Court Of Appeals

SC Court of Appeals

Joe Clemons, Appellant

V.

Peggy H. Pinnell Agency, Inc., Peggy H. Pinnell  
Insurance Agency, inc., State Farm Life Insurance  
Company. (jointly and severally liable) Respondents

Appellate Case No.2021-001183

And

Appellate Case No. 2023-000460

Joe Clemons, Appellant

V.

Williams S. Helmly, President & CEO, Home Telecom CO.

To the Honorable Judge, H.B Williams. I, Joe Clemons, are responding to your letter filed June 20, 2023 that you may have been responding to my motion and letter of Nov 3, 2022 and March 7,2023. Where in I was requesting that this court issue a subpoena on South Carolina Court Administration to provide Appellant "ASCII Disks" and unedited "E-Mailed Transcripts or uncut/unedited Audio Recording" and or the WebEx recording of January 11, 2021 hearing and August 23 and 24, 2021 trial. In March, Your Honor, I think you may have misunderstood what I was saying and why. I was asking the Court Of Appeals to subpoena the Courts Of Administrations to get those things for my second case in the Court of Appeals against William Helmly and Home Telecom co., because on January 11, 2021 where Judge Roger Young granted me the right to add Home Telecom to my lawsuit against **Peggy Pinnell and State Farm**. I know for a fact that the lawyer for State Farm changed the judge's ruling or someone did, because my wife and I were there and heard what Judge Roger Young ruled. This is why I need the recording and transcripts and all the things I requested that can validate what the judge had ruled on January 11 2021. Also, I need the transcripts of two hearing I had in the lower court, one was before Judge Roger Young on 7-18-2022 case # 2021-CP-08-02709 and one was before Judge Daniel Coble on 1-31-2023. I need

these accurate transcripts, uncut, unedited, unaltered, to be able to write my initial brief and designation of matter for the second appeal case # 2023-000460.

As your letter of June 20 2023, stated concerning the transcript of December 5, 2021 you stated “nothing in Appellant’s fillings gives this court reason to believe there were discrepancies between the transcript and the audio of the proceedings’ as the appellant alleges.” Well your Honor, I was at the trial and I know better than anyone what was done and not done, at that trial and what took place, and who is saying that they have listened to the audio and read the transcript? Beside all of that your Honor, the transcript that I have, that was sent to me by email and a physical copy that came in two parts and one part was signed and one part was not signed on December 5, 2021. And according to your rules, when a transcript is not signed it cannot be certified and cannot and will not be accepted. So how will the appellate court rule or rely on a very, very questionable uncertified transcript? Which you stated “nothing in the Appellant’s fillings gives this court reason to believe there were discrepancies” sir, all through my briefs I have been stating and complaining about these very things. Your Honor, would you accept an uncertified transcript, if this was your case? Sir, please reconsider your decision. Also, I’m attaching other things that I have sent in and have been filed on both of my cases.

Respectfully submitted,

Joe Clemons ProSe  
Litigant/apologist.  
2202 Addidas,  
Eutawville, S C 29048