

**RECEIVED**

**Apr 13 2021**

**SC Court of Appeals**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Horry County

Honorable R. Markley Dennis, Circuit Court Judge

---

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

SIDNEY STCLAIR MOORER,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO 2019-001636

---

SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL

---

TAYLOR D. GILLIAM  
Appellate Defender

ALAN WILSON  
Attorney General

South Carolina Commission on Indigent  
Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, SC 29211-1589  
(803) 734-1330

DAVID SPENCER  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
Rembert Dennis Building  
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519  
Columbia, SC 29201

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

JIMMY A. RICHARDSON, II  
Solicitor, Fifteenth Judicial Circuit  
Post Office Box 1276  
Conway, SC 29528

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

**INDEX**

INDEX ..... i

STATE’S EXHIBIT NO. 7 FROM HEARING HELD APRIL 18, 2016 (E-MAIL).....1

INDICTMENT (CONSPIRACY TO KIDNAP) .....2

SENTENCING SHEET (CONSPIRACY TO KIDNAP).....4

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL .....5

**From:** George Reis <[reis@imagingforensics.com](mailto:reis@imagingforensics.com)>  
**Date:** April 17, 2016 at 9:12:05 PM EDT  
**To:** Grant Fredericks <[grant@forensicvideosolutions.com](mailto:grant@forensicvideosolutions.com)>  
**Subject:** Report

Grant:

I have reviewed your report of June 4, 2015 on "State vs Sydney Moorer and Tammy Moorer."

The report is very thorough and written in a manner that explains your methodology, the foundations for headlight spread pattern comparison as a part of vehicle comparison, and the justification for your conclusion. The concept of any forensic comparison - from natural objects such as fingerprints and footprints to manmade objects from bullets, tires and tool marks are all based on these same criteria.

I agree with your methodology and find your illustrations show the similarities and differences from different vehicles quite well. It does appear that some of the frames show quite a bit of resolution for this type of comparison. The premise of the uniqueness of headlight spread patterns is well stated and illustrated.

Best,

George

George Reis, CFPh, CFVE, CFVA  
Imaging Forensics <<http://www.imagingforensics.com>>  
Forensic photography & photographic and video analysis.  
Consulting, training & litigation support.

All e-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to public disclosure under the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This correspondence is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure



GX

DOCKET NO. 2018GS2603067

**WITNESSES**

Jonathan Martin Horry County Police Department

**The State of South Carolina  
County of Horry**

Nancy Livesay

14H00898

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

April, 2018 TERM

**ARREST WARRANT NUMBER**

2018DI2600226

CDR: 0017 16-03-0920

DOA: 2/23/2014

**THE STATE**

vs.

Sidney Stclair Moorer  
W/ M

Myrtle Beach, SC 295889106

DOB: [REDACTED]

SSN: [REDACTED]

**ATTORNEY: T. Kirk Truslow**

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

**TRUE BILL**

*Kathleen Shaffer*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury

Date: APR 25 2018

VERDICT

Indictment for

CONSPIRACY TO KIDNAP

Jimmy A. Richardson, II, Solicitor

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date:

FILED  
HORRY COUNTY  
2018 APR 27 PM 12:54  
RENEE N. EAVIS  
CLERK OF DISTRICT  
HORRY COUNTY  
DATE RECEIVED FROM  
GRAND JURY

 ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF HORRY )

INDICTMENT


At a Court of General Sessions, convened on April 25, 2018, the Grand Jurors of Horry County present upon their oath:

**CONSPIRACY TO KIDNAP**

CDR: 0017 16-03-0920

That one Sidney Stclair Moorer along with co-defendant Tammy Caison Moorer, did in Horry County on or about December 18, 2013, enter into an agreement, confederation or conspiracy to violate the provisions of Section 16-3-910 and did an overt act toward carrying out such unlawful agreement, confederation, or conspiracy, in violation of S.C. Code Section 16-3-920, as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JIMMY A. RICHARDSON, II  
FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF Horry
STATE VS. Sidney Stclair Mooror
AKA:
Race: WHITE Sex: M Age: 43
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip: Myrtle Beach, SC 295889106
DL#: SID#:

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2018GS2603067
A/W#: 2018DI2600226
Date of Offense: 12/18/2013
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0920
CDR Code #: 0017

SENTENCE SHEET

\*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Conspiracy to Commit Kidnapping

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

in violation of § 16-03-0920 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0017
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS(CSC §17-25-45 w/minor 1st or Lewd Act)

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The pleas: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: Livesey, Nancy SCB75159 SC Bar# Defendant Galmore, James Cullen SCB08386 SC Bar# Attorney for Defendant

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center, for a determinate term of 30 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable\*; the balance is suspended with probation for months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on:

The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDOC.

The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered PTUP

Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$ days/hours Public Service Employment

Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

Recipient:

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like §14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), §14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, §14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, §56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, §56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso (Public Def/Probation) \$500, §14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, §14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, §50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, §56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$3.75. TOTAL \$128.75

Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ 25.00 beginning 10/18/2019
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: Renee H. Elvri
Court Reporter: Natalie Dahi

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code: 2060
Sentence Date: 9/18/2019

## CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Supplemental Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled “Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings.”

Respectfully Submitted,

**RECEIVED**

**Apr 13 2021**

s/Taylor D. Gilliam

Taylor D. Gilliam

Appellate Defender

**SC Court of Appeals**

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense

Division of Appellate Defense

PO Box 11589

Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 13<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2021.